rescended by 81-2

A RESOLUTION OF THE CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER LEVYING REPLENISHMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1981/82

WHEREAS, the Chino Basin Watermaster was appointed on January 27, 1978, under Case No. 164327 entitled Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al, with powers to levy and collect administrative and replenishment assessments necessary to maintain water levels and to cover the cost of administration of the Chino Groundwater Basin Management Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Chino Basin Watermaster has approved an administrative budget as recommended by the Watermaster Advisory Committee for Fiscal Year 1981/82 in the amount of \$80,075 to carry out the necessary functions contained in the Judgment; and

WHEREAS, the parties named in this Judgment have pumped 20,345.6 acre feet of water in excess of the operating safe yield which is required to be replaced with imported water at the expense of the parties in accordance with the assessment formulas for each of the three pools.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chino Basin Watermaster levies the respective assessments for each pool as recommended by the Watermaster Advisory Committee as shown on Exhibit "A" attached hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that each party will have thirty (30) days to remit payment for assessments due from date of notice. After this date, interest will accrue as provided for in Section 55(c) of the Judgment.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was approved and signed by me this 30th day of September, 1981.

John G. Gilday, Chairmam

ATTEST:

John L. Anderson, Secretary

SUMMARY

0F

ASSESSMENTS PER ACRE FOOT

FY 1981/82

1. OVERLYING (AGRICULTURAL) POOL

a. Administrative

\$ 0.10/AF and \$5.00/Producer

2. OVERLYING (NON-AGRICULTURAL) POOL

a. Administrative

\$ 5.00/Producer

b. Replenishment

\$63.78

3. APPROPRIATIVE POOL

a. Administrative

\$ 5.00/Producer

b. Net Replenishment

MWD

\$63.78

c. 15/85

Gross

\$ 2.944

Net

\$54.213

NOTE: All replenishment assessments per acre foot include \$2.78 spreading cost.