

Minutes
CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER
ANNUAL BOARD MEETING

January 27, 2005

The Annual Watermaster Board Meeting was held at the offices of the Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, California, on January 27, 2005 at 11:00 a.m.

WATERMASTER BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT

Robert Neufeld, Chair	Fontana Union Water Company
John Anderson	Inland Empire Utilities Agency
Paul Hamrick	Jurupa Community Services District
Robert Kuhn	Three Valleys Municipal Water District
Bob Bowcock	Vulcan Materials Company
Paul Hofer	Agricultural Pool, Crops
Bill Kruger	City of Chino Hills
Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel	Agricultural Pool

Watermaster Staff Present

Kenneth R. Manning	Chief Executive Officer
Sheri Rojo	Finance Manager
Danielle Maurizio	Senior Engineer
Sherri Lynne Molino	Recording Secretary

Watermaster Consultants Present

Scott Slater	Hatch & Parent
Michael Fife	Hatch & Parent
Andrew Lazenby	Black & Veatch
Mark Wildermuth	Wildermuth Environmental Inc.

Others Present

Barrett Kehl	Chino Basin Water Conservation District
Jack Smith	Jurupa Community Services District
Carole McGreevy	Jurupa Community Services District
Terry Catlin	Inland Empire Utilities Agency
Raul Garibay	City of Pomona
Robert DeLoach	Cucamonga Valley Water District
Henry Pepper	City of Pomona
David De Jesus	Three Valleys Municipal Water District
Josephine Johnson	Monte Vista Water District
Ken Jeske	City of Ontario
Dave Crosley	City of Chino
Mark Kinsey	Monte Vista Water District
Rich Atwater	Inland Empire Utilities Agency

The Watermaster Board Meeting was called to order by Chair Neufeld at 11:00 a.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

AGENDA - ADDITIONS/REORDER

There were no additions or reorders made to the agenda.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

INTRODUCTIONS - CALENDAR YEAR 2005 WATERMASTER BOARD MEMBERS

Chair Neufeld introduced the “new faces” sitting at the table as the calendar year 2005 Watermaster Board members, who were John Anderson from the Inland Empire Utilities Agency and Paul Hamrick from Jurupa Community Services. The 2005 Watermaster Board members are:

Bob Bowcock	Non-Agricultural Pool (Vulcan Materials Company)
John Anderson	Inland Empire Utilities Agency
Paul Hofer	Agricultural Pool (Crops)
Bill Kruger	Appropriative Pool (City of Chino Hills)
Bob Kuhn	Three Valleys Municipal Water District
Robert Neufeld	Appropriative Pool (Fontana Union Water Company)
Paul Hamrick	Appropriative Pool (Jurupa Community Services)
Don Galleano (not present)	Western Municipal Water District
Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel	Agricultural Pool (Dairy)

I. CALENDAR YEAR 2005 OFFICERS – Action

A. ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Nominations were heard for Watermaster Board Chair – First by Vanden Heuvel and second by Kuhn to nominate Robert Neufeld. Hearing no other nominations, Chair Neufeld was unanimously reelected as chair.

Nominations were heard for Watermaster Board Vice-Chair – First by Vanden Heuvel, and second by Anderson to nominate Bill Kruger. It was decided that a roll call would be taken for the process of voting counts for the Vice-Chair position.

Roll call for Vice-Chair Kruger:

- Kruger – aye
- Hamrick – no
- Hofer – aye
- Vanden Heuvel - aye
- Anderson – aye
- Kuhn – aye
- Bowcock – aye

Chair Neufeld declared this a majority vote and welcomed Mr. Kruger as the new Vice-Chair.

Nominations were heard for Watermaster Board Secretary/Treasurer – First by Neufeld, and second by Kuhn to nominate Bob Bowcock. Hearing no other nominations, Mr. Bowcock was unanimously elected as Secretary/Treasurer.

Nominations were closed by Chair Neufeld.

RECOGNITION OF OUTGOING WATERMASTER BOARD MEMBERS

1. Mr. Donald Schroeder

Chair Neufeld asked Mr. Schroeder to come forward for a presentation. Chair Neufeld recognized that Mr. Schroeder has been the representative for Western Municipal Water District for several years and has contributed an extensive amount of knowledge and assistance to the Chino Basin. Mr. Schroeder thanked Chair Neufeld and noted his appreciation for working with the Watermaster and Watermaster staff for all these years.

2. Mr. Terry Catlin

Chair Neufeld asked Mr. Catlin to come forward for his presentation. Chair Neufeld stated on behalf of the Chino Basin Watermaster and personally that it has been a pleasure working with him over the past several years. Chair Neufeld noted that Mr. Catlin will be John Anderson's alternate so this will not be the last we see of him on this Board. Mr. Catlin commented when he had started the Chino Basin Watermaster was still called Chino Basin Municipal Water District which is now Inland Empire Utilities Agency and he has seen much growth and good things develop for this organization over the past several years. Mr. Catlin acknowledged Watermaster's new C.E.O. and noted it has been a pleasure working with him and all Watermaster staff and Board.

3. Ms. Paula Lantz

Chair Neufeld noted that Ms. Lantz from the City of Pomona was not available to attend the meeting today and asked that the Watermaster staff forward her plaque to her in recognition of her service on the Watermaster Board.

II. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. **MINUTES**

- 1. Minutes of the of the Watermaster Board Meeting held November 18, 2004
- 2. Minutes of the Watermaster Closed Board Meeting held December 9, 2004

B. **FINANCIAL REPORTS**

- 1. Cash Disbursements for the month of November 2004
- 2. Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the Period July 1, 2004 through October 31, 2004
- 3. Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period October 1, 2004 through October 31, 2004
- 4. Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actual July 2004 through October 2004
- 5. Cash Disbursements for the month of December 2004
- 6. Combining Schedule of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Working Capital for the Period July 1, 2004 through November 30, 2004
- 7. Treasurer's Report of Financial Affairs for the Period November 1, 2004 through November 30, 2004
- 8. Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actual July 2004 through November 2004

C. **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR YEAR ENDED**

Consider Receiving and Filing the Annual Audited Financial Statements for Year Ended June 30, 2004

D. **CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER INVESTMENT POLICY**

Resolution 05-01 - Resolution of the Chino Basin Watermaster, San Bernardino County, California, re-authorizing the Watermaster's Investment Policy

E. **LOCAL AGENCY INVESTMENT FUND**

Resolution 05-02 – Resolution Authorizing Investment of Monies in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)

F. **ASSESSMENTS**

Resolution 05-03 – Resolution of the Chino Basin Watermaster Levying Replenishment and Administrative Assessments for Fiscal Year 2004-2005

G. **NOTICE OF INTENT**

Annual Filing of Notice of Intent Regarding the Determination of Operating Safe Yield

Motion by Kruger, second by Bowcock, and by unanimous vote

Moved to approve Consent Calendar Items A through G, as presented

III. BUSINESS ITEMS

A. ESTABLISH TWO NEW POSITIONS

Mr. Manning noted that Watermaster is in the process of coming into conformity with the IRS rules regarding contract employees with the field staff that is now housed at Chino Basin Watermaster (CBWM). In discussions with the Personnel Committee and the Board it was felt if CBWM released all of the positions held with Wildermuth, Inc. staff, it would leave Watermaster understaffed. The intention is to retain two of the positions on CBWM staff, one being the GIS position and the other would be an engineering position. Mr. Manning noted that it if were not for our GIS capabilities the Jurupa situation would not have come to light or be resolved noting this is an important position to retain at Watermaster. The engineering position would be utilized by all three of our senior staff to make sure current activities are being addressed and in the event staff is on vacation or maternity leave that an engineer's position would be filled at all times to meet Watermaster needs. Salaries were decided upon after surveys of the same or similar positions at other water agencies were performed. Mr. Manning noted this recommendation comes from unanimous recommendation from the Personnel Committee, Pools, and Advisory Committee; this also includes the legal counsel's review to bring Watermaster into IRS compliance. The question regarding the funds for these two positions being available in the current budget was presented. Mr. Manning noted they are currently covered in the budget; most of the expenses incurred by having a shift from the contract with Wildermuth Environmental over into Watermaster's salary schedule. There will be an increase over the course of next years budget of about 35% to 40% over and above what Wildermuth was charging Watermaster for these positions mainly due to their increase in responsibilities, benefits, and keeping with the current rate of pay in this area for the same positions. Ms. Rojo stated the average annual impact increase by \$45,000 is due to a slight increase in salary and mainly due to the PERS contribution and overhead that Watermaster would be obligated for.

Motion by Kuhn, second by Kruger, and by unanimous vote

Moved to approve establishing two new Chino Basin Watermaster positions for a GIS Specialist and an Environmental Specialist, as presented

B. SALE OF WATERMASTER TRUCKS TO WILDERMUTH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

Mr. Manning noted this item was in conjunction with item A Staff is proposing the sale for three of Watermaster's five trucks to Wildermuth, Inc. This will allow the remainder of the staff which is moving into a location nearby to perform their duties under Wildermuth's direction. The three trucks would keep the Chino Basin Watermaster logo (as a familiar recognition reference) and Wildermuth's logo would be added also. The sold trucks would then be the sole property and responsibility of Wildermuth, Inc. The question regarding the sale of the trucks causing Watermaster a net gain was presented. Ms. Rojo acknowledged this statement as a gain for Watermaster since the trucks are fully appreciated.

Motion by Vanden Heuvel, second by Bowcock, and by unanimous vote

Moved to approve the sale of three Watermaster trucks to Wildermuth Environmental, Inc., as presented

IV. REPORTS/UPDATES

A. WATERMASTER GENERAL LEGAL COUNSEL REPORT

1. Attorney-Manager Meetings

Counsel Slater reminded the board members that at the end of 2004 the attorney manager meetings were placed on hold while waiting for the completion of some technical work. It is anticipated that the technical analysis is completed and staff is prepared to go forward at a technical meeting workshop on Wednesday, February 2, 2005 at 1:00 p.m. at the Chino Basin Watermaster office. This will provide an opportunity for Watermaster staff and consultants to present parties with water supply plans and implications of those plans on future deal making. Once there has been an opportunity to for that report to be presented and a review, it is anticipated that the attorney manager meetings will reconvene and address any issues the group deems necessary.

2. Comments to Western/Muni EIR

Counsel Slater noted this is an ongoing process for completing applications for diverting water from the Santa Ana River system. Counsel Slater reviewed the Orange County process and noted that Western has moved forward and staff has filed comments which were intended to be constructive and also offer an invitation to Western along with Orange County for the agencies who are most impacted by a removal of decision making from a regional basis to Sacramento to try and convene an effort to amicably set goals and avoid problem issues. Both Western and Orange County have noted that they along with IEUA would be delighted to sit down and see if this can be worked out. Counsel Slater noted that Watermaster would prefer to take control over the management of those issues rather than leave them to outsiders to pressure Watermaster in directions we do not want to go. A tentative meeting has been scheduled for the second week of February to start this process.

3. Santa Ana Water Rights Application

Counsel Slater stated this item deals with the application process itself; under the water code there is a procedural requirement that an applicant must make a good faith effort with protestants to try to resolve protests to the application. East Valley has come forward and made a suggestion whereby they would dismiss their protest of Watermaster's application. This suggestion is designed to clarify that Watermaster's points of diversion would not be in the main stem of the Santa Ana River and on that basis East Valley is seeking assurance so long as that is true that they would withdraw their protest. Counsel Slater noted that staff is not seeking an approval of this at this time that will be submitted through the normal Pool process and take feedback at those meetings in this regard.

4. North Gualala Decision

Counsel Slater noted this item is for informational and a heads up purposes only at this time. There is a recent decision (North Gualala Decision) which rises out of the Mendocino County. The judge in this decision has come to the conclusion that there is an impact test that ought to be associated on whether groundwater use is subject to State Water Resources Control Board jurisdiction. This is meaningful because just about every groundwater use that you find, of any significance, will have some impact on surface water resources. If the parties follow the reasoning of this decision it would suggest that many of the wells in Chino Basin would theoretically be subject to State Board jurisdiction; this would implicate a lot of production facilities in California and counsel wonders about the viability of the decision on appeal. Counsel is calling this to the Board members attention because this is a lower court case, it has some potential bearing on the Chino Basin, and we want to monitor the case. At its present level this case no bearing on us, however, if this case starts making its way through the applet system there perhaps may be the need to suggest that Watermaster consider coordinating friend of the court or amicus briefs with other parties or filing its own.

5. Santa Ana Sucker Critical Habitat Decision

Counsel Slater stated that in the first week of January the federal government published the new 50 CFR 113 stating that the Santa Ana Sucker is not going to be a concern for us.

Added Comment:

Counsel Slater stated an additional item for the purposes of summation of where legal counsel was in 2004 and a brief road map of where counsel expects legal efforts to lead Watermaster in 2005 has been included in the Board packet.

Added Question:

The question regarding the Santa Ana River being removed from the habitat, however, there still remains to be other critical habitat that is designated for the Santa Ana Sucker was presented. Counsel Slater acknowledged that statement as being true and that only our reach was taken off.

B. CEO/STAFF REPORT1. Storm Report 1 – 5

Mr. Manning noted back in October he had made a commitment to the Board and Advisory Committee that Watermaster was going to keep the committee members informed as to the status of the storm events including the performance of the spreading basins during the particular storm events. The Pools were give a summation of storm events 1 – 5 however storm event 1 – 6 is now available for review on the back table. The basins have captured approximately 6,000 acre-feet of storm water from storms 1 – 6 so far this year. During these recent storms Watermaster has been able to test a number of the facilities; unfortunately the SCADA system is still not operating at 100%. Mr. Manning stated that in terms of the physical characteristics of the spreading basins Watermaster has been able to test a lot of the basins and have found a large number of them are performing operationally but have also found that some need minor corrections to be made in order to make them work more efficiently. Mr. Manning noted that Watermaster over the past several months has started to build a good relationship with Flood Control in that they are allowing Watermaster to be able to utilize these basins, which is very pleasing to Watermaster. These past few months have been a good testing period and in general the basins have performed exceptionally well.

Chair Neufeld stated he wished to compliment Mr. Manning and Watermaster staff for a great job during the Prado Dam incident. Chair Neufeld noted that during the most recent storm event when we were notified that releases were being made out of the Prado Dam due to problems, and that Watermaster was still not utilizing all the Flood Control basins, which was understood by a conversation with Mr. Manning that morning. By the end of that day Watermaster had acquired additional turnouts from the County Flood Control District to be able to put additional waters in those basins, which in fact, did help relieve some of the pressure on the Prado Dam.

2. Information Regarding AB2733 Retro Act

Mr. Manning commented on AB2733 which is a piece of legislation that went through the State Legislature last year. Currently pumpers are required, if they are pumping more than 25 acre feet a year, to file a State Water Resources Control Board Annual Notice of Extraction with the State Water Resources Control Board. AB2733 essentially moves that authorization down to the local level giving Watermaster the authority to be able to capture and house that data. Staff is working with SAWPA and other agencies locally in determining a general sphere of influence for the capturing of that data because there is overlap with San Bernardino Municipal Water District and with Western Municipal Water District. Mr. Manning stated eventually what will take place is a report that will be submitted to the State Water Resources Board and all of the pumpers within our area will be notified to now send that information to Watermaster instead of sending it to the State. At this point in time this item is for information purposes only.

3. Chief Executive Officer Goals and Objectives Report

Mr. Manning stated that at the November Watermaster Board meeting the Board had asked that when they reviewed the C.E.O. goals and objectives that they be shared with the Pools and Advisory Committee members. Mr. Manning noted that those goals and objectives were reviewed with those committee members and an offer was extended to the parties if they wanted to see that it would be arranged. This item was to let the Board members know that Mr. Manning complied with the Board's wishes.

4. Redesign of Chino Basin Watermaster Logo

Mr. Manning stated the redesign of the Watermaster logo came about during the work being done for the public information campaign that we are presently working on with Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA). In the ad that will be coming out for the public information campaign, all logos from participating organizations that funded the project will be placed at the bottom of the ad and if we are going to start to have our logo branded we

want to have a distinguishable and also reproducible logo. Our current Watermaster logo is almost impossible to reproduce because the center pictures run together and are not distinguishable as to what they are. The comment was made at the Appropriative Pool meeting that the new logo which was presented at that meeting looked too similar to another water districts logo. Also, the comment was made at that same meeting in that staff should look at having a unique logo that might also portray a partnership with other water agencies. Mr. Manning stated the logo which was presented at the Pool meetings has been revised and Watermaster feels it has come up with an eye catching and functional for reproduction as well as capturing a feel for our partnership logo that is available at the back table for review. Mr. Manning stated if there were no objections to this new logo Watermaster will begin using it on various items and noted all letterhead and related items will be used up first with the old logo as to not to put a strain on the budget for this year for this change. Chair Neufeld noted that unless Watermaster hears any negative comments about the new logo to get started using it as presented as soon as possible.

5. Public Information Campaign Update

Mr. Manning stated the Water Conservation District, Western Municipal Water District, Three Valleys Municipal Water District, Chino Basin Watermaster, and the Agricultural Pool, along with working with IEUA on the development of this one year program to inform the public on what is happening regarding water issues. This program is being kicked off with an eight page insert that will be published sometime early in February; this particular insert will highlight the agencies who are funding this project. There will also be a page dedicated to the congressional staff representing the basin and what work they have done over the past few years in helping the water industry achieve some of its goals. The first insert will be used during the upcoming Washington trip in an attempt to get our message out and facilitate discussions on funding. Mr. Manning noted this program was overall designed to instill confidence in the constitutions and in the law makers within our area that we in fact are taking care of the water issues and staff feels the message is going to be a first-rate and constant over a year's time frame. Even though there are six contributing agencies those agencies will not be the only ones mentioned in the 30+ ads that come out. The ads will be talking about the different water agencies that supply water to the residents to this valley, efforts made by Flood Control will be highlighted, and all ads will be spread out to talk about water efforts and in general giving the message that their interests as it relates to water are being protected.

6. Revised Water Supply Plans for the OBMP

Mr. Wildermuth noted Wildermuth Environmental was tasked to re-evaluate Hydraulic Control. Wildermuth had Black & Veatch, who was performing similar work for Inland Empire Utilities Agency at the time, go out and get from each agency their updated water supply plans for the next twenty to thirty years. This information was compiled and brought back to Wildermuth; at that time Black & Veatch was told more information was needed. Black & Veatch went back and got well capacities and if the wells were usable; it was critiqued a second time and sent back again to Black & Veatch for more information. Mr. Wildermuth referred to the slide presentation "Comparison of Chino Basin Groundwater Production from the Peace Agreement, Dry-Year Yield Planning and Peace II Process" which has been updated since the presentation for the Pool members. The total production for Appropriators was reviewed in detail noting a very large increase. In reviewing the submitted numbers Wildermuth went back to the three agencies which had the highest increase and asked them to verify the plans. A meeting has been set for Wednesday, February 2, 2005 at 1:00 p.m. for a full technical review of all gathered data; after that meeting concludes the Attorney Manager meetings will resume. The question regarding the 2005 number being the actual number pumped or what the plans say are going to be pumped this year was presented. Mr. Wildermuth noted that those numbers are what they say they are going to pump. The question regarding the actual tracking record of actual pumping versus projected pumping was presented. Mr. Wildermuth stated he would need to go back and review the records to answer that question. A brief

discussion ensued with regard to pumping and the numbers which were represented in the OBMP. The question of how large is the storage bank by all of our own agencies plus whatever is available in our MET storage accounts was presented. Mr. Wildermuth stated he would need to look into those numbers before answering. A discussion ensued with regard to managing plans. Mr. Atwater reminded the Board members that this spring IEUA is wrapping up \$40 million dollars worth of improvements and that over the next 30 months IEUA is going to spend another \$10 million dollars to enhance those improvements. Mr. Atwater reviewed several of the projects that IEUA is currently working on along with future projects with regard to this discussion. Chair Neufeld noted that this is an indicator of something we have experienced for a number of years in this area. It is hard to put your finger on a moving target and predict exactly what the production is going to be. Chair Neufeld stated that ten years ago probably no one anticipated the tremendous amount of growth that would be coming to the Chino Basin. Chair Neufeld stated that Watermaster might want to review this update more than once a year.

7. Status of the State of the Basin

Mr. Manning stated that the full State of the Basin report is now available on Chino Basin Watermaster's and Wildermuth Environmental's web site for review; only the Executive Summary was put into the packet due to the length of the report. This report is in draft form and will remain to be a draft form for a few weeks while we are waiting for comments to be submitted. Mr. Manning asked that comments and or suggestions on this report be submitted as soon as possible so that this report can be finalized and distributed in a timely manner.

Added Comment:

Mr. Manning noted that the gentleman (Mr. Jim Johnson) taking pictures during the meeting handles the Watermaster web page and also photographs for the Inland Valley Daily Bulletin. Watermaster was in need of new photographs of the Board and Mr. Johnson made himself available to take some photos today.

V. INFORMATION

- 1. Newspaper Articles
No comment was made regarding this item.
- 2. Mapping the System – GIS Conversion Keeps Data Current
No comment was made regarding this item.
- 3. Replenishment Service Availability Update for Calendar Year 2005
No comment was made regarding this item.

VI. BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

Chair Neufeld asked the two new Board members if they wanted to say anything for today's Board meeting and again welcomed them to the Chino Basin Watermaster's Board. Mr. Hamrick stated that he was thankful for the opportunity to serve on the Board and that he has a long history in water and sewer. Mr. Anderson stated that he also appreciates being at this meeting at on the Board and noted that Mr. Catlin will be his alternate for when he is not able to attend meetings. Mr. Hamrick also noted that his alternate Jack Smith is in attendance today and will be filling in for him when he is not available. Mr. Kruger thanked the Board members for the vote of confidence in regards to him being nominated for Vice-Chair this year. Mr. Hofer inquired about the Prado Dam incident and how many acre-feet of water were spilled during that problem. Mr. Wildermuth noted that he could calculate that figure out for him later. Mr. Atwater spoke regarding a recent army core engineering briefing that he attended recently and noted that at no time was there a dam safety issue or dam treat. The question regarding the status of the SCADA system was presented. Mr. Manning noted that portions of the SCADA system are coming up for periodically for testing and Watermaster is getting some data back it, noting that is meaningful so far, however Watermaster is anticipating within the next sixty days that there will be a system that Watermaster can actually test and operate

and finally fine tune. Mr. Bowcock welcomed Mr. Hamrick and Mr. Anderson. Mr. Kuhn welcomed Mr. Hamrick and Mr. Anderson and personally thanked Mr. Catlin for the leadership that was shown last year in the selection process for the Watermaster Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Kuhn thanked staff for the wonderful job in picking up the slack while absent a CEO. Chair Neufeld agreed to the comments made to Mr. Catlin and staff and noted Watermaster is going to have a busy year in 2005 with the re-opener on the nine member board issue and many other issues being presented to the court. Chair Neufeld stated that the San Bernardino Flood Control has done an outstanding in working with Watermaster to establish our recharge element as part of the OBMP. Chair Neufeld spoke regarding the newly appointed Senator Dutton and his recent phone call to the Senator regarding a cooperative spirit with Flood Control. Chair Neufeld spoke on the recent reception held at Inland Empire Utilities Agency and the allegiances that were starting to form with several assemblymen.

VII. OTHER BUSINESS

No comment was made regarding this item.

VIII. CONFIDENTIAL SESSION - POSSIBLE ACTION

Pursuant to Article 2.6 of the Watermaster Rules & Regulations, a Confidential Session may be held during the Watermaster Board meeting for the purpose of discussion and possible action regarding Personnel Matters and/or Potential Litigation.

No confidential session was called to order.

IX. FUTURE MEETINGS

January 27, 2005	9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee Annual Meeting
January 27, 2005	11:00 a.m.	Watermaster Board Annual Meeting
February 10, 2005	9:00 a.m.	Appropriative & Non-Agricultural Pool Meeting
February 15, 2005	9:00 a.m.	Agricultural Pool Meeting @ IEUA
February 24, 2005	9:00 a.m.	Advisory Committee Meeting
February 24, 2005	11:00 a.m.	Watermaster Board Meeting

The Annual Watermaster Board Committee Meeting Adjourned at 12:07 p.m.

Secretary: _____

Minutes Approved: February 24, 2005