FEE EXEMPT

1 2 3	SCOTT S. SLATER (State Bar No. 117317) BRADLEY J. HERREMA (State Bar No. 22 LAURA K. YRACEBURU (State Bar No. 33 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHR 1021 Anacapa Street, 2nd Floor Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2102	8976) 33085) ECK, LLP		
4	Telephone: 805.963.7000 Facsimile: 805.965.4333			
5				
6	Attorneys for CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER			
/				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO			
10				
11	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	Case No. RCVRS 51010		
12	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for All Purposes to the Honorable Gilbert G. Ochoa]		
14	v.	DECLARATION OF BRADLEY J.		
15	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,	FOR COURT APPROVAL OF 2023 RECHARCE MASTER PLAN UPDATE		
16	Defendant.	Date: December 1, 2023		
17		Date: Determiner 1, 2025 Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept.: S24		
18 19		[Filed concurrently herewith: Notice of Motion and Motion; Declaration of Jean		
20		Cihigoyenetche; Declaration of Edgar Tellez Foster; [Proposed] Order]		
21				
22	I, Bradley J. Herrema, declare as follows:			
23	1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice before all of the courts of this State, and			
24	am a shareholder in the law firm of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP, counsel of record for			
25	Chino Basin Watermaster ("Watermaster"). I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this			
26	declaration, except where stated on information and belief, and if called as a witness, I could and			
27	would competently testify to them under oath. I make this declaration in support of the above-			
28	referenced motion.			
	1 DECLARATION OF DRADLEV LUEDDEMA IN SUBDORT OF			
		$\sum 1 0.11 \text{ matrix bin 11, 5011 OK1 OI}$		

 As legal counsel for Watermaster, I am familiar with Watermaster's practices and procedures, as well as actions taken by the Pool Committees, Advisory Committee and the Watermaster Board of Directors ("Board").

3. Watermaster sought the Inland Empire Utilities Agency's ("IEUA") input during
the drafting process of the 2023 RMPU. The Draft 2023 RMPU was released to all stakeholders
for review on August 14, 2023.

4. The Water Facilities Authority, Cucamonga Valley Water District ("CVWD") and Monte Vista Water District each provided written comments following the release of the draft 2023 RMPU through September 5, 2023. Watermaster incorporated or responded to each comment and met with CVWD staff to provide additional explanation and released a final draft 2023 RMPU on September 8, 2023.

5. At their respective September 14, 2023 regular meetings, the Watermaster 12 13 Overlying (Agricultural) Pool Committee and the Appropriative Pool Committee reviewed the 14 2023 RMPU and the members of each Committee unanimously recommended that the Advisory Committee recommend that the Watermaster Board adopt the 2023 RMPU and Resolution No. 15 2023-06. At its September 14, 2023 meeting, the Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool Committee 16 reviewed the 2023 RMPU and its members unanimously recommended that its representatives 17 support approval of the 2023 RMPU and adoption of Resolution 2023-06 at the Advisory 18 Committee and Board meetings subject to changes they deem appropriate. 19

6. At its September 21, 2023 regular meeting, the Watermaster Advisory Committee
reviewed the 2023 RMPU and unanimously recommended that the Watermaster Board adopt the
2023 RMPU and Resolution No. 2023-06.

7. At its September 28, 2023 regular meeting, the Watermaster Board considered
approval and adoption of the 2023 RMPU pursuant to Resolution 2018-04, Resolution of the
Chino Basin Watermaster Regarding the Adoption of the 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update.
8. As part of its consideration of the adoption of Resolution 2023-06, the
Watermaster Board reviewed a Staff Report, prepared by Watermaster staff and consultants,
included in the agenda packet for the meeting. The Watermaster Board was also presented a

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

PowerPoint presentation by Watermaster staff and Watermaster's hydrologic consultant from WY. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the Staff Report, excluding 2 3 attachments, which was included in the September 28, 2023 Watermaster Board meeting agenda package. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of the PowerPoint presentation 4 presented to the Watermaster Board at its September 28, 2023 meeting. 5

9. After receiving the presentation, Watermaster Board unanimously approved and adopted Resolution 2023-06, Resolution of the Chino Basin Watermaster Regarding the Adoption of the 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update and directed Watermaster legal counsel to move this Court for approval of the 2023 RMPU. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of Watermaster Resolution 2023-06.

10. Watermaster Resolution 2023-06 includes a series of Exhibits thereto. Exhibit A 11 to Watermaster Resolution 2023-06 includes excerpts of Article VIII of the Peace II Agreement. 12 13 Exhibit B to Watermaster Resolution 2023-06 is a copy of the WY opinion regarding adequacy of 14 replenishment capacity in the Basin, which the Watermaster Board adopted on November 17,

2022. Exhibit C to Watermaster Resolution 2023-06 is a copy of the final 2023 RMPU. 15

11. I am unaware that any party has any objection to the Court's approval of the 2023 16 RMPU. 17

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

18

19

1

6

7

8

9

10

Dated this 10th day of October, 2023, at Los Angeles, California.

) usby (). Her

Bradley J. Herrema

DECLARATION OF BRADLEY J. HERREMA IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR COURT APPROVAL OF 2023 RECHARGE MASTER PLAN UPDATE

3

EXHIBIT A



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

PETER KAVOUNAS, P.E. General Manager

STAFF REPORT

DATE: September 28, 2023

TO: Board Members

SUBJECT: 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update and Resolution No. 2023-06 (Business Item II.A.)

SUMMARY:

<u>Issue</u>: The 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update (RMPU) is due to be filed with the Court by October 2023 as required by the Peace II Agreement. [Within WM Duties and Powers]

<u>Recommendation</u>: Approve the 2023 RMPU as presented, adopt Resolution No. 2023–06, and direct staff to file with the Court.

Financial Impact: None

<u>Future Consideration</u> Watermaster Board – September 28, 2023: Approval

ACTIONS:

Agricultural Pool – September 14, 2023: Unanimously recommended to Advisory Committee to recommend to Watermaster Board approval and adoption.

Advisory Committee – September 21, 2023: Unanimously recommended Board approval and adoption. Watermaster Board – September 28, 2023:

Appropriative Pool – September 14, 2023: Unanimously recommended to Advisory Committee to recommend to Watermaster Board approval and adoption.

Non-Agricultural Pool – September 14, 2023: Unanimously recommended its representatives to support at Advisory Committee and Watermaster Board subject to change they deem appropriate.

BACKGROUND

Section 8.1 of the Peace II Agreement requires that the Recharge Master Plan will be updated and jointly approved by Watermaster and Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA) as frequently as necessary, not less frequently than every five (5) years, and that Court approval be obtained for such updates. The most recent Recharge Master Plan Update (RMPU) was undertaken in 2018. As such, per Section 8.1 of the Peace II Agreement, an update to the 2018 RMPU is due to be filed with the Court no later than 2023.

To satisfy this requirement, Watermaster, with the assistance of West Yost, began the process of updating the 2023 RMPU in February 2021. Specifically, on April 8, 2021, Watermaster held the first of four 2023 RMPU Steering Committee meetings to obtain input, review, and comment on the 2023 RMPU as it was being developed. The Steering Committee was open to all and met quarterly and resulted in Watermaster hosting a workshop on August 16, 2018 to summarize the document in its entirety and address comments that were received.

Throughout the development of the 2023 RMPU, the Watermaster Board received periodic updates as to the progress made by the Steering Committee.

To meet the deadline to file the 2023 RMPU with the Court, Board approval from Watermaster is necessary. IEUA approved the 2023 RMPU at its Board meeting on September 20, 2023.

DISCUSSION

The 2023 RMPU (Attachment 1) consists of eight sections, developed with input from the Steering Committee.

- Sections 1 and 2 summarize and describe the background and purpose of the RMPU, the changed conditions in the Basin since the 2018 RMPU, including an update on the implementation of the 2013 RMPU, and planning assumptions used in the 2023 RMPU.
- Section 3 describes the basin response to historical recharge activities since the implementation of the OBMP and changes that have occurred since the 2018 RMPU was completed. Information in this section is used to determine the effectiveness of storm and supplemental water recharge activities, as well as inform Watermaster's decision on the location and magnitude of future supplemental water recharge.
- Section 4 establishes planning assumptions for the completion of the 2023 RMPU. Information is
 used to evaluate the basin response to planning projections and determine the effectiveness or
 storm and supplemental water recharge activities, as well as inform Watermaster's decision on the
 location and magnitude of future supplemental water recharge.
- Section 5 describes the basin response to planning projections. The basin response is described in terms of groundwater-level changes, hydraulic balance and control. The information in this section is used to determine the effectiveness of storm and supplemental water recharge activities, as well as inform Watermaster's decision on the location and magnitude of future supplemental water recharge.
- Section 6 describes the need for new recharge capacity through 2045. The need for new recharge capacity is based on a comparison of projected future recharge requirements and physical capacity to achieve the required recharge.
- Section 7 summarizes the Renewal and Replacement Plan. Prior to these efforts, recharge system assets were not included in any Basin wide replacement planning. The Forecast presented in this

chapter can be incorporated into future planning and budgeting so recharge systems assets can be refurbished, rehabilitated, or replaced prior to failure.

In Section 8, conclusions and recommendations based on the previous section's analyses are described.

The conclusions are:

- 1. Watermaster has access to enough recharge capacity to meet its supplemental recharge obligations through 2045.
- 2. The historical state of balance of recharge and discharge for MZ1 is consistent with the Peace Agreements.
- 3. No changes are recommended for the 6,500 AFY supplemental water recharge obligation in MZ1.
- 4. No change in the prioritization of the recharge locations and amounts to meet the balance of recharge and discharge requirements.
- 5. The MS4 data collection from Section 5 of the 2013 RMPU Amendment will continue.

The recommendations are:

- 1. Continue implementation of 2013 RMPU yield enhancement projects.
- 2. Continue the implementation of the Board-requested recharge project analysis.
- 3. Develop the scope and budget for the 2028 RMPU in FY 2026/27.
- 4. Complete the 2028 RMPU in FY 2027/28 and file the 2028 RMPU report with the Court in October 2028.
- 5. Annually review the time and effort involved in the collection of information on MS4 project implementation and reassess the value of this effort.
- 6. Develop a plan to collaborate with MS4 permittees to ensure MS4-compliance projects prioritize recharge (as opposed to retention).
- 7. Refine and implement the Renewal and Replacement plan.

The draft 2023 RMPU was released for review on August 15, 2023, with comments due by August 25, 2023. A response to comments has been included as an Appendix to the final 2023 RMPU.

At the Pool Committee meetings held on September 14, 2023, the Appropriative and Overlying (Agricultural) Pools unanimously recommended the Advisory Committee to recommend to the Watermaster Board to approve, adopt, and file with the Court; the Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool unanimously recommended its representatives to support at Advisory Committee and Watermaster Board subject to changes they deem appropriate.

During its September 21, 2023, meeting the Advisory Committee unanimously recommended to the Board to approve the 2023 RMPU, adopt Resolution 2023-06, and to file the 2023 RMPU with the Court.

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. 2023 Recharge Master Plan
- 2. Resolution 2023-06 (Draft)
- 3. Proposed Court Filing

EXHIBIT B

WEST / YOST Water. Engineered.

2023 Recharge Master Plan

September 2023

Exhibits-Decl of B. Herrema Page 6 of 166

Agenda

- Process Overview
- Recharge Master Plan Objectives
- Report Outline
- Chapter Overview and Highlights
- Conclusions

Process Overview



1. RMPU Objectives

Achieve and maintain long-term balance of recharge and discharge in every area and subarea of the Basin (*Peace Agreement*)

Avoid material physical injury (MPI) (Peace and Peace II Agreement)

Ensure there is enough recharge capacity and supplemental water available to meet future replenishment and recharge obligations (*Peace and Peace II Agreement, Special Referee's December 2007 Report*)

Protect and enhance the Safe Yield (Peace Agreement and, Special Referee's December 2007 Report)

WEST YOST

2023 Recharge Master Plan (RMPU) Report Outline





1. RMPU History

• 2002 RMPU

- Modified 17 existing facilities and constructed two new facilities (CBFIP)
- Resulted in about 9,500 afy of new stormwater recharge.
- 2010 RMPU (2013 Amendment)
 - Modification of six existing facilities. Completion is expected by 2024.
 - Will result in about 4,700 afy of new stormwater recharge.
- 2018 RMPU
 - Enough supplemental water recharge capacity to it meet Replenishment Obligations through 2050.
 - No capital improvements were recommended.



WEST YOST

Exhibits-Decl of B. Herrema Page 12 of 166

2. Existing Recharge Facilities and Activities

- Spreading Basins
- In-Lieu Recharge
- MS4 Facilities
- Planned Facilities Under Construction (2013 RMPU)



Figure 2-1 Recharge of Recycled Water, Stormwater, and Dry-Weather Runoff in the Chino Basin Since Implementation of the ORMP and the 2001 Recharge Master Plan



3. Basin Response to Historical Recharge Activities

- Groundwater-level changes
- Hydrologic balance
- Hydraulic control
 - Contour of Positive Hydraulic Head Change (ft) Spring 2000 to Spring 2022
 Contour of Negative Hydraulic Head Change (ft) or No Change Spring 2000 to Spring 2022
 Hydraulic Head Change (ft) July 2000 to July 2022





4. Planning Projections

- Projected water demands and supplies
- Projected recharge of recycled water
- Projected Replenishment and Recharge Obligations
- Managed Storage
- Recharge and Replenishment water sources, availability and cost

Figure 4-2. Aggregate Water Supply Plan for Chino Basin Parties



xhibits-Decl of B. Herrema Page 15 of 166

WEST YOST

2023 Recharge Master Plan | September 2023

5. Basin Response to Planning Projections

- Groundwater-level changes
- Hydrologic balance
- Hydraulic control



July 2018 to July 2050

Contour of Hydraulic Head Change (ft) Negative Change July 2018 to July 2050

Hydraulic Head Change (ft) July 2018 to July 2050





6. Recharge Capacity to Meet Replenishment and Recharge Obligations

 Watermaster and IEUA are projected to have enough recharge capacity available to them to meet all their recharge and replenishment obligations through 2045.



Figure 6-1. Comparison of Projected Annual Recharge and Replenishment Obligation to Supplemental Water Recharge Capacity

> Exhibits-Decl of B. Herrema Pag<mark>e</mark>17 of 166

2023 Recharge Master Plan | September 2023

7. Renewal and Replacement Plan

Purpose: Forecast renewal and replacement needs over a 10-year period.

Driver: Many assets were experiencing failure, with no plan or funds to repair/replace.

Steps in Development of 10-year R&R plan:

- 1. **Develop Asset Inventory** 'what assets do we have?'
- 2. Develop Useful Life and Remaining Useful Life Estimates 'what condition are they in?'
- **3. Develop Unit Cost Estimates** 'how much will they cost to replace?'
- 4. Develop 10-year R&R projections 'how much should we plan for?'

8. Conclusions

- 1. The MS4 information collection program included in Chapter 5 of the 2013 RMPU has been partially implemented.
- 2. The historical state of the balance of recharge and discharge for MZ1 is consistent with the Peace Agreements.
- No changes are recommended for the 6,500 afy supplemental water recharge obligation in MZ1 (Peace II Agreement) *
- 4. No changes are recommended in the current Watermaster prioritization of supplemental water recharge locations and amounts to meet balance of recharge and discharge requirement (Peace Agreement).
- 5. Based on the planning data provided by the parties, Metropolitan, and the IEUA, Watermaster has access to enough wet-water recharge capacity to meet its supplemental recharge obligations through 2045.



8. Implementation Plan

- 1. Continue the implementation of the final recommended 2013 RMPU projects.
- 2. Continue the implementation of the Board-requested recharge project analysis.
- 3. Develop the scope and budget for the 2028 RMPU in FY 2026/27.
- 4. Complete the 2028 RMPU in FY 2027/28 and file the 2028 RMPU report with the Court in October 2028.
- 5. Annually review the time and effort involved in the collection of information on MS4 project implementation and reassess the value this effort provides
- 6. Develop a plan to collaborate with MS4 permittees to ensure MS4-compliance projects prioritize recharge (as opposed to retention)
- 7. Refine and implement the R&R implementation plan.

Process Overview



Thank You



EXHIBIT C

RESOLUTION 2023-06 OF THE CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

REGARDING THE ADOPTION OF THE 2023 RECHARGE MASTER PLAN UPDATE

1. **WHEREAS**, in 2000, the Chino Basin Watermaster adopted a Recharge Master Plan which established the technical foundation for the development of the recharge facilities and practices in the Chino Basin; and

2. **WHEREAS**, in 2001, Watermaster, in cooperation with the Inland Empire Utilities Agency ("IEUA"), initiated the Chino Basin Facilities Improvement Project ("CBFIP") which implemented facilities recommendations in the Recharge Master Plan; and

3. **WHEREAS**, in 2006, Watermaster, in cooperation with IEUA, initiated Phase II of the CBFIP in order to implement additional facilities recommendations in the Recharge Master Plan; and

4. **WHEREAS**, on December 21, 2007, the Court approved the Peace II Measures which set forth a modified approach to management of the Chino Basin known as Basin Re-Operation, the ultimate goal of which is the achievement of Hydraulic Control; and

5. **WHEREAS**, Section 8.1 of the Peace II Agreement, the relevant portions for purposes of this Resolution are attached as Exhibit A hereto, included the requirement that the Recharge Master Plan be updated and that each of Watermaster and IEUA approve the updates to the Recharge Master Plan; and

6. **WHEREAS**, pursuant to Section 8.3 of the Peace II Agreement, Watermaster is obligated to make an annual finding that it is in substantial compliance with the Recharge Master Plan, as revised. This requirement exists to ameliorate any long-term risk attributable to reliance upon un-replenished groundwater production by the Desalters, and is a condition on the annual availability of any portion of the 400,000 acre-feet set aside as controlled overdraft; and

7. **WHEREAS**, pursuant to Section 8.1 of the Peace II Agreement, updates to the Recharge Master Plan must occur as frequently as necessary, but not less frequently than every five years, and must be approved by the Court; and

8. **WHEREAS**, updates to the Recharge Master Plan must account for the new Basin management regime and other changes that occurred since the creation or last update of the Recharge Master Plan; and

9. **WHEREAS**, on June 30, 2010, Watermaster submitted its updated Recharge Master Plan ("2010 RMPU") to the Court; and

10. **WHEREAS**, Watermaster submitted its 2013 Amendment to the 2010 Recharge Master Plan Update ("2013 RMPU") to the Court on November 4, 2013; and

11. **WHEREAS**, on December 13, 2013, the Court issued an order approving the 2013 RMPU, except Section 5 thereof, and on April 25, 2013, the Court issued an Order approving Section 5 of the 2013 RMPU; and

12. **WHEREAS**, Watermaster submitted its 2018 Recharge Master Plan Update ("2018 RMPU") to the Court on October 9, 2018; and

13. WHEREAS, on December 28, 2018, the Court issued an order approving the 2018 RMPU; and

14. **WHEREAS**, Watermaster and stakeholders discussed the scoping of the 2023 RMPU at the February and April Pool Committee meetings and the April 15, 2021 Recharge Investigations and Projects Committee ("RIPComm"); and

15. **WHEREAS**, at its November 17, 2022 regular meeting, the Board reviewed an opinion from West Yost Associates ("West Yost") regarding the adequacy of replenishment capacity. The Board adopted the findings in the West Yost report, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B, which found that, as there is sufficient recharge capacity to meet future replenishment obligations identified in the 2013 RMPU and 2018 RMPU and that if Basin Re-Operation were terminated prior to 2030, that Watermaster would be able to increase its replenishment activity in order to maintain hydrologic balance within the Basin, and, accordingly, Watermaster was in substantial compliance with the Recharge Master Plan, as required; and

16. **WHEREAS**, in October 2022, a Recharge Master Plan Update Steering Committee ("Steering Committee"), composed of stakeholders in the Basin, including IEUA, was convened through the RIPComm in order to develop the 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update ("2023 RMPU"), attached hereto as Exhibit C, through a collaborative process. The RIPComm discussed development of the 2023 RMPU at the October 2022, January 2023, and July 2023 meetings. Additionally, a workshop was held on August 22, 2023 in order for stakeholders to participate in the development of the 2023 RMPU; and

17. **WHEREAS**, the 2023 RMPU addresses the elements required by the Court's December 21, 2007 Order Concerning Motion for Approval of Peace II Documents and the Peace II Agreement; and

18. **WHEREAS**, the 2023 RMPU includes: (1) a description of changed conditions in the Basin from those detailed in the 2018 RMPU and planning assumptions for the 2023 RMPU; (2) a description of the Basin's response to the updated conditions in the Basin; (3) an inventory of existing and planned recharge facilities in the Basin that can be compared to the Basin's recharge needs; (4) identification of future needs for recharge capacity in the Basin and a comparison with available recharge capacity; and, (5) recommendations for future activities and an implementation plan for the 2023 RMPU; and

19. **WHEREAS**, the 2023 RMPU also includes a renewal and replacement plan to predict, plan, and fund renewal or replacement of aging recharge assets in response to aging recharge assets and the absence of basin-wide renewal and replacement planning; and

20. **WHEREAS,** the 2023 RMPU also incorporates relevant existing data and analysis from the annual Data Collection and Evaluation reports and the 2020 Safe Yield Recalculation effort, which included additional stakeholder discussions; and

21. **WHEREAS**, IEUA has been an active participant in the 2023 RMPU process and, on September 20, 2023, IEUA's Board of Directors approved the 2023 RMPU; and

22. **WHEREAS**, the Watermaster Board has received periodic updates as to the progress made by the RIPComm in the development of the 2023 RMPU.

NOW, THEREFORE, on the basis of the staff reports, expert opinions and substantial evidence presented, Watermaster finds that:

- 1. There exists sufficient recharge capacity to meet future replenishment obligations identified in the 2023 RMPU. If Basin Re-Operation were terminated prior to 2030, Watermaster would be able to increase its replenishment activity in order to maintain hydrologic balance within the Basin, in compliance with the Recharge Master Plan.
- 2. Watermaster and interested parties thoroughly evaluated changed circumstances since the time of the 2018 RMPU and how these changes affect the Recharge Master Plan, and this evaluation is included in Sections 3, 4 and 5 of the 2023 RMPU.

- 3. Watermaster and interested parties thoroughly evaluated the existing and planned recharge facilities in the Basin as compared to the Basin's recharge needs, and this evaluation is included in Sections 2 and 7 of the 2023 RMPU. Section 7's renewal and replacement plan is a new component of the Recharge Master Plan to address aging recharge assets and the absence of basin-wide renewal and replacement planning.
- 4. Watermaster and interested parties considered the need for future recharge capacity by comparing the projected future recharge requirements of the Basin and physical capacity to achieve that requirement and concluded that the existing recharge capacity and facilities on which it relies are sufficient until the next Recharge Master Plan update in 2028. This evaluation is included in Section 6 of the 2023 RMPU.
- 5. Using the information and analysis contained in Sections 1 through 7 of the 2023 RMPU, Watermaster and interested parties developed recommendations and an implementation plan for the 2023 RMPU, which are included in Section 8 of the 2023 RMPU.
- 6. The development of the 2023 RMPU complies with the requirements for an update to the Recharge Master Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that, on the basis of the staff reports, expert opinions and substantial evidence presented, Watermaster finds that:

- 1. The 2023 RMPU is based on sound technical analysis and adequately updates the 2018 RMPU in light of changed economic, legislative, and hydrologic conditions within the State of California and in satisfaction of the Peace II Agreement and the Court's Orders.
- 2. Based upon the 2023 RMPU, there exists sufficient recharge capacity to meet future replenishment obligations identified in the 2023 RMPU through 2045. If Basin Re-Operation were terminated prior to 2030, Watermaster would be able to increase its replenishment activity in order to maintain hydrologic balance within the Basin, in compliance with the Recharge Master Plan.
- 3. Watermaster adopts the 2023 RMPU as the guidance document for the further development of the recharge facilities within the Basin.
- 4. Pursuant to the Peace II Agreement Section 8.1, Watermaster and IEUA will update the Recharge Master Plan not less frequently than once every five years. The Plan will next be updated no later than 2028.

APPROVED by the Advisory Committee this 21st day of September 2023. **ADOPTED** by the Watermaster Board on this 28th day of September 2023.

By: Chairman, Watermaster Board

APPROVED: Chairman, Advisory Committee

ATTEST: Board Secretary

Chino Basin Watermaster

STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
) ss	
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO)	

I, Bob G. Kuhn Secretary/Treasurer of the Chino Basin Watermaster, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution being No. 2023-06, was adopted at a regular meeting on the Chino Basin Watermaster Board by the following vote:

AYES:

9

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

Secretary

EXHIBIT A

PEACE II AGREEMENT: PARTY SUPPORT FOR WATERMASTER'S OBMP IMPLEMENTATION PLAN, – SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS REGARDING FUTURE DESALTERS

WHEREAS, paragraph 41 of the Judgment entered in *Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino* (San Bernardino Superior Court Case No. 51010) grants Watermaster, with the advice of the Advisory and Pool Committees, "discretionary powers in order to implement an Optimum Basin Management Program ("OBMP") for the Chino Basin";

WHEREAS, the Parties to the Judgment executed an agreement resolving their differences and pledging their support for Watermaster actions in accordance with specific terms in June of 2000 ("Peace Agreement");

WHEREAS, Watermaster approved Resolution 00-05, and thereby adopted the goals and objectives of the OBMP, the OBMP Implementation Plan and committed to act in accordance with the terms of the Peace Agreement;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article IV, paragraph 4.2, each of the parties to the Peace Agreement agreed not to oppose Watermaster's adoption and implementation of the OBMP Implementation Plan attached as Exhibit "B" to the Peace Agreement;

WHEREAS, the Peace Agreement, the OBMP Implementation Plan and the Chino Basin Watermaster Rules and Regulations contemplate further actions by Watermaster in furtherance of its responsibilities under paragraph 41 of the Judgment and in accordance with the Peace Agreement and the OBMP Implementation Plan;

WHEREAS, the Parties to the Peace Agreement made certain commitments regarding the funding, design, construction and operation of Future Desalters;

WHEREAS, after receiving input from its stakeholders in the form of the Stakeholder's Non-Binding Term Sheet, Watermaster has proposed to adopt Resolution 07-05 attached as Exhibit "1" hereto to further implement the OBMP through a suite of measures commonly referred to and herein defined as "Peace II Measures", including but not limited to the 2007 Supplement to the OBMP, the Second Amendment to the Peace Agreement, amendments to Watermater's Rules and Regulations, the purchase and sale of water within the Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool and certain Judgment amendments; and

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises specified herein and by conditioning their performance under this Agreement upon the conditions precedent set forth in Article III herein, the Watermaster Approval, and Court Order, and for other good and valuable consideration, the Parties agree as follows:

7.5 <u>Allocation of Losses</u>. Any losses from storage assessed as a Leave Behind in excess of actual losses ("dedication quantity") will be dedicated by Watermaster towards groundwater Production by the Desalters to thereby avoid a Desalter replenishment obligation that may then exist *in the year* of recovery. Any dedication quantity which is not required to offset Desalter Production in the year in which the loss is assessed, will be made available to the members of the Appropriative Pool. The dedication quantity will be pro-rated among the members of the Appropriative Pool in accordance with each Producer's combined total share of Operating Safe Yield and the previous year's actual production. However, before any member of the Appropriative Pool may receive a distribution of any dedication quantity, they must be in full compliance with the 2007 Supplement to the OBMP Implementation Plan and current in all applicable Watermaster assessments.

ARTICLE VIII <u>RECHARGE</u>

- Update to the Recharge Master Plan. Watermaster will update and obtain Court approval 8.1 of its update to the Recharge Master Plan to address how the Basin will be contemporaneously managed to secure and maintain Hydraulic Control and subsequently operated at a new equilibrium at the conclusion of the period of Re-Operation. The Recharge Master Plan will be jointly approved by IEUA and Watermaster and shall contain recharge estimations and summaries of the projected water supply availability as well as the physical means to accomplish the recharge projections. Specifically, the Plan will reflect an appropriate schedule for planning, design, and physical improvements as may be required to provide reasonable assurance that following the full beneficial use of the groundwater withdrawn in accordance with the Basin Re-Operation and authorized controlled overdraft, that sufficient Replenishment capability exists to meet the reasonable projections of Desalter Replenishment obligations. With the concurrence of IEUA and Watermaster, the Recharge Master Plan will be updated and amended as frequently as necessary with Court approval and not less than every five (5) years. Costs incurred in the design, permitting, operation and maintenance of recharge improvements will be apportioned in accordance with the following principles.
- a. Operations and Maintenance. All future operations and maintenance costs attributable to all recharge facilities utilized for recharge of recycled water in whole or in part unfunded from third party sources, will be paid by the Inland Empire Utilities Agency ("IEUA") and Watermaster. The contribution by IEUA will be determined annually on the basis of the relative proportion of recycled water recharged bears to the total recharge from all sources in the prior year. For example, if 35 percent of total recharge in a single year is from recycled water, then IEUA will bear 35 percent of the operations and maintenance costs. All remaining unfunded costs attributable to the facilities used by Watermaster will be paid by Watermaster.

i. IEUA reserves discretion as to how it assesses its share of costs.

ii. Watermaster will apportion its costs among the members of the stakeholders in accordance with Production, excluding Desalter Production.

iii. The operations and maintenance costs of water recharged by aquifer storage and recovery will not be considered in the calculation other than by express agreement.

b. Capital. Mutually approved capital improvements for recharge basins that do or can receive recycled water constructed pursuant to the Court approved Recharge Master Plan, if any, will be financed through the use of third party grants and contributions if available, with any unfunded balance being apportioned 50 percent each to IEUA and Watermaster. The Watermaster contribution shall be allocated according to shares of Operating Safe Yield. All remaining unfunded costs attributable to the facilities used by Watermaster will be paid by Watermaster.

- 8.2 <u>Coordination</u>. The members of the Appropriative Pool will coordinate the development of their respective Urban Water Management Plans and Water Supply Master Plans with Watermaster as follows.
 - (a) Each Appropriator that prepares an Urban Water Management Plan and Water Supply Plans will provide Watermaster with copies of their existing and proposed plans.
 - (b) Watermaster will use the Plans in evaluating the adequacy of the Recharge Master Plan and other OBMP Implementation Plan program elements.
 - (c) Each Appropriator will provide Watermaster with a draft in advance of adopting any proposed changes to their Urban Water Management Plans and in advance of adopting any material changes to their Water Supply Master Plans respectively in accordance with the customary notification routinely provided to other third parties to offer Watermaster a reasonable opportunity to provide informal input and informal comment on the proposed changes.
 - (d) Any party that experiences the loss or the imminent threatened loss of a material water supply source will provide reasonable notice to Watermaster of the condition and the expected impact, if any, on the projected groundwater use.
- 8.3 <u>Continuing Covenant.</u> To ameliorate any long-term risks attributable to reliance upon un-replenished groundwater production by the Desalters, the annual availability of any portion of the 400,000 acre-feet set aside as controlled overdraft as a component of the Physical Solution, is expressly subject to Watermaster making an annual finding about whether it is in substantial compliance with the revised Watermaster Recharge Master Plan pursuant to Paragraphs 7.3 and 8.1 above.

- 8.4 <u>Acknowledgment re 6,500 Acre-Foot Supplemental Recharge</u>. The Parties make the following acknowledgments regarding the 6,500 Acre-Foot Supplemental Recharge:
 - (a) A fundamental premise of the Physical Solution is that all water users dependent upon Chino Basin will be allowed to pump sufficient waters from the Basin to meet their requirements. To promote the goal of equal access to groundwater within all areas and sub-areas of the Chino Basin, Watermaster has committed to use its best efforts to direct recharge relative to production in each area and subarea of the Basin and to achieve long-term balance between total recharge and discharge. The Parties acknowledge that to assist Watermaster in providing for recharge, the Peace Agreement sets forth a requirement for Appropriative Pool purchase of 6,500 acre-feet per year of Supplemental Water for recharge in Management Zone 1 (MZ1). The purchases have been credited as an addition to Appropriative Pool storage accounts. The water recharged under this program has not been accounted for as Replenishment water.
 - (b) Watermaster was required to evaluate the continuance of this requirement in 2005 by taking into account provisions of the Judgment, Peace Agreement and OBMP, among all other relevant factors. It has been determined that other obligations in the Judgment and Peace Agreement, including the requirement of hydrologic balance and projected replenishment obligations, will provide for sufficient wetwater recharge to make the separate commitment of Appropriative Pool purchase of 6,500 acre-feet unnecessary. Therefore, because the recharge target as described in the Peace Agreement has been achieved, further purchases under the program will cease and Watermaster will proceed with operations in accordance with the provisions of paragraphs (c), (d) and (e) below.
 - (c) The parties acknowledge that, regardless of Replenishment obligations, Watermaster will independently determine whether to require wet-water recharge within MZ1 to maintain hydrologic balance and to provide equal access to groundwater in accordance with the provisions of this Section 8.4 and in a manner consistent with the Peace Agreement, OBMP and the Long Term Plan for Subsidence.". Watermaster will conduct its recharge in a manner to provide hydrologic balance within, and will emphasize recharge in MZ1. Accordingly, the Parties acknowledge and agree that each year Watermaster shall continue to be guided in the exercise of its discretion concerning recharge by the principles of hydrologic balance.
 - (d) Consistent with its overall obligations to manage the Chino Basin to ensure hydrologic balance within each management zone, for the duration of the Peace Agreement (until June of 2030), Watermaster will ensure that a minimum of 6,500 acre-feet of wet water recharge occurs within MZ1 on an annual basis. However, to the extent that water is unavailable for recharge or there is no replenishment obligation in any year, the obligation to recharge 6,500 acre-feet will accrue and be satisfied in subsequent years.
 - (1) Watermaster will implement this measure in a coordinated manner so as to

facilitate compliance with other agreements among the parties, including but not limited to the Dry-Year Yield Agreements.

- (2) In preparation of the Recharge Master Plan, Watermaster will consider whether existing groundwater production facilities owned or controlled by producers within MZ1 may be used in connection with an aquifer storage and recovery ("ASR") project so as to further enhance recharge in specific locations and to otherwise meet the objectives of the Recharge Master Plan.
- (e) Five years from the effective date of the Peace II Measures, Watermaster will cause an evaluation of the minimum recharge quantity for MZ1. After consideration of the information developed in accordance with the studies conducted pursuant to paragraph 3 below, the observed experiences in complying with the Dry Year Yield Agreements as well as any other pertinent information, Watermaster may increase the minimum requirement for MZ1 to quantities greater than 6,500 acre-feet per year. In no circumstance will the commitment to recharge 6,500 acre-feet be reduced for the duration of the Peace Agreement.

ARTICLE IX

9.1 <u>Basin Management Assistance</u>. Three Valleys Municipal Water District ("TVMWD") shall assist in the management of the Basin through a financial contribution of \$300,000 to study the feasibility of developing a water supply program within Management Zone 1 of the Basin or in connection with the evaluation of Future Desalters. The study will emphasize assisting Watermaster in meeting its OBMP Implementation Plan objectives of concurrently securing Hydraulic Control through Re-Operation while attaining Management Zone 1 subsidence management goals. Further, TVMWD has expressed an interest in participating in future projects in the Basin that benefit TVMWD. If TVMWD wishes to construct or participate in such future projects, TVMWD shall negotiate with Watermaster in good faith concerning a possible "buy-in" payment.

9.2 Allocation of Non-Agricultural Pool OBMP Special Assessment

a. For a period of ten years from the effective date of the Peace II Measures, any water (or financial equivalent) that may be contributed from the Overlying (Non-Agricultural) Pool in accordance with paragraph 8(c) of Exhibit G to the Judgment (as amended) will be apportioned among the members of the Appropriative Pool in each year as follows:

(i)	City of Ontario.	80 af
(ii)	City of Upland	161 af
(iii)	Monte Vista Water District	213 af
(iv)	City of Pomona	220 af
(v)	Marygold Mutual Water Co	16 af
(vi)	West Valley Water District	15 af
EXHIBIT B



CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 Tel: 909.484.3888 Fax: 909.484.3890 www.cbwm.org

P TER KAVOUNAS, P.E. General Manager

STAFF REPORT

DATE: November 17, 2022

TO: Advisory Committee and Board Members

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2022/23 Annual Finding of Substantial Compliance with the Recharge Master Plan (Consent Calendar Item I.C.)

SUMMARY:

<u>Issue</u>: The Finding is required on an annual basis according to Section 8.3 of the Peace II Agreement. [Normal Course of Business]

Recommendation:

Advisory Committee: Recommend to the Watermaster Board to adopt the finding that Watermaster is in substantial compliance with the Recharge Master Plan.

Board Members: Adopt the finding that Watermaster is in substantial compliance with the Recharge Master Plan.

Financial Impact: There is no financial impact associated with this action.

<u>Future Consideration</u> **Advisory Committee – November 17, 2022:** Advice and Assistance **Watermaster Board – November 17, 2022:** Adoption

ACTIONS:

 Appropriative Pool – November 10, 2022: Unanimously recommended Advisory to recommend Board adoption.

 Non-Agricultural Pool – November 10, 2022: Unanimously recommended their representatives to support at Advisory Committee and Board meetings subject to any changes they deem necessary.

 Agricultural Pool – November 10, 2022: Unanimously recommended Advisory Committee to recommend Board adoption.

 Agricultural Pool – November 10, 2022: Unanimously recommended Advisory Committee to recommend Board adoption.

 Advisory Committee – November 17, 2022:

Watermaster Board – November 17, 2022:

BACKGROUND

During the period of 2008-2010, Watermaster, in collaboration with the Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA) and Chino Basin Water Conservation District (CBWCD), completed the 2010 Recharge Master Plan Update (RMPU). The RMPU was submitted to the Court in June 2010, and the Court subsequently approved the 2010 RMPU in October 2010. Watermaster has completed the amendment of the 2010 RMPU, pursuant to the Court's order, which the Board adopted in September 2013. The IEUA and Watermaster completed the most recent version of the RMPU in 2018 and will complete the next update before the end of 2023.

Pursuant to Section 8.3 of the Peace II Agreement, Watermaster is obligated to make an annual finding that it is in substantial compliance with the 2018 Recharge Master Plan. This requirement exists to ameliorate any long-term risk attributable to reliance upon un-replenished groundwater production by the Desalters and is a condition on the annual availability of any portion of the 400,000 acre-feet set aside as controlled overdraft (Re-Operation). Recently, pursuant to Section 6.2(b) of the Peace Agreement, as the amendment is shown in the March 15, 2019 Court Order, the Desalter Replenishment Obligation is now being replenished by the Appropriative Pool through wet or stored water. West Yost (WY) has prepared the attached opinion regarding the adequacy of replenishment capacity, which includes the information that Watermaster needs to make this finding for Fiscal Year 2022-2023.

DISCUSSION

The analysis performed by WY finds that current projections indicate that Watermaster has sufficient recharge capacity to meet the future replenishment obligations based on the knowledge of the basin's conditions in FY 2022-23 and future water management projections provided by the Watermaster Stakeholders. Current analysis indicates that even if Re-Operation were terminated at any time through 2030, Watermaster would be able to immediately increase its replenishment activity and replenish any overproduction in the Basin as required by the Judgment.

The item was presented to the three Pool Committees on November 10, 2022 where it unanimously recommended the Advisory Committee to recommend Board adoption.

ATTACHMENTS

1. October 31, 2022 Letter from West Yost to Watermaster: Annual Finding of Substantial Compliance with the Watermaster Recharge Master Plan – Fiscal Year 2022-23



23692 Birtcher Drive Lake Forest CA 92630 530.756.5991 fax

949.420.3030 phone westyost.com

October 31, 2022

Project No.: 941-80-21-45 SENT VIA: EMAIL

Mr. Peter Kavounas General Manager Chino Basin Watermaster 9641 San Bernardino Road Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

SUBJECT: Annual Finding of Substantial Compliance with the Recharge Master Plan -Fiscal Year 2022-23

Mr. Kavounas:

At your direction and pursuant to the Peace II Agreement, West Yost has prepared this opinion regarding the adequacy of replenishment capacity in the Chino Basin to support an annual finding of substantial compliance with the Chino Basin Watermaster (Watermaster) Recharge Master Plan (RMP).

In part, Section 7.3 of the Peace II Agreement reads:

Re-Operation and Watermaster's apportionment of controlled overdraft will not be suspended in the event that Hydraulic Control is achieved in any year before the full 400,000 acre-feet has been produced so long as: [...] Watermaster is in substantial compliance with a Court approved Recharge Master Plan as set forth in Paragraph 8.1 below.

Review of Section 8.1 of the Peace II Agreement indicates that this compliance relates to the implementation of plans to ensure that Watermaster has enough supplemental water recharge capacity to meet its replenishment obligation after re-operation water is completely exhausted. Section 8.3 of the Peace II Agreement states:

To ameliorate any long-term risks attributable to reliance upon un-replenished groundwater production by the Desalters, the annual availability of any portion of the 400,000 acre-feet set aside as controlled overdraft as a component of the Physical Solution, is expressly subject to Watermaster making an annual finding about whether it is in substantial compliance with the revised Watermaster Recharge Master Plan pursuant to Paragraphs 7.3 and 8.1 above.

Pursuant to the Peace II Agreement, following the completion of the 2010 Recharge Master Plan Update (RMPU), Watermaster is obligated to make an annual finding that there is enough supplemental water recharge capacity to meet projected replenishment obligations.

This letter report includes the information required by Watermaster to determine if there is enough supplemental water recharge capacity to meet its projected replenishment obligations.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology used to determine if sufficient supplemental wet-water recharge capacity is available to meet projected replenishment obligations is to compare projected replenishment obligations to available supplemental wet-water recharge capacity over the period 2021 through 2050. Supplemental wet-water recharge capacity includes the capacity of spreading basins available for supplemental water recharge and the capacity to inject supplemental water at aquifer storage and recovery (ASR) wells. Figure 1 shows the locations of spreading basins and ASR wells in the Chino Basin. The supplemental water recharge capacity in the Chino Basin is listed in Table 1 by the type of recharge facility.^{1,2}

Table 1. Supplemental wet-water recharge capacity in the Chino Basin					
Recharge Facility	Recharge Capacity acre-feet per year (afy)				
Spreading basins ³	56,600				
ASR wells	5,480				
Total	61,480				

The most recent projections of replenishment obligations were developed in 2022 as part of the 2021 Data Collection and Evaluation effort for the period of 2022 through 2050. These replenishment obligation projections are based on the Watermaster Parties' (Parties) best estimates of how future water supplies will be used to meet their water demands.

The most recent estimates of supplemental water recharge capacity were developed in 2018 as part of the 2018 RMPU. These estimates will be reviewed and updated as needed in the 2023 RMPU. As of this writing, the supplemental water recharge capacity in the Chino Basin is assumed to be constant through 2050.

This analysis also considers the potential for certain conditions to impact Watermaster's ability to meet its replenishment obligations, including:

- Reduced availability of imported water
- Suspension of Basin Reoperation
- Contractual requirements of the Dry-Year Yield Program

¹ WEI. (2018). 2018 Recharge Master Plan Update. October 2018.

http://www.cbwm.org/docs/engdocs/2018%20RMPU/20180914_2018_RMPU_final.pdf

² For additional technical documentation on the development of wet-water recharge capacity estimates, refer to Section 4 of the *2018 Recharge Master Plan Update*.

³ This estimate takes into consideration the use of spreading basins for stormwater recharge (*i.e.*, excludes the recharge capacity used for stormwater recharge).

117°40'0"W







Miles

117°40'0"W

Chino Basin Watermaster Annual Finding of Substantial Compliance with the Recharge Master Plan



Groundwater Recharge in the Chino Basin

Figure 1 Exhibits-Decl of B. Herrema Page 39 of 166

RESULTS

Table 2 shows the supplemental wet-water recharge capacity [Column (*b*)] and the projected annual replenishment obligation from 2022 to 2050 [Column (*c*)].⁴ Comparing Columns (*b*) and (*c*) in Table 2 indicates there is sufficient supplemental wet-water recharge capacity (61,480 afy) to meet the projected wet-water replenishment obligations (up to 2,800 afy).

Analysis Under a Worst-Case Scenario

The worst-case scenario analysis considers the potential for certain conditions that may impact Watermaster's ability to meet its replenishment obligations, including:

- Reduced availability of imported water
- Suspension of Basin Reoperation
- Contractual requirements of the Dry-Year Yield Program

Reduced Availability of Imported Water

The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Metropolitan) provides imported water to the Chino Basin area through the Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA). The imported water supplies are not guaranteed to Watermaster because during periods of shortages (when Metropolitan's demands exceed available supplies) Metropolitan may not deliver imported water to the Chino Basin for replenishment. In January 2016, Metropolitan completed its 2015 Integrated Resources Plan (IRP) Update.⁵ Metropolitan reported that if its IRP is fully implemented, shortages in Metropolitan supplies will occur approximately 9 percent of the time under 2020 conditions, 4 percent of the time under 2025 conditions, and 0 percent under 2030 conditions. However, as Metropolitan implements the 2015 IRP, modifications to the proposed projects in the IRP may cause additional shortages than previously projected. For instance, in 2019, one of the main projects recommended in the 2015 IRP – the California WaterFix tunnel project (now called the Delta Conveyance Project) – was downsized. As of this writing, construction of this project is not certain. If Metropolitan does not fully implement its 2015 IRP, shortages in Metropolitan supplies are projected to occur about 12 percent of the time under 2020 conditions and up to 80 percent under 2040 conditions.⁶

To be conservative, uncertainty in imported water availability as analyzed by Metropolitan was accounted for in this analysis by assuming that under the 2015 IRP projections, Watermaster will only be able to purchase water imported water for replenishment purposes in one out of every five years (*i.e.,* shortages will occur 80 percent of the time).

⁴ The 2020 SYR assumes 80 percent of a replenishment obligation is satisfied from storage and 20 percent is satisfied by wet-water recharge via spreading and injection (see Table 7-3 of the 2020 SYR).

⁵ Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (2016). Integrated Water Resources Plan: 2015 Update. Report No. 1518. <u>http://www.mwdh2o.com/</u>

⁶ These projections were updated as part of the 2020 IRP Update to evaluate four scenarios. Under the worst-case scenario, water shortages are expected to occur7 percent of the time in 2025 and 29 percent of the time in 2045. For more detail, see: Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (2021). Refined Water Supply and Demand Gap Analysis. <u>https://www.mwdh2o.com/media/21085/06222021-irp-6a-presentation.pdf</u> However, the 2015 estimates were used as a worst-case scenario.

Table 3	2. Supplementa	al Wet-Water Recharge	e Capacity, Projec	ted Replenishment	t Obligation, and	Recharge Capacity
	Requi	red to Meet Replenish	ment Obligations	Under Cumulative	Adverse Conditio	ons
			FY 2020-2050; acre-fe	eet per year		
			Recharge capad	city required to meet	replenishment	
			obligation un	der cumulative adve	rse conditions	
Fiscal Year	Supplemental wet-water recharge capacity	Projected annual replenishment obligation assumed to be satisfied by wet- water recharge	If imported water is available one out of five years	If reoperation were discontinued (e) = (d) + reoperation	If DYYP recharge occurs on the same year	Excess supplemental wet- water recharge capacity under worst-case scenario
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	offset	(f) = (e) + 25,000	(g) = (f) - (b)
2022		0				
2023		0				
2024		0				
2025		0	0	7 271	22.271	20.100
2026		451	0	/,3/1	32,371	29,109
2027		431				
2028		1 403				
2025		1,405				
2031		1.260	5.922	25.922	50.922	10.558
2032		1,083				
2033		906				
2034		728				
2035		551				
2036	61,480	1,002	4,270	4,270	29,270	32,210
2037		1,453				
2038		1,904				
2039		2,354				
2040		2,805				
2041		1,992	10,508	10,508	35,508	25,972
2042		1,992				
2043		1,992				
2044		1,992				
2045		1,992				
2046		1,992	9,959	9,959	34,959	26,521
2047		1,992				
2048		1,992				
2049		1,992				
2050		1,992				

Suspension of Basin Reoperation

The annual maximum amount of Basin Reoperation water used to meet the replenishment obligation of the Desalters is 12,500 afy through 2030. If Basin Reoperation was discontinued at any time through 2030, the annual maximum replenishment obligation could increase. Table 2 [Column *(e)*] shows the projected recharge capacity required to meet replenishment obligations if Basin Reoperation were discontinued at any point before 2030.

Contractual Requirements of the Dry-Year Yield Program

The IEUA and Watermaster have a contractual requirement with Metropolitan to recharge up to 25,000 afy under the Dry-Year Yield Program (DYYP). The DYYP contract terminates in 2028. Table 2 [Column (*f*)] shows the projected recharge capacity required to meet replenishment obligations and to recharge 25,000 afy for DYYP, assuming DYYP continues after 2028.

Worst-Case Scenario Results

Comparing Columns (*b*) and (*f*) in Table 2 indicates there is sufficient supplemental wet-water recharge capacity (61,480 afy) to meet the maximum projected wet-water replenishment obligation and recharge up to 25,000 afy under the worst-case scenario (up to 50,922 afy).

Other Recharge and Excess Capacity

Some Parties want to utilize wet-water recharge capacity to store supplemental water in the Chino Basin. Table 2 [Column (g)] shows the excess supplemental wet-water recharge capacity under the worst-case scenario (*i.e.*, reduced imported water availability, suspension of Basin Reoperation, and DYYP recharge). The minimum excess supplemental wet-water recharge capacity under the worst-case scenario from 2022 to 2050 is projected to be about 10,600 afy. Therefore, this analysis indicates that at least 10,600 afy of wet-water recharge capacity will be available for the Parties to recharge and store supplemental water in the Chino Basin through 2050.

CONCLUSIONS

Watermaster's ability to recharge the Chino Basin with supplemental water is sufficient to meet its projected replenishment obligations, even under conditions of reduced availability of imported water, increased replenishment obligations (*i.e.*, suspension of Basin Reoperation), and/or decreased recharge capacity (*i.e.*, the need to recharge for the DYYP). Additionally, Watermaster can purchase imported surface water when it is available for use in-lieu of groundwater (in-lieu recharge). There is about 17,700 afy of in-lieu recharge capacity available that can be used to meet future replenishment obligations.

Please contact Carolina Sanchez if you have any questions or concerns regarding this opinion.

Sincerely, WEST YOST

Carolina Sanche

Carolina Sanchez, PE Senior Engineer RCE #85598

EXHIBIT C

FINAL REPORT | SEPTEMBER 2023

2023 Recharge Master Plan Update

PREPARED FOR

Chino Basin Watermaster



Exhibits-Decl of B. Herrema Page 44 of 166

2023 Recharge Master Plan Update

Prepared for

Chino Basin Watermaster

Project No. 941-80-22-11



Project Manager: Carolina Sanchez, PE

EMI

QA/QC Review: Andy Malone, PG

09/28/23

09/28/23

Date

Date

CHAPTER 1 Introduction
1.1 Background1-11.1.1 Optimum Basin Management Program1-31.1.2 Recharge Planning1-41.1.3 Recharge Master Plan Activities and Project Implementation1-7
1.2 Scope of Recharge Master Plan Required by the Peace Agreement, Peace II Agreement, and Court Orders1-11
1.3 Organization of this Report1-11
CHAPTER 2 Existing Recharge Facilities and Activities2-1
2.1 Spreading Basins2-12.1.1 Spreading Basin Description2-12.1.2 Historical Recharge Activity2-3
2.2 ASR Facilities
2.3 In-Lieu Recharge2-62.3.1 Facilities Used to Effectuate In-Lieu Recharge2-62.3.2 Historical In-Lieu Recharge Activity2-92.3.3 In-Lieu Capacity2-9
2.4 MS4 Facilities2-112.4.1 Historical MS4 Recharge Activity2-122.4.2 Deficiencies in MS4 Facilities Documentation and Reporting2-15
2.5 Planned Recharge Facilities Currently Being Implemented2-15
2.6 Summary of Existing and Planned Recharge Capacity2-16
CHAPTER 3 Basin Response to Historical Recharge Activities
3.1 Groundwater-Level Changes
3.2 Hydrologic Balance
3.3 Hydraulic Control3-12
CHAPTER 4 Planning Projections
4.1 Projected Water Demands and Supplies4-1
4.2 Projected Recharge of Recycled Water4-5
4.3 Projected Replenishment Obligation and Recharge of Imported Water to Satisfy It
4.4 Managed Storage4-8
4.5 Recharge and Replenishment Water Sources, Availability, and Cost
CHAPTER 5 Basin Response to Planning Projections
5.1 Projected Groundwater-Level Response

5.2 Projected Hydrologic Balance	5-10
5.3 Projected Hydraulic Control	5-10
5.4 Data Collection and Evaluation Findings Related to the RMPU	5-13
CHAPTER 6 Recharge Capacity Needs to Meet Future Obligations	6-1
 6.1 Future Recharge and Replenishment Projections 6.1.1 Availability of Supplemental Water for Replenishment 6.1.2 Future Recharge Capacity Requirements for Supplemental Water 	6-1 6-1 6-3
6.2 Recharge To Manage Land Subsidence And Pumping Sustainability	6-5
6.3 Recharge To Ensure The Balance Of Recharge And Discharge	6-5
CHAPTER 7 Renewal and Replacement Plan	7-1
7.1 Asset Inventory	7-1
7.2 Useful Life and Remaining Useful Life Estimates	7-4
7.3 Unit Cost Estimates	7-4
 7.4 Renewal and Replacement Planning 7.4.1 Renewal and Replacement Intervals	
CHAPTER 8 Conclusions and Implementation Plan	8-1
8.1 Conclusions	8-1
8.2 Limitations	8-1
8.3 Other Recharge-Related Activities	8-1
8.4 Implementation Plan	8-2
CHAPTER 9 References	9-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1 2013 RMPU Recharge Projects1-10	
Table 2-1 Average Stormwater Recharge and Supplemental Water Recharge Capacity Estimates2-2	
Table 2-2 Summary of the Annual Wet-Water Recharge Records in the Chino Basin, afy	
Table 2-3 MVWD ASR Injection and Extraction Capacity 2-7	
Table 2-4a Estimated In-Lieu Recharge Capacities for Appropriative Pool Parties Under Current Conditions 2-10	
Table 2-4b Estimated In-Lieu Recharge Capacities for Appropriative Pool Parties Under Design Conditions 2-10	

Table 2-5 Summary of the Compliance with Section 5 of the 2013 Amendment to the 2010 RMPU forProjects Constructed during FY 2010/11 to FY 2020/212-13
Table 2-6. Estimated Recharge Capacities in the Chino Basin
Table 3-1 Historical and Projected Change in Storage in MZ1, MZ2, and MZ33-10
Table 3-2 Historical and Projected Supplemental Water Recharge in MZ1, MZ2, and MZ33-11
Table 4-1 Projected Aggregate Water Supply for Watermaster Parties and the CDA
Table 4-2 Recycled Water Recharge Projections, 2023-2045
Table 4-3 Projected Cost to Purchase Imported Water from Metropolitan Water District of SouthernCalifornia (Metropolitan) Excluding Capacity Member Agency Imposed Charges4-11
Table 5-1 Historical and Projected Change in Storage in MZ1, Mz2, and MZ35-11
Table 6-1. Supplemental Wet-Water Recharge Capacity, Projected Replenishment Obligation, andRecharge Capacity Required to Meet Replenishment Obligations Under CumulativeAdverse Conditions6-2
Table 7-1. Chino Basin Asset Inventory Summary7-3
Table 7-2. Useful Life and Unit Cost of Recharge System Assets 7-5
Table 7-3. Renewal Interval Details 7-7
Table 7-4. Replacement Soft Costs (applied to Replacements only)
Table 7-5. Summary of R&R 10-Year Forecast 7-10
Table 8-1 – Recharge Projects and Status8-4

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Project Location1-2
Figure 1-2 Time History of Channel Lining in the Chino Basin1-5
Figure 1-3 Streambed Infiltration and Managed Recharge of Stormwater in the Chino Basin (1978- 2022)1-6
Figure 1-4 Recharge Improvements in the Chino Basin Since Implementation of the OBMP and the 2001 RMP1-9
Figure 2-1 Recharge of Recycled Water, Stormwater, and Dry-Weather Runoff in the Chino Basin Since Implementation of the ORMP and the 2001 Recharge Master Plan 2-4
Figure 2-2 MVWD ASR Wells
Figure 2-3 MS4 Projects Submitted to Watermaster2-14
Figure 3-1a Groundwater Elevation Contours-Layer1, 2000
Figure 3-1b Groundwater Elevation Contours-Layer 1, 2018
Figure 3-1c Groundwater Elevation Contours-Layer 1, 2022
Figure 3-2a Groundwater Elevation Change-2000 to 2018

Figure 3-2b Groundwater Elevation Change- 2018 to 2022	3-7
Figure 3-2c Groundwater Elevation Change-2000 to 2022	3-8
Figure 3-3. Historical Groundwater Discharge from CCWF (FY 2000/01 to FY 2017/18)	-13
Figure 4-1 Comparison of Aggregate Water Demands in the Chino Basin for Various Planning Investigations	4-3
Figure 4-2 Aggregate Water Supply Plan for Chino Basin Parties	4-4
Figure 4-3 Projected Annual Supplemental Water Replenishment and Recharge Requirements, 2023-2045	4-7
Figure 4-4 Historical and Projected Managed Storage in the Chino Basin (2001-2045)	4-9
Figure 5-1a Groundwater Elevation Change- Layer 1	5-2
Figure 5-1b Groundwater Elevation Change- Layer 1	5-3
Figure 5-1c Groundwater Elevation Change – Layer 1	5-4
Figure 5-1d Groundwater Elevation Change – Layer 1	5-5
Figure 5-2 Evaluation of Potential for Future Land Subsidence 2018-2050	5-7
Figure 5-3 Evaluation of Potential Future Pumping Sustainability Challenges 2018-2050	5-9
Figure 5-4 Evaluation of Future Maintenance of Hydraulic Control (2023-2045)5-	-12
Figure 6-1. Comparison of Projected Annual Recharge and Replenishment Obligation to Supplemental Water Recharge Capacity	6-4
Figure 7-1. Summary of R&R 10-Year Forecast	7-9
Figure 7-2. Summary of R&R 10-Year Forecast by Asset Discipline	7-9
Figure 8-1 – Recharge Projects Location Map	8-5

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A. In-Lieu Recharge Calculations for Appropriative Pool Parties

A1. In-Lieu Recharge Calculations for Appropriative Pool Parties Under Current Conditions

A2. In-Lieu Recharge Calculations for Appropriative Pool Parties Under Design Conditions

Appendix B. Renewal and Replacement Projection Details (10-year period)

Appendix C. Review Comments and Responses

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

af	Acre-Feet
afy	Acre-Feet Per Year
AMP	Asset Management Plan
BASIN	Chino Basin
CBFIP	Chino Basin Facilities Improvement Program
CBWCD	Chino Basin Conservation District
CDA	Chino Basin Desalter Authority
CIM	California Institution for Men
DCE	Data Collection and Evaluation
DCV	Design Capture Volume
DWR	Department of Water Resources
ET	Evapotranspiration
FWC	Fontana Water Company
GLMC	Ground Level Monitoring Committee
JCSD	Jurupa Community Service District
Judgement	Stipulated Judgment
Metropolitan	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
mgd	Million Gallons Per Day
MVWD	Monte Vista Water District
0&M	Operation and Maintenance
OBMP	Optimum Basin Management Program
Parties	Chino Basin Parties
R&R	Renewal and Replacement
Regional Board	Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board
RMP	Recharge Master Plan
RMPU	Recharge Master Plan Update
RTS	Readiness To Serve
RUL	Remaining Useful Life
SBCFCD	San Bernadino County Flood Control District
SMP	Subsidence Management Plan
SRF	State Revolving Fund
SWP	State Water Project
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
SYR	Safe Yield Recalculation
TAF	Thousand Acre Feet
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TVMWD	Three Valley's Municipal Water District
UL	Useful Life
USACE	US Army Corps of Engineers
WEI	Wildermuth Environmental, Inc.
WFA	Water Facilities Authority

This chapter describes the background of the recharge master plan (RMP) process and the objectives and requirements of the Recharge Master Plan Update (RMPU). It also provides the report organization that will satisfy the requirements.

1.1 BACKGROUND

Figure 1-1 is a location map of the Chino Basin (Basin). The Basin lies within the counties of Los Angeles, San Bernadino, and Riverside; includes the cities of Chino, Chino Hills, Eastvale, Fontana, Ontario, Pomona, Rancho Cucamonga, Upland, and several other communities; and covers about 235 square miles.

The Basin is an integral part of the regional and statewide water supply system and is one of the largest groundwater basins in Southern California, containing about 12 million acre-feet (af) of water in storage and an unused storage capacity of over 1,000,000 af. Multiple cities and other water-supply entities pump groundwater from the Basin to satisfy all or part of their municipal and industrial demands. Agricultural users also pump groundwater from the Basin.

Production and storage rights in the Basin are defined in the Stipulated Judgment¹ (Judgment), issued in 1978 (Chino Basin Municipal Water District vs. the City of Chino et al. [SBSC Case No. RCV RS51010]). Since that time, the Basin has been sustainably managed as required by the Judgment under the direction of a Court-appointed Watermaster. The Judgment declares that the Safe Yield² of the Chino Basin is 140,000 acre-feet per year (afy³), which is allocated among three pools of right holders as follows:

Overlying agricultural pool	82,800 afy
Overlying non-agricultural pool	7,366 afy
Appropriative pool	49,834 afy

A fundamental premise of the Judgment is that all Basin water users are allowed to pump sufficient water from the Basin to meet their requirements. To the extent that pumping by a party exceeds its share of the Safe Yield, assessments are levied by Watermaster to replace overproduction. The Judgment also recognizes that there exists a substantial amount of available unused groundwater storage capacity in the Basin that can be utilized for storage and the conjunctive use of supplemental and local waters. Utilization of this storage is subject to Watermaster control and regulation. The Judgment provides that any person or public entity, whether a party to the Judgment or not, may make reasonable beneficial use of the available storage, provided that no such use shall be made except pursuant to a written storage agreement with Watermaster.

¹ Original judgement in Chino Basin Municipal Water District vs. City of Chino, et al., signed by Judge Howard B. Weiner, Case No. 164327. File transferred August 1989, by order of the Court and assigned new case number RCV51010. The restated Judgement can be found <u>here</u>.

² "Safe Yield" is a defined term in the Judgment.

³ The Safe Yield was recalculated in 2020 to be 131,000 afy for the period of 2021 through 2030.



Prepared by:





Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update





Service Area Boundaries of Major Water Purveyors (Various Colors)



NN-

Chino Basin Hydrologic Boundary

Rivers and Streams





Location of the Chino Basin

Exhibits-Decl of **Eister**ent Page 52 of 166

1.1.1 Optimum Basin Management Program

The Judgment gave Watermaster the authority to develop an optimum basin management program (OBMP) for the Basin, including both water quantity and quality considerations. Watermaster, with direction from the Court, began the development of the OBMP in 1998 and completed it in July 2000 (2000 OBMP). The 2000 OBMP was developed in a public collaborative process, consisting of the development of a set of management goals, the identification of impediments to those goals, and the identification of a series of actions that could be taken to remove the impediments and achieve the management goals. The goals of the 2000 OBMP include:

- 1. Enhance Basin Water Supplies
- 2. Protect and Enhance Water Quality
- 3. Enhance Management of the Basin
- 4. Equitably Finance the OBMP

The 2000 OBMP consists of nine program elements or initiatives that contain actions that remove the impediments to the goals and enable their achievement. These include:

- Program Element 1 Develop and Implement Comprehensive Monitoring Program
- Program Element 2 Develop and Implement Comprehensive Recharge Program
- Program Element 3 Develop and Implement Water Supply Plan for the Impaired Areas of the Basin
- Program Element 4 Develop and Implement Comprehensive Groundwater Management Plan for Management Zone 1
- Program Element 5 Develop and Implement Regional Supplemental Water Program
- Program Element 6 Develop and Implement Cooperative Programs with the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region (Regional Board) and Other Agencies to Improve Basin Management
- Program Element 7 Develop and Implement Salt Management Program
- Program Element 8 Develop and Implement Groundwater Storage Management Program
- Program Element 9 Develop and Implement Conjunctive-Use Programs

The Court approved the 2000 OBMP and its implementation agreement, hereafter the Peace Agreement,⁴ in October 2000. Each program element contains an implementation plan and schedule. The implementation plan and schedule are included in both the 2000 OBMP report (Wildermuth Environmental, Inc. [WEI], 1999) and the Peace Agreement. The 2000 OBMP implementation plan was updated in 2007 and implemented through the Peace II Agreement. The parties to the Peace Agreement and the Peace II Agreement were bound to implement them and have done so under Court supervision.

The OBMP was updated in 2020 (2020 OBMPU) which retained the same goals and program elements as the 2000 OBMP. However, the implementation plan for the 2020 OBMPU has not been developed.

⁴ The Peace Agreement is located here: <u>http://www.cbwm.org/docs/legaldocs/Peace_Agreement.pdf</u>

1.1.2 Recharge Planning

The IEUA, Watermaster, and many other stakeholders have collaborated to implement the OBMP program elements. Program Element 2 – Develop and Implement Comprehensive Recharge Program is fundamental to achieving the first two OBMP goals (1—Enhance Basin Water Supplies and 2—Protect and Enhance Water Quality). Prior to the OBMP, in response to rapid urbanization, the San Bernardino County Flood Control District (SBCFCD) and the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) constructed flood control projects that efficiently capture and convey stormwater to the Santa Ana River to reduce potential flooding, effectively eliminating the groundwater recharge that formerly took place in the stream channels and flood plains that cross the Basin. These flood control projects consisted of concrete lining of major drainages across the Basin and the construction of retention basins to temporarily store stormwater and release it in 24 hours or less. Some provisions were made to mitigate the loss of recharge from these flood control projects at that time, but these provisions failed to achieve the groundwater recharge that took place prior to the construction of these flood control projects. Figure 1-2 shows the locations of the major channels that cross the Chino Basin from the San Gabriel Mountains to the Santa Ana River and the time history of their concrete lining. Figure 1-3 shows the time history of stormwater recharge in the channels. The loss in recharge to the Basin due to the construction of the concrete-lined channels is estimated to be about 15,000 afy. Also, there were no mitigation efforts to preserve recharge when land uses were converted from native and agricultural uses (which are highly pervious) to urban uses (which are highly impervious). Concrete lining of the channels and the changes in land uses resulted in a decline in recharge to the Basin, and hence, a decline in the yield of the Basin. Program Element 2 was developed to reverse the loss in recharge and Basin yield.

Capturing and recharging stormwater and dry-weather runoff improves water quality in the Santa Ana River by reducing contributions of metals, nutrients, pathogens, and other constituents of concern, which is a regional benefit to other Santa Ana River Watershed parties and habitat. These contaminants are eliminated during recharge through soil-aquifer treatment processes and thus are not a concern for groundwater-quality degradation. In fact, the total dissolved solids (TDS) and nitrogen concentrations in stormwater recharge are very low, and hence, increasing stormwater recharge lowers the TDS and nitrate concentrations in groundwater.



Prepared by:





Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update



Time Periods in Which Channel Segments Were Lined



1990 - 1999

Groundwater Management Zone (GMZ)



Chino Basin

Hydrology



Streams and Flood Control Channels



Lakes and Flood Control Basins

Geology

Water-Bearing Sediments



Quaternary Alluvium

Consolidated Bedrock

Undifferentiated Pre-Tertiary to Early Pleistocene Igneous, Metamorphic, and Sedimentary Rocks





Time History of Channel Lining in the Chino Basin

Exhibits-Decl of **Bistereina** Page 55 of 166



WEST YOST

1.1.3 Recharge Master Plan Activities and Project Implementation

Watermaster, IEUA, Chino Basin Conservation District (CBWCD), and SBCFCD are partners in conducting recharge in the Chino Basin. The four agencies have an agreement to implement the existing recharge program.⁵ Watermaster, IEUA, CBWCD, and SBCFCD completed a recharge master plan in 2001 (2001 RMP) and began its implementation in 2001 with construction occurring between 2004 and 2014. As a result, seventeen existing flood-retention facilities were modified to increase diversion rates, increase conservation storage, and subsequently increase the recharge of stormwater and dry-weather runoff. Two new recharge facilities were also constructed as part of these efforts. Figure 1-4 shows these facilities. Watermaster has permits from the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) to divert surface water to the recharge facilities shown in Figure 1-4, store the recharged water in the Chino Basin, and subsequently recover it for beneficial use.⁶ Watermaster holds these permits in trust for all entities that rely on groundwater from the Chino Basin.

The cost of the 2001 RMP recharge improvements was about \$60 million, of which about half was grant funded and half was paid by Watermaster and IEUA. Based on monitoring recharge performance and numerical model investigations, the aggregate average annual stormwater and dry-weather runoff recharge due to the implementation of the 2001 RMP is estimated to be about 9,500 afy.

Watermaster, IEUA, CBWCD, and SBCFCD collaborated to develop the 2010 Recharge Master Plan Update and amended it in 2013. The 2010 Recharge Master Plan Update and its 2013 amendment (hereafter, collectively called the 2013 RMPU) were developed in a public, transparent process. The 2013 RMPU contains two types of recharge projects: yield-enhancement and production-sustainability projects. A steering committee was created to assist Watermaster and IEUA in preparing the 2013 RMPU. The steering committee issued a "call for projects" to all entities with an interest in stormwater and dry-weather runoff management and groundwater management in the Basin. The steering committee developed screening criteria to evaluate and rank the recharge projects. In total, 39 yield enhancement projects and nine production sustainability projects were identified and evaluated by the steering committee to determine average annual stormwater recharge and recycled water recharge capacities. The steering committee meetings were open to all stakeholders with an interest in stormwater and dry-weather runoff management and groundwater management in the Chino Basin.

The 2013 RMPU was completed pursuant to a Court order in September 2013 (WEI, 2013), filed with the Court in November 2013, and subsequently approved by the Court in its entirety in April 2014. The 2013 RMPU contains recommendations to construct ten new recharge facilities and an implementation plan to plan, design, and construct them. Table 1-1 lists the 2013 RMPU projects that were recommended for implementation, and Figure 1-4 shows their locations. Since the completion of the 2013 RMPU, the IEUA and Watermaster have executed Task Orders to plan, design, and construct the recommended

⁵ Agreement for Operation and Maintenance of Facilities to Implement the Chino Basin Recharge Master Plan. The effective dates of the agreement are from January 23, 2003 to December 31, 2032.

⁶ Watermaster holds three permits with the SWRCB for the diversion and recharge of stormwater in trust for the Parties. The SBCFCD is a co-permittee for two of these permits, 19895 and 20753. Each permit defines a maximum diversion limit and the period over which diversions are allowed to occur each year (diversion season): (1) Permit 19895 has a diversion limit of 15,000 acre-feet (af) from November 1 to April 30, (2) Permit 20753 has a diversion limit of 27,000 af from October 1 to May 1, and (3) Permit 21225 has a diversion limit of 68,500 af from January 1 to December 31.

facilities. During planning and preliminary design, the recommended 2013 RMPU projects were substantially refined. Half of the projects were found infeasible and were subsequently not implemented. Table 1-1 lists the 2013 RMPU projects that will be constructed and their expected annual stormwater recharge and supplemental water recharge capacity. With completion of the 2013 RMPU projects, stormwater recharge is projected to increase by 4,800 afy, and recycled water recharge capacity is projected to increase by 7,100 afy. The IEUA has applied for and been awarded grants and low-interest State Revolving Fund (SRF) loans to pay for some of the construction costs. As of this writing (summer 2023), three of the five 2013 RMPU projects have been constructed: Lower Day Basin, Victoria Basin, and San Sevaine Basin improvements. The Wineville/Jurupa/RP3 project is expected to be completed at the end of 2023 and the Montclair Basin project is expected to begin construction in 2024 with an estimated completion in 2024. The construction cost of the 2013 RMPU projects, after savings from grants acquired by IEUA, is expected to be about \$30 million, and the expected unit cost of the new stormwater recharge is about \$400 per af.⁷ For comparison, the cost to purchase untreated State Water Project (SWP) water from the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Metropolitan) in 2023 is about \$855 per af (including readiness to serve charges).

The 2013 RMPU implementation also included a process to create a database of all known local stormwater and dry-weather runoff management projects implemented through the municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) permits in the Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino County parts of the Chino Basin. The project types, physical characteristics, and time histories of maintenance are being stored in a database for periodic review with the intent of incorporating them into the surface water and groundwater models that Watermaster uses for planning (see Chapter 4.3).

Watermaster, IEUA, CBWCD, and SBCFCD collaborated to develop the 2018 RMPU. The 2018 RMPU did not include recommendations to construct new recharge facilities.



Prepared by:





Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update



Spreading Basins in the Chino Basin and Associated Projects



Projects in the 2001 Recharge Master Plan (2001 RMP)



Projects in 2013 Amendment to the 2010 Recharge Master Plan Update (2013 RMPU)

55

Projects in both 2001 RMP and 2013 RMPU

Projects considered in 2013 RMPU and deferred to a future RMPU

Watersheds Tributary to Santa Ana River



San Antonio/Chino Creek



Day Creek



San Sevaine Creek

Cucamonga Creek

Prado Basin Headlands



Inland Empire Utilities Agency Service Area Boundary

Streams & Flood Control Channels



OBMP Management Zones





Recharge Improvements in the Chino Basin Since Implementation of the OBMP and the 2001 RMP

Exhibits-Decl of **Bisteretna** Page 59 of 166

	Table 1-1. 2013 RMPU Recharge Projects								
		Project E	enefits as Documente 2013 RMPU Report	ed in	the	Project Benefits B Dur	ased on Project Desig	n Dev	eloped
Project ID	Project Name	New Stormwater Recharge (afy)	Recycled Water (afy)	Red	Stormwater charge Unit Cost (\$/af)	New Stormwater Recharge (afy)	Recycled Water (afy)	Sto Rech	rmwater harge Unit Cost (\$/af)
14	Turner Basin	66	-	\$	916				
15a	Ely Basin	221	-	\$	981				
17a	Lower San Sevaine Basin	1,221	-	\$	1,239	Projects did	not move to impleme	ntatio	n
18a	CSI Stormwater Basin	81	-	\$	388	Frojects did	not move to impleme	intatio	
25a	Sierra Basin	64	-	\$	537				
27	Declez Basin	241	-	\$	1,135				
2	Montclair Basin	248	-	\$	415	96	-	\$	1,384
7	San Sevaine Basins	642	1,911	\$	217	669	4,100	\$	384
11	Victoria Basin	43	120	\$	151	75	120	\$	112
12	Lower Day Basin	789	-	\$	242	993		\$	285
23a	2013 RMPU Proposed Wineville PS to Jurupa, Expanded Jurupa PS to RP3 Basin, and 2013 Proposed RP3 Improvements	3,166	2,905	\$	500	2,921	2,905	\$	406
	Total	6,782	4,936	\$	612	4,754	7,125	\$	391

1.2 SCOPE OF RECHARGE MASTER PLAN REQUIRED BY THE PEACE AGREEMENT, PEACE II AGREEMENT, AND COURT ORDERS

This Chapter describes the requirements of the Recharge Master Plans pursuant to the Peace Agreement, Peace II Agreement, and Special Referee's December 2007 Report.

Pursuant to these guiding documents, the general objectives of the RMPU are to:

- 1. Achieve and maintain long-term balance of recharge and discharge in every area and subarea of the Basin (Peace I Agreement Section 5.1 (e)⁸)
- Avoid material physical injury (MPI) (Peace I Agreement Section 5.1 (e) and Peace II Agreement Article 8.4⁹)
- Ensure there is enough recharge capacity and supplemental water available to meet future replenishment and recharge obligations (Peace I Agreement Section 5.1 (e) and Peace II Agreement Article 8.1, Special Referee's December 2007 Report¹⁰)
- 4. Protect and enhance the Safe Yield (Peace I Agreement Section 5.1 I and, Special Referee's December 2007 Report Sections VI, VII and VIII)

To meet these objectives, the RMPUs must consider and address recharge requirement projections, the availability of storm and supplemental waters for recharge and replenishment, and the physical means to satisfy these recharge projections. To the extent that new or modified facilities are required to meet the objectives, the RMPUs include a schedule for the planning, design, and construction of recharge improvements.

1.3 ORGANIZATION OF THIS REPORT

This report documents an investigation conducted by the Chino Basin Watermaster (Watermaster) and Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA) pursuant to the Court's direction to update the Recharge Master Plan (RMP) every five years. The 2018 Recharge Master Plan Update (RMPU) was completed on time and submitted to the Court in October 2018. This 2023 RMPU, like past updates, was prepared consistent with the requirements of the Peace Agreement, the Peace II Agreement, the December 2007 Court Order that approved the Peace II Agreement, and the Special Referee's December 2007 Report. The background and objectives of the RMPU are described in Chapters 1.1 and 1.2. The remainder of this report is organized as follows:

Chapter 2 – **Existing and Planned Recharge Facilities.** This chapter provides an inventory of recharge facilities and activities in the Chino Basin since the implementation of the OBMP and the 2001 RMP. It also provides a description of the recharge capacity of the recharge facilities, which can subsequently be compared to the recharge needs discussed in Chapter 5. Existing and planned recharge facilities include spreading basins, aquifer storage and recovery (ASR) wells, and MS4

⁸ <u>Peace Agreement</u>

⁹ Peace II Agreement

¹⁰ Part of the *Final Report and Recommendations on Motion for Approval of the Peace II Documents*

facilities. In-lieu recharge capabilities exist when the capacity to treat and serve imported water exceeds the imported water demands of the parties that have pumping rights.

Chapter 3 – Basin Response to Historical Recharge Activities. This chapter describes basin response to historical recharge activities since the implementation of the OBMP and changes that have occurred since the 2018 RMPU was completed. The basin response is described in terms of groundwater-level changes, hydrologic balance and hydraulic control. This information is used to determine the effectiveness of storm and supplemental water recharge activities in achieving OBMP goals and to inform Watermaster's decision on the location and magnitude of future supplemental water recharge.

Chapter 4 – Planning Projections. This chapter establishes planning assumptions for the completion of the 2023 RMPU. These projections of water supply, recharge, and replenishment are based on the most up to date information available to Watermaster developed through Watermaster's Data Collection and Evaluation efforts (West Yost, 2023). This chapter also describes changes in the availability and cost of replenishment sources. This information is used to evaluate the basin response to planning projections (Chapter 5) and determine the effectiveness of storm and supplemental water recharge activities in achieving OBMP goals and to inform Watermaster's decision on the location and magnitude of future supplemental water recharge.

Chapter 5 – Basin Response to Planning Projections. This chapter describes the basin response to the planning projections. The basin response is described in terms of groundwater-level changes, hydrologic balance and hydraulic control. This information is used to determine the effectiveness of storm and supplemental water recharge activities in achieving OBMP goals and to inform Watermaster's decision on the location and magnitude of future supplemental water recharge.

Chapter 6 – Future Recharge Capacity Needs to Meet Future Obligations. This chapter identifies future needs for recharge capacity in the Chino Basin and compares the need to the available recharge capacity. Chapter 5 documents the conclusion that the existing recharge strategy, and the facilities on which it relies, are sufficient through 2045.

Chapter 7 – Renewal and Replacement Plan. This chapter presents the renewal and replacement planning effort that was completed for Chino Basin recharge system assets.

Chapter 8 – **2023 Recharge Master Plan.** This chapter defines the 2023 RMPU, including the conclusions of the report, recommendations for future activities, and an implementation plan for the 2023 RMPU to meet the RMP objectives.

Chapter 9 – References.

Appendix A – In-Lieu Recharge Calculations for Appropriative Pool Parties. Appendix A details the in-lieu recharge capacity calculations as described in Chapter 2.

Appendix B – Renewal and Replacement Projection Details (10-year period). Appendix B details the renewal and replacement costs by year and asset, for the 10-year period, for all recharge facility assets.

Appendix C – Review Comments and Responses. Appendix C contains comments and responses on the draft 2023 RMPU Report.

The 2023 RMPU was developed through a stakeholder process. Watermaster convened several workshops with the Steering Committee through the Recharge Investigation & Projects Committee (RIPComm) over the course of developing the 2023 RMPU (from October 2022 to August 2023). At these workshops, the important assumptions and interim work products of the RMPU were presented. The presentations developed for these workshops were posted on the Watermaster's website.¹¹

As part of the stakeholder process, the development of 2023 RMPU was open to comments by all stakeholders, and all comments were responded to and/or addressed. Appendix C contains the comments and responses.

¹¹ <u>https://www.cbwm.org/pages/meetings/special_committees/</u>

This chapter provides an inventory of recharge facilities and activities in the Chino Basin since the implementation of the OBMP and the 2001 RMP. It also provides a description of the recharge capacity of the recharge facilities, which can subsequently be compared to the recharge needs discussed in Chapter 6. Existing and planned recharge facilities include spreading basins, ASR wells, and MS4 facilities. In-lieu recharge capabilities exist when the capacity to treat and serve imported water exceeds the imported water demands of the parties that have pumping rights.

2.1 SPREADING BASINS

Pursuant to the OBMP, Peace Agreement, and other agreements, Watermaster, the IEUA, CBWCD, and SBCFCD completed the 2001 RMP (Black and Veatch, 2001) and constructed spreading basin improvements from 2004 through 2014. These improvements were referred to as the Chino Basin Facilities Improvement Program (CBFIP). Seventeen existing flood retention facilities were modified, and two new spreading facilities were constructed. The waters recharged at these facilities include recycled imported and stormwaters, and dry-weather runoff. Figure 1-4 shows the location of these facilities.

2.1.1 Spreading Basin Description

Table 2-1 lists the spreading basins with the historical average stormwater recharge and supplemental water recharge capacity.¹² From an operational perspective, there are two types of recharge basins within the Chino Basin: conservation and multipurpose basins. Conservation basins do not have a primary flood control function and they are operated to recharge storm and supplemental water. Multipurpose basins are operated primarily for flood control and secondarily for recharging storm and supplemental water.

Table 2-1 shows the average annual storm and supplemental water recharge capacities of the spreading basins based on current conditions. Stormwater recharge varies by year, based on hydrologic conditions, and averaged about 9,200 afy from FY 2004/05 through FY 2021/22. Supplemental water recharge occurs during non-storm periods and the projected supplemental water recharge capacity averages about 56,600 afy.

¹² Appendix A of the 2018 RMPU documents the information and computations were used to estimate the supplemental water recharge capacity (WEI, 2018b).

Average Stormwater Recharge Supplemental Water				
Recharge Facility	FY 2003/04 through FY 2021/22	Supplemental Water Recharge Canacity		
	(afy)			
Management Zone 1				
Brooks Street Basin	462	1,658		
College Heights Basin - East	62	5,816		
College Heights Basin - West	63	2,064		
Vontclair Basin 1		409		
Vontclair Basin 2		2,940		
Vontclair Basin 3	952	400		
Vontclair Basin 4	7 [915		
Eighth Street Basin	072	3,426		
Seventh Street Basin	872	1,170		
Jpland Basin	390	891		
Subtotal Management Zone 1	2 739	19 689		
Vanagement Zone 2	2,,35	19,009		
	1.217	4.501		
_ , Grove Basin	279	-		
Etiwanda Debris Basin	183	2,908		
Hickory Basin East		856		
Hickory Basin West	303	1,420		
, ower Day Basin Cell 1		,		
ower Day Basin Cell 2	427	983		
ower Day Basin Cell 3				
San Sevaine No. 1		114		
San Sevaine No. 2	758	2,869		
San Sevaine No. 3	7 F	2,226		
Furner Basin No. 1		577		
Furner Basin No. 2	7	227		
Furner Basin No. 3	1 225	418		
Turner Basin No. 4A	1,335	981		
Гurner Basin No. 4В	7 [164		
Turner Basin No. 4C		191		
/ictoria Basin	317	2,279		
Subtotal Management Zone 2	4,819	20,713		
Vanagement Zone 3				
3anana Basin	226	1,790		
Declez Basin Cell 1		1,235		
Declez Basin Cell 2	566	823		
Declez Basin Cell 3	<u> </u>	770		
EUA RP3 Basin Cell 1		4,653		
EUA RP3 Basin Cell 3	877	3,266		
EUA RP3 Basin Cell 4		3,669		
Subtotal Management Zone 3	1,668	16,204		
 Tota	9 226	56.606		

WEST YOST

T

1

2.1.2 Historical Recharge Activity

Figure 2-1 shows the estimated annual recharge volume in the Chino Basin by water type since the implementation of the OBMP and the 2001 RMP for the period of 2006 through 2022. Figure 2-1 is based on IEUA's monitoring of the recharge facilities.¹³ This information is documented in monthly reports prepared by IEUA and annual reports prepared by Watermaster, the latter of which are submitted to the SWRCB. Prior to 2004, managed stormwater recharge by the CBWCD and incidental recharge at SBCFC's flood control basins averaged about 3,000 afy (see Figure 1-3) (WEI, 2020), and recycled water recharge was about 500 afy.

Since the installation of supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) in 2004, data have been tracked for the recharge of all types of water at each spreading basin. Watermaster maintains a database of the monthly recharge volumes by water type and recharge location. Figure 2-1 shows the annual recharge of recycled water, stormwater, and dry-weather runoff since the initiation of the recharge program in FY 2004/05. Table 2-2 is a tabulation of the annual recharge by water type and recharge location for FY 2003/04 through FY 2021/22. Through FY 2021/22, the recharge improvements constructed by Watermaster and the IEUA have enabled them to recharge about 500,000 af of storm and supplemental water into the Chino Basin. During most of this period, stormwater recharge was suppressed by drought and the recycled system was expanding. The amount of storm and recycled water recharge due to the 2001 RMP is expected to increase as the land use converts fully to urban uses.

Recycled water has become a significant portion of annual recharge, increasing from about 50 af in FY 2003/04 to about 15,000 af in FY 2021/22. The sum of stormwater and recycled water recharged in the Chino Basin from FY 2003/04 to FY 2021/22 is about 339,000 af.

The magnitude of imported water recharge fluctuates significantly due to its availability and recharge needs. Historically, imported water recharge has occurred in the Chino Basin for two reasons: replenishment of overproduction and Storage and Recovery projects. Watermaster meets its replenishment obligations by purchasing and recharging imported water from Metropolitan or by purchasing unproduced production rights or Managed Storage from the parties.

¹³ Several of Watermaster's permitted points of diversion are not monitored; diversion and recharge at these unmeasured points are estimated using the Wasteload Allocation Model (WLAM).



WEST YOST

2023 Recharge Master Plan Update Exhibits: Decl.of/B2-berrema Page 67 of 166

Norma Norma <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Table 2-2</th><th>. Summar</th><th>y of Annua</th><th>l Wet-Wate</th><th>er Recharge Rec</th><th>ords in the</th><th>e Chino Bas</th><th>sin, afy</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>												Table 2-2	. Summar	y of Annua	l Wet-Wate	er Recharge Rec	ords in the	e Chino Bas	sin, afy											
NAME U NAME NAME NAM		MVWD ASR Well					College Heights Basins					Upland Basin				Montclair Basins				Brooks Street Basin					7th and 8th Street Basins					
mining j j j j <th>Fiscal Year</th> <th>IW</th> <th>SW</th> <th>RW</th> <th>Total</th>	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total
Sime S Sime S Sime S Sime S Sime S Sime Sime Sime	2003/2004	0	0	0	0	2003/2004	0	0	0	0	2003/2004	0	100	0	100	2003/2004	7582.3	1,730	0	9,312	2003/2004	0	550	0	550	2003/2004	0	120	0	120
Addition B B B B </td <td>2004/2005</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2004/2005</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2004/2005</td> <td>0</td> <td>989</td> <td>0</td> <td>989</td> <td>2004/2005</td> <td>7,887</td> <td>3,350</td> <td>0</td> <td>11,237</td> <td>2004/2005</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,776</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,776</td> <td>2004/2005</td> <td>0</td> <td>620</td> <td>0</td> <td>620</td>	2004/2005	0	0	0	0	2004/2005	0	0	0	0	2004/2005	0	989	0	989	2004/2005	7,887	3,350	0	11,237	2004/2005	0	1,776	0	1,776	2004/2005	0	620	0	620
choor c b b b b <td>2005/2006</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2005/2006</td> <td>5,326</td> <td>108</td> <td>0</td> <td>5,434</td> <td>2005/2006</td> <td>5,986</td> <td>214</td> <td>0</td> <td>6,200</td> <td>2005/2006</td> <td>5,579</td> <td>1,296</td> <td>0</td> <td>6,875</td> <td>2005/2006</td> <td>2,032</td> <td>524</td> <td>0</td> <td>2,556</td> <td>2005/2006</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,271</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,271</td>	2005/2006	0	0	0	0	2005/2006	5,326	108	0	5,434	2005/2006	5,986	214	0	6,200	2005/2006	5,579	1,296	0	6,875	2005/2006	2,032	524	0	2,556	2005/2006	0	1,271	0	1,271
mbor b c b c b b b b b b c c c c c	2006/2007	0	0	0	0	2006/2007	3,125	1	0	3,126	2006/2007	7,068	195	0	7,263	2006/2007	10,681	355	0	11,036	2006/2007	1,604	205	0	1,809	2006/2007	0	640	0	640
Normal 0 0 0 0 <td>2007/2008</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2007/2008</td> <td>0</td> <td>172</td> <td>0</td> <td>172</td> <td>2007/2008</td> <td>0</td> <td>312</td> <td>0</td> <td>312</td> <td>2007/2008</td> <td>0</td> <td>859</td> <td>0</td> <td>859</td> <td>2007/2008</td> <td>0</td> <td>475</td> <td>0</td> <td>475</td> <td>2007/2008</td> <td>0</td> <td>959</td> <td>1,054</td> <td>2,013</td>	2007/2008	0	0	0	0	2007/2008	0	172	0	172	2007/2008	0	312	0	312	2007/2008	0	859	0	859	2007/2008	0	475	0	475	2007/2008	0	959	1,054	2,013
m m	2008/2009	0	0	0	0	2008/2009	292	0	0	0	2008/2009	0	2/4	0	522	2008/2009	0	611	0	611	2008/2009	0	434	1,605	2,039	2008/2009	0	1,139	352	1,491
matrix matrix<	2009/2010	186	0	0	186	2009/2010	559	593	0	447	2009/2010	0	1 308	0	2 207	2009/2010	4,592	937	0	5,529	2009/2010	0	628	1,095	2,301	2009/2010	5/13	1,744	1,067	2,817
Dim Dim <thdim< th=""> <thdim< th=""> <thdim< th=""></thdim<></thdim<></thdim<>	2010/2011	889	0	0	889	2010/2011	578	4	0	582	2010/2011	2 118	222	0	2,207	2010/2011	11 893	703	0	12 596	2010/2011	561	363	836	1 760	2010/2011	572	1,505	641	2 260
Simple	2012/2013	0	0	0	0	2012/2013	0	0	0	0	2012/2012	0	119	0	119	2012/2013	0	204	0	204	2012/2013	0	115	1.505	1.620	2012/2013	0	751	2.261	3.012
NUMBE C C C C	2013/2014	0	0	0	0	2013/2014	0	4	0	4	2013/2014	0	95	0	95	2013/2014	0	416	0	416	2013/2014	0	112	1,308	1,420	2013/2014	5	441	1,423	1,869
b b c c c c c <	2014/2015	0	0	0	0	2014/2015	0	0	0	0	2014/2015	0	325	0	325	2014/2015	0	411	0	411	2014/2015	0	198	1,011	1,209	2014/2015	0	841	48	889
Name C C C C	2015/2016	0	0	0	0	2015/2016	0	0	0	0	2015/2016	0	425	0	425	2015/2016	0	441	0	441	2015/2016	0	182	1,215	1,397	2015/2016	0	921	1,470	2,391
NUMBE OM O NUMBE NUMBE<	2016/2017	0	0	0	0	2016/2017	2,179	70	0	2,249	2016/2017	2,575	583	0	3,158	2016/2017	6,149	1,046	0	7,195	2016/2017	188	673	385	1,246	2016/2017	18	955	2,271	3,244
Dial Dial Dial	2017/2018	2,495	0	0	2,495	2017/2018	7,819	24	0	7,842	2017/2018	1,547	155	0	1,702	2017/2018	11,253	292	0	11,545	2017/2018	197	81	1,268	1,546	2017/2018	1,130	353	1,037	2,520
Displace Cali Cali Cali Cali <	2018/2019	891	0	0	891	2018/2019	1,683	116	0	1,799	2018/2019	1,217	687	0	1,904	2018/2019	2,279	1,458	0	3,737	2018/2019	0	824	1,381	2,204	2018/2019	58	1,363	2,864	4,285
Distribution C D Distribution Distr	2019/2020	2,051	0	0	2,051	2019/2020	1,829	13	0	1,843	2019/2020	1,132	445	0	1,578	2019/2020	6,080	1,096	0	7,176	2019/2020	151	568	898	1,616	2019/2020	948	623	978	2,549
Distribution Distribution<	2020/2021	0	0	0	0	2020/2021	509	1	0	509	2020/2021	426	127	0	552	2020/2021	1,055	333	0	1,388	2020/2021	0	156	933	1,088	2020/2021	0	402	738	1,139
bit c	2021/2022	6 5 1 1	0	0	0	2021/2022	0	30	0	30	2021/2022	22.069	299	0	299	2021/2022	0	18 090	0	788	2021/2022	6/	251	463	782	2021/2022	270	/86 15 772	2,082	3,138
Virtuit Virtuit <t< th=""><th>Total</th><th>0,511</th><th>U</th><th>0</th><th>0,511</th><th>1014</th><th>23,989</th><th>1,201</th><th>U</th><th>25,100</th><th>Total</th><th>22,908</th><th>7,407</th><th>U</th><th>30,075</th><th>Total</th><th>78,703</th><th>18,089</th><th>U</th><th>90,003</th><th>Total</th><th>4,799</th><th>8,780</th><th>15,875</th><th>28,073</th><th>Total</th><th>3,281</th><th>15,775</th><th>18,074</th><th>37,128</th></t<>	Total	0,511	U	0	0,511	1014	23,989	1,201	U	25,100	Total	22,908	7,407	U	30,075	Total	78,703	18,089	U	90,003	Total	4,799	8,780	15,875	28,073	Total	3,281	15,775	18,074	37,128
bit bi			Ely Basins		_		G	irove Basin				Tu	rner Basins				Lov	ver Day Basi	n			Etiwar	ida Debris Ba	asins			Vi	ctoria Basin	0	1
Ballyon C Ballyon C C B C C B C C B C C B C <th< th=""><th>Fiscal Year</th><th>IW</th><th>SW</th><th>RW</th><th>Total</th><th>Fiscal Year</th><th>IW</th><th>SW</th><th>RW</th><th>Total</th><th>Fiscal Year</th><th>IW</th><th>SW</th><th>RW</th><th>Total</th><th>Fiscal Year</th><th>IW</th><th>SW</th><th>RW</th><th>Total</th><th>Fiscal Year</th><th>IW</th><th>SW</th><th>RW</th><th>Total</th><th>Fiscal Year</th><th>IW</th><th>SW</th><th>RW</th><th>Total</th></th<>	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total	Fiscal Year	IW	SW	RW	Total
Displan Displan <t< td=""><td>2003/2004</td><td>0</td><td>2,000</td><td>49</td><td>2,049</td><td>2003/2004</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2003/2004</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2003/2004</td><td>0</td><td>100</td><td>0</td><td>100</td><td>2003/2004</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2003/2004</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	2003/2004	0	2,000	49	2,049	2003/2004	0	0	0	0	2003/2004	0	0	0	0	2003/2004	0	100	0	100	2003/2004	0	0	0	0	2003/2004	0	0	0	0
moment moment<	2004/2005	0	2,010	158	2,168	2004/2005	0	0	0	0	2004/2005	310	1,428	0	1,738	2004/2005	107	2,798	0	2,905	2004/2005	2,137	0	0	2,137	2004/2005	0	0	0	0
b b	2005/2006	0	621	188	1,719	2005/2006	0	133	0	133	2005/2006	340	2,575	1 227	2,921	2005/2006	2,810	624 70	0	3,434	2005/2006	2,488	20	0	2,508	2005/2006	0	330	0	330
Description 0 1/4 2/4 2/4 2	2000/2007	0	1 603	562	2 165	2000/2007	0	326	0	326	2000/2007	0	1 542	1,237	1,930	2000/2007	2,200	303	0	303	2000/2007	1,100	10	0	1,100	2000/2007	0	427	0	427
Domb 1 2 1 2 1 2 <th2< th=""> 2 2 2</th2<>	2008/2009	0	927	364	1.291	2008/2009	0	405	0	405	2008/2009	0	1,200	171	1.371	2008/2009	0	168	0	168	2008/2009	0	28	0	28	2008/2009	0	250	0	250
bit bit< bit </td <td>2009/2010</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,164</td> <td>246</td> <td>1,410</td> <td>2009/2010</td> <td>0</td> <td>351</td> <td>0</td> <td>351</td> <td>2009/2010</td> <td>0</td> <td>2,220</td> <td>397</td> <td>2,617</td> <td>2009/2010</td> <td>3</td> <td>540</td> <td>0</td> <td>543</td> <td>2009/2010</td> <td>7</td> <td>775</td> <td>0</td> <td>782</td> <td>2009/2010</td> <td>2</td> <td>494</td> <td>0</td> <td>496</td>	2009/2010	0	1,164	246	1,410	2009/2010	0	351	0	351	2009/2010	0	2,220	397	2,617	2009/2010	3	540	0	543	2009/2010	7	775	0	782	2009/2010	2	494	0	496
bit bit< bit< bit< bit< bit< bit< bit< bit< <th< td=""><td>2010/2011</td><td>83</td><td>1,415</td><td>757</td><td>2,255</td><td>2010/2011</td><td>0</td><td>431</td><td>0</td><td>431</td><td>2010/2011</td><td>0</td><td>2,308</td><td>53</td><td>2,361</td><td>2010/2011</td><td>894</td><td>703</td><td>0</td><td>1,597</td><td>2010/2011</td><td>147</td><td>1,213</td><td>0</td><td>1,360</td><td>2010/2011</td><td>69</td><td>461</td><td>773</td><td>1,303</td></th<>	2010/2011	83	1,415	757	2,255	2010/2011	0	431	0	431	2010/2011	0	2,308	53	2,361	2010/2011	894	703	0	1,597	2010/2011	147	1,213	0	1,360	2010/2011	69	461	773	1,303
2012/001 0 588 1.70 1.90 1.71 1.20201 0 1.8 1.20201 0 3.0 0.10 3.1 0.12021 0 9.0 9.0 9.0 <	2011/2012	885	1,096	393	2,374	2011/2012	0	400	0	400	2011/2012	199	1,879	1,034	3,112	2011/2012	1,439	158	0	1,597	2011/2012	567	100	0	667	2011/2012	281	221	665	1,167
Distriction O Matrix Matrix Matrix </td <td>2012/2013</td> <td>0</td> <td>568</td> <td>1,378</td> <td>1,946</td> <td>2012/2013</td> <td>0</td> <td>177</td> <td>0</td> <td>177</td> <td>2012/2013</td> <td>0</td> <td>1,120</td> <td>176</td> <td>1,296</td> <td>2012/2013</td> <td>0</td> <td>106</td> <td>0</td> <td>106</td> <td>2012/2013</td> <td>0</td> <td>33</td> <td>0</td> <td>33</td> <td>2012/2013</td> <td>0</td> <td>94</td> <td>842</td> <td>936</td>	2012/2013	0	568	1,378	1,946	2012/2013	0	177	0	177	2012/2013	0	1,120	176	1,296	2012/2013	0	106	0	106	2012/2013	0	33	0	33	2012/2013	0	94	842	936
Dial-Alors 0 LMA 0 ABA ABA 0 <	2013/2014	0	548	3,298	3,846	2013/2014	0	258	0	258	2013/2014	0	596	1,565	2,161	2013/2014	28	114	0	142	2013/2014	0	45	0	45	2013/2014	0	192	1,379	1,571
Districti 0 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 <th< td=""><td>2014/2015</td><td>0</td><td>1,087</td><td>1,751</td><td>2,838</td><td>2014/2015</td><td>0</td><td>481</td><td>0</td><td>481</td><td>2014/2015</td><td>0</td><td>1,289</td><td>948</td><td>2,237</td><td>2014/2015</td><td>0</td><td>341</td><td>0</td><td>341</td><td>2014/2015</td><td>0</td><td>27</td><td>0</td><td>27</td><td>2014/2015</td><td>0</td><td>306</td><td>931</td><td>1,237</td></th<>	2014/2015	0	1,087	1,751	2,838	2014/2015	0	481	0	481	2014/2015	0	1,289	948	2,237	2014/2015	0	341	0	341	2014/2015	0	27	0	27	2014/2015	0	306	931	1,237
CDIMARCIN O State L AND L ANDD L AND L AND <t< td=""><td>2015/2016</td><td>0</td><td>1,506</td><td>1,012</td><td>2,518</td><td>2015/2016</td><td>0</td><td>471</td><td>0</td><td>471</td><td>2015/2016</td><td>0</td><td>1,616</td><td>1,958</td><td>3,574</td><td>2015/2016</td><td>0</td><td>281</td><td>0</td><td>281</td><td>2015/2016</td><td>0</td><td>83</td><td>0</td><td>83</td><td>2015/2016</td><td>0</td><td>343</td><td>635</td><td>978</td></t<>	2015/2016	0	1,506	1,012	2,518	2015/2016	0	471	0	471	2015/2016	0	1,616	1,958	3,574	2015/2016	0	281	0	281	2015/2016	0	83	0	83	2015/2016	0	343	635	978
Call Acids Call Acids Call Acids Call Acids Coll Acids <thcoll acids<="" th=""> Coll Acids Coll Aci</thcoll>	2016/2017	0	1,378	1,491	2,869	2016/2017	0	363	0	363	2016/2017	290	1,667	1,236	3,193	2016/2017	292	449	0	741	2016/2017	281	426	0	707	2016/2017	128	642	1,621	2,391
Classe Loss Loss <thloss< th=""> Loss Loss <t< td=""><td>2017/2018</td><td>9</td><td>/15</td><td>1,511</td><td>2,234</td><td>2017/2018</td><td>0</td><td>204</td><td>0</td><td>204</td><td>2017/2018</td><td>299</td><td>695</td><td>1,526</td><td>2,520</td><td>2017/2018</td><td>3,033</td><td>138</td><td>0</td><td>3,172</td><td>2017/2018</td><td>1,249</td><td>59</td><td>0</td><td>1,308</td><td>2017/2018</td><td>575</td><td>112</td><td>/93</td><td>1,480</td></t<></thloss<>	2017/2018	9	/15	1,511	2,234	2017/2018	0	204	0	204	2017/2018	299	695	1,526	2,520	2017/2018	3,033	138	0	3,172	2017/2018	1,249	59	0	1,308	2017/2018	575	112	/93	1,480
Display Display <t< td=""><td>2018/2019</td><td>100</td><td>1,255</td><td>2 061</td><td>2,043</td><td>2018/2019</td><td>0</td><td>321</td><td>0</td><td>321</td><td>2018/2019</td><td>0</td><td>1,304</td><td>520 191</td><td>1,890</td><td>2018/2019</td><td>2 2 2 8</td><td>288</td><td>0</td><td>2,516</td><td>2018/2019</td><td>8/8</td><td>101</td><td>0</td><td>1 0/0</td><td>2018/2019</td><td>1 085</td><td>352</td><td>1,780</td><td>2,790</td></t<>	2018/2019	100	1,255	2 061	2,043	2018/2019	0	321	0	321	2018/2019	0	1,304	520 191	1,890	2018/2019	2 2 2 8	288	0	2,516	2018/2019	8/8	101	0	1 0/0	2018/2019	1 085	352	1,780	2,790
101/2022 94 1.306 657 2.057 2021/2022 0 2.23 0 2.23 0 2.23 0 2.23 0 2.23 0 2.23 0 2.23 0 2.24 0 2.26 0	2020/2021	0	632	1 188	1 820	2013/2020	0	165	0	165	2010/2020	195	829	564	1,030	2013/2020	0	102	0	102	2020/2021	040	0	0	1,040	2013/2020	1,005	148	1,000	1 156
Tetal 1.170 23.33 18.918 41.162 Total 0 5.073 Total 1.952 24.181 12.197 37.715 Total 1.3516 8.108 0 2.408 Total 8.884 3.476 0 1.2202 Total 2.395 6.015 1.3171 1.917 Comparing the service Total 1.952 2.917 Total 1.952 2.918 1.952 2.917 Total 3.917 1.917	2021/2022	94	1.306	657	2.057	2020/2021	0	223	0	223	2021/2022	311	1.192	615	2.117	2021/2022	0	216	0	216	2021/2022	0	158	0	158	2021/2022	256	367	1.694	2.317
San Servalue Hickory Basin Barana Basin Bir and Basin Bir and Basin Bir and Basin Bir and Basin Description Descriptio	Total	1,170	23,131	18,918	41,162	Tota	I 0	5,297	0	5,073	Total	1,952	24,181	12,197	, 37,715	Total	13,516	8,108	0	21,408	Total	8,884	3,476	0	12,202	Total	2,395	6,015	13,171	19,265
Inconference Inconference<					• ·		+		•	+ +				• ·	4 ·				•					•	•		• ·		μ ·	4 ·
Internal	Eiscal Voar	1\A/	San Sevaine	D\\/	Total	Eiscal Voar	HI IW/	sw		Total	Fiscal Vear	Ba	nana Basin	D\\/	Total	Fiscal Vear	1147	RP-3 Basins	D\\/	Total	Eiscal Voar	L IVA/	eclez Basin	P\//	Total	Eiscal Voar	1\\/	lotals	D\\/	Total
Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>	2002/2004	0	3VV	0		2002/2004	0	300			2003/2004	0	310	0		2002/2004	0	300	0		2002/2004	0	100	0	100	2002/2004	7 5 8 2	4 700	40	12 221
2005/2006 9.172 2.072 0 1.124 2005/2006 6.6 438 5.6 1.66 2005/2006 1.93 200 2005/2006 0 7.67 0 7.67 0 7.67 0 7.37 2005/2006 34,567 1.940 1.303 44,82 2000/2007 5.749 244 0 5.993 2006/2007 21.2 53.6 647 1.51.6 2006/2007 83 226 643 1.652 2006/2008 0 1.0 511 0 511 0 2007/208 0 737 2005/2006 0 737 2005/2008 0 737 2005/2008 0 737 2005/2008 0 737 2005/2008 0 737 2005/2008 0 737 2005/2008 0 737 2005/2008 0 737 2005/2008 0 737 2005/2008 0 737 2005/2008 0 737 2005/2008 0 737 2005/2008 201	2003/2004	1 621	2 830	0	4 451	2003/2004	197	298	0	495	2003/2004	0	425	0	425	2003/2004	0	1 105	0	1 105	2003/2004	0	100	0	100	2003/2004	12 259	17 648	158	30.065
2006/2007 5,749 244 0 5,933 2006/2007 212 536 647 1,395 2006/2007 783 226 643 1,652 2006/2007 0 802 0 802 2006/2007 0 0	2005/2006	9.172	2.072	0	11.244	2005/2006	636	438	586	1.660	2005/2006	193	300	529	1.022	2005/2006	0	767	0	767	2005/2006	0	737	0	737	2005/2006	34.567	12.940	1.303	48.810
2007/2008 0 749 0 749 0 749 0 749 0 749 0 749 0 749 0 749 0 749 0 749 0 749 0 740 <	2006/2007	5,749	244	0	5,993	2006/2007	212	536	647	1,395	2006/2007	783	226	643	1,652	2006/2007	0	802	0	802	2006/2007	0	0	0	0	2006/2007	32,960	4,745	2,993	40,698
2008/2009 0 225 208/2009 0 333 40 423 2008/200 0 555 0 555 201 201 201 0 147 575 722 201/2013 0 147 575 722 201/2013 0 146 707 148 132 201/2013 0 1835 24/21 201/2013 0 771 20.0 771 20.0 771 20.0 771 20.0 771 20.0 771 20.0 771 20.0 771 20.0 20	2007/2008	0	749	0	749	2007/2008	0	949	567	1,516	2007/2008	0	278	157	435	2007/2008	0	511	0	511	2007/2008	0	730	0	730	2007/2008	0	10,205	2,340	12,545
2009/2010 0 993 0 0 973 0 993 0 0 973 0 0 0 973 0	2008/2009	0	225	0	225	2008/2009	0	199	46	245	2008/2009	0	383	40	423	2008/2009	0	613	106	719	2008/2009	0	656	0	656	2008/2009	0	7,512	2,684	10,196
1 100 1,049 396 3,152 2010/2011 10 371 776 1,157 2010/2011 0 149 267 416 2010/2011 882 2,178 1,799 4,882 2010/2011 0 877 0 10701 1080 10701 1080 10701 1080 1080	2009/2010	0	993	0	993	2009/2010	7	700	856	1,563	2009/2010	0	416	898	1,314	2009/2010	1	1,902	2,051	3,954	2009/2010	0	774	0	774	2009/2010	5,000	14,273	7,210	26,483
1.228 4.36 5.13 2,177 2011/2012 5.15 2.58 7.83 1.556 2011/2012 0 2.472 1.915 2.162 2011/2012 1.724 1.339 1.789 4.852 2011/2012 0 7.98 6.55 8.63 2011/2012 2.34.49 9.271 8.634 4.152 2012/2013 0 1.62 2.74 4.36 2013/2014 1.6 6.70 7.84 2012/2013 0 9.921 8.634 4.152 2013/2014 0 1.6 2.77 2.162 0.11/2012 1.784 1.382 2.012/2013 0 9.921 8.634 4.152 2013/2014 0 1.6 2.01 2.162 0.10 9.921 2.162 0.10 9.921 2.162 0.10 9.921 2.162 0.10 0.1720 2.162 0.10 0.122 2.162 0.10 0.122 2.162 0.10 0.122 2.162 0.1201 0.1 0.1201 0.1 0.1201 0.1 0.1201 0.1 0.1201 0.1 0.1201 0.1	2010/2011	1,707	1,049	396	3,152	2010/2011	10	371	776	1,157	2010/2011	0	149	267	416	2010/2011	882	2,201	1,799	4,882	2010/2011	0	877	0	877	2010/2011	9,650	17,052	8,065	34,767
2012/013 0 147 575 722 2012/013 0 199 874 1,073 2012/013 0 784 2012/013 0 994 2,198 3,192 2012/013 0 530 0	2011/2012	1,228	436	513	2,177	2011/2012	515	258	783	1,556	2011/2012	0	247	1,915	2,162	2011/2012	1,724	1,339	1,789	4,852	2011/2012	0	798	65	863	2011/2012	23,449	9,271	8,634	41,354
2013/2014 0 162 274 436 2013/2014 13 171 1,920 2,104 2013/2014 24 87 1,071 1,182 2013/2014 350 717 1,355 2,422 2013/2014 374 341 0 715 2013/2014 795 4,299 13,593 18,6 2013/2014 0 330 1 331 2014/2015 0 243 2,034 2,034 2,037 2013/2014 34 350 717 1,355 2,422 2013/2014 374 341 0 715 2013/2014 795 4,299 13,593 18,6 2013/2014 0 583 0 585 0 585 0 233 0 1,48 1,345 2013/2014 0 1,485 2013/2014 0 1,485 2013/2014 0 1,030 2,968 3,989 2014/2015 0 895 0 895 0 895 0 895 0 895 1,031 501 2013/2014 1,312 2013/2014 1,031 2013/2014	2012/2013	0	147	575	722	2012/2013	0	199	874	1,073	2012/2013	0	114	670	784	2012/2013	0	994	2,198	3,192	2012/2013	0	530	0	530	2012/2013	0	5,271	10,479	15,750
2014/2015 0 350 1 351 2014/2015 0 243 2,034 2,2/7 2014/2015 0 1,030 2,968 3,988 2014/2015 0 895	2013/2014	0	162	274	436	2013/2014	13	171	1,920	2,104	2013/2014	24	87	1,071	1,182	2013/2014	350	717	1,355	2,422	2013/2014	374	341	0	715	2013/2014	795	4,299	13,593	18,687
2013/2016 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 585 0 1325 0 1325 0 1325 0 1325 0 1325 0 1325 0 1325 0 1325 1322 213/2016 0 1426 3282 4,508 2015/2016 0 607 969 1,576 2015/2016 0 9,236 13,222 22,4 2016/2017 540 750 0 142 136 278 2016/2017 386 1,437 5,339 7,162 2016/2017 99 607 945 1,512 13,385 13,22 13,385 13,22 13,385 13,22 13,385 13,22 14,353 2017/2018 131 574 2017/2018 33,235 2017/2018	2014/2015	0	330	1	331	2014/2015	0	243	2,034	2,277	2014/2015	0	197	1,148	1,345	2014/2015	0	1,030	2,968	3,998	2014/2015	0	895	0	895	2014/2015	0	8,001	10,840	18,841
2110/2017 340 765 0 1,252 2010/2017 0 142 150 2/10 0 160 500 606 2010/2017 380 1,457 5,339 7,162 2010/2017 99 607 945 1,651 2010/2017 13,125 11,389 13,924 38,4 2017/2018 3,388 305 0 3,693 2017/2018 1,427 216 1,399 3,087 2017/2018 485 193 2,131 2,899 2017/2018 1,153 300 2,960 4,413 2017/2018 131 574 588 1,294 38,4 3,616 2017/2018 1,153 300 2,960 4,413 2017/2018 1,31 574 588 1,294 38,4 3,616 2017/2018 1,125 2017/2018 1,125 2017/2018 1,125 2017/2018 1,125 2017/2018 1,125 2017/2018 1,125 2017/2018 1,125 2017/2018 1,125 2017/2018 1,125 2017/	2015/2016	0	585	0	585	2015/2016	0	184	575	/59	2015/2016	U	365	2,106	2,471	2015/2016	0	1,226	3,282	4,508	2015/2016	0	607	969	1,576	2015/2016	0	9,236	13,222	22,458
Activities 3,55 5,55 5,55 5,55 5,55 5,55 2,152	2010/2017	3 2 2 2 2	205	0	2,525	2010/2017	1 //72	216	1 300	2/0 2027	2010/2017	0 125	102	2 1 2 1	2 800	2010/2017	1 152	300	2,339	/,102 ///12	2010/2017	39 121	57/	545	1 204	2010/2017	36 725	11,389 <u>4</u> /17	12 212	50,430 52 861
Image: Note of the state o	2017/2018	3,300 857	1 170	0	2 027	2017/2018	1,472	210	1,599	451	2017/2018	0	133	2,131	429	2017/2018	1,133	300	2,900	1 509	2017/2018	131	744	1 619	2 363	2017/2018	7 401	4,41/ 12 129	11 145	30 675
2020/2021 0 444 2,631 3,075 2020/2021 0 150 218 843 1,061 2020/2021 0 401 6,604 7,605 2020/2021 0 1,657 1,741 2,057 1,741 <td>2019/2020</td> <td>1.702</td> <td>1.025</td> <td>0</td> <td>2,727</td> <td>2019/2020</td> <td>1.056</td> <td>186</td> <td>483</td> <td>1.725</td> <td>2019/2020</td> <td>0</td> <td>248</td> <td>877</td> <td>1.125</td> <td>2019/2020</td> <td>379</td> <td>622</td> <td>5.649</td> <td>6.649</td> <td>2019/2020</td> <td>0</td> <td>644</td> <td>765</td> <td>1.409</td> <td>2019/2020</td> <td>19.588</td> <td>9.827</td> <td>12.953</td> <td>42.368</td>	2019/2020	1.702	1.025	0	2,727	2019/2020	1.056	186	483	1.725	2019/2020	0	248	877	1.125	2019/2020	379	622	5.649	6.649	2019/2020	0	644	765	1.409	2019/2020	19.588	9.827	12.953	42.368
2021/2022 270 847 3,197 4,313 2021/2022 78 246 992 1,316 2021/2022 43 145 517 704 2021/2022 301 297 4,200 4,798 2021/2022 53 588 625 1,266 2021/2022 1,740 15,042 24,5 Total 2,623 12,552 7,587 42,904 14,598 14,698 19,714 Total 4,574 14,595 37,714 55510 Total 16,555 10,747 557 12,098 14,598 14,598 14,595 37,714 55510 Total 16,555 10,747 557 12,098 14,598 16,555 37,774 15,955 10,747 557 12,098 14,598 16,555 37,774 15,955 10,747 557 12,098 14,598 16,555 37,774 15,955 10,747 557 12,098 14,598 16,555 37,774 15,955 10,747 557 12,098 14,598 16,555 37,774 15,955 10,747 557 12,758 14,598 14,598	2020/2021	0	444	2,631	3,075	2020/2021	0	150	235	385	2020/2021	0	218	843	1,061	2020/2021	0	401	6,664	7,065	2020/2021	0	526	940	1,467	2020/2021	2,184	4,633	15,744	22,561
Tetal 26 222 12 552 7 597 42 004 Tetal 4 106 5 757 12 090 21 726 Tetal 1 529 4 290 14 609 10 721 Tetal 4 074 16 265 27 374 50 510 Tetal 650 10 747 6 517 16 550 1770 6 570 177 00	2021/2022	270	847	3,197	4,313	2021/2022	78	246	992	1,316	2021/2022	43	145	517	704	2021/2022	301	297	4,200	4,798	2021/2022	53	588	625	1,266	2021/2022	1,742	7,740	15,042	24,524
	Total	26,232	13,552	7,587	43,904	Tota	l 4,196	5,757	13,089	21,726	Total	1,528	4,289	14,608	19,721	Total	4,874	16,365	37,271	58,510	Total	658	10,747	6,517	16,656	Total	206,538	175,288	163,589	545,415

Chino Basin Watermaster Exhibits-Decater Master Ban Linder Exhibits-Decater Master Ban Linder Page 68 of 166

2.2 ASR FACILITIES

ASR refers to the process of recharge, storage, and recovery of water in an aquifer. ASR wells function as injection and recovery wells: water that meets drinking water standards is injected into an aquifer and recovered later when needed. JCSD, City of Chino Hills (Chino Hills) and MVWD own ASR wells. The MVWD owns and operates the only active ASR wells in the Chino Basin. These ASR wells (Wells 4, 30, 32, and 33) can recharge up to 5,480 afy and subsequently recover a volume of groundwater equal to the injected water within the same year. Figure 2-2 shows the location of the ASR wells, and Table 2-3 lists the wells and their respective injection and extraction capacities. MVWD typically uses these wells for injection in the seven-month period of October through April and for recovery in the five-month period of May through September. Table 2-2 shows the annual recharge at the ASR wells from FY 2003/04 through FY 2021/22. Since these wells were installed in 2006, the MVWD has recharged a total of 6,511 af. The majority of recharge occurred in FY 2017/18 to FY 2019/20.

2.3 IN-LIEU RECHARGE

In-lieu recharge can occur when a Chino Basin party with pumping rights in the Chino Basin elects to use supplemental water directly in lieu of pumping some or all its rights in the Chino Basin. Normally, this type of in-lieu recharge is classified as carryover water and if unused in the subsequent year is reclassified as excess carryover water in the case of the appropriative pool or water in the local storage account for the overlying non-agricultural pool. In certain cases, in-lieu recharge water is classified as supplemental water recharge (e.g., recharge for the Metropolitan Cyclic Storage Program and DYYP).

2.3.1 Facilities Used to Effectuate In-Lieu Recharge

The facilities used to effectuate in-lieu recharge include surface water treatment plants and conveyance facilities that convey imported water to Chino Basin parties. The IEUA is a wholesaler of imported water from Metropolitan to some of the Chino Basin parties. Three agencies purchase untreated imported water from the IEUA: the Water Facilities Authority (WFA), CVWD, and FWC.

- The WFA treats imported water purchased from the IEUA at the Agua de Lejos treatment plant (WFA plant) and delivers it to the cities of Chino, Chino Hills, Ontario, and Upland, and to the MVWD. Each of these WFA member agencies has a contracted share of the plant's total capacity of 81 million gallons per day (mgd) (90,700 afy).
- The CVWD treats imported water purchased from the IEUA at the Lloyd W. Michael treatment plant. The plant has a capacity of 60 mgd (67,200 afy).¹⁴
- The FWC treats imported water purchased from IEUA and the San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District at the Sandhill treatment plant. The Sandhill plant has a total capacity of 29 mgd (32,500 afy).

Pomona receives imported water through the TVMWD. Pomona's capacity to receive imported water from TVMWD is about 6,800 afy.

¹⁴ The CVWD stopped treating imported water at its Royer Nesbit plant in 2017 (communication with CVWD staff on August 31, 2023).
Table 2-3. MVWD ASR Injection and Extraction Capacity ¹						
	Injection	Capacity ²	Extraction Capacity ²			
ASK Well	(gpm)	(afy)	(gpm)	(afy)		
MVWD-4	400	645	400	645		
MVWD-30	1,000	1,613	2,000	3,226		
MVWD-32	1,000	1,613	2,000	3,226		
MVWD-33	1,000	1,613	2,000	3,226		
Total	3,400	5,484	6,400	10,323		

1. All of the existing ASR wells are owned by the Monte Vista Water District (MVWD) with the exception being MVWD-33, which is co-owned by the City of Chino.

2. The injection and extraction capacities assume the wells are operating 24 hours a day for 30 days.

gpm = gallons per minute









Prepared for:



Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update



MVWD ASR Well



Streams and Flood Control Channels



Lakes and Flood Control Basins

Water Service Area (various colors)





MVWD Aquifer Storage and Recovery Wells

Exhibits-Decl of **Bisterena** Page 71 of 166

2.3.2 Historical In-Lieu Recharge Activity

The total in-lieu recharge for the period of FY 1977/78 through FY 2017/18 was about 430,000 af (WEI, 2018b). ¹⁵ Since FY 2017/18, an additional 78,000 af of in-lieu recharge has occurred, bringing the total in-lieu recharge over the Judgment period to about 508,000 af.

2.3.3 In-Lieu Capacity

In-lieu recharge capabilities exist when the capacity to treat and serve imported water exceeds the imported water demands of the parties that have pumping rights. The projected in-lieu recharge capacity for each agency with access to imported water was estimated based on planning data compiled for the SYR data collection and evaluation analyses (West Yost, 2023). Each party's in-lieu recharge capacity was limited by the lessor of the following:

- Capacity of treatment plant(s) to treat and serve imported water or party's capacity to receive imported water, less the party's projected imported water demand
- Party's Chino Basin pumping rights
- Party's Chino Basin pumping

The appropriator parties capable of in-lieu recharge include the Cities of Chino, Chino Hills, Ontario, Pomona, and Upland, and the CVWD, FWC and MVWD. Each party's capacity was calculated monthly for planning years 2025, 2030, 2035, 2040, and 2045 based on existing facilities and projected water supplies (see Chapter 4). Table 2-4a shows the estimated annual in-lieu capacities for each of the parties under current conditions. Note that the WFA plant's current sustainable capacity is less than its rated capacity of 81 mgd (90,700 afy) due to solids handling limitations.¹⁶ According to WFA, the current capacity of the WFA plant is about 50 mgd in the summer months and about 25 mgd in the winter months.¹⁷ As shown in Table 2-4a the total in-lieu recharge capacity in the Chino Basin, under the current capacity limitations of the WFA plant, ranges from about 26,700 afy in 2025 to about 29,800 afy in 2040. Table 2-4b shows the in-lieu recharge estimates without the WFA capacity limitations. Without the WFA limitations, the total in-lieu recharge capacity in the Chino Basin ranges from approximately 45,000 afy in 2025 to about 50,200 afy in 2045. Additional details on the estimation of in-lieu recharge capacity are included in Appendix A.

¹⁵ In-lieu recharge from 2013 to 2018 was estimated by comparing imported water deliveries to excess carryover from under-production. The lesser of the two values is assumed to be the amount of in-lieu recharge. In-lieu recharge prior to 2013 was estimated by IEUA and documented in the 2013 RMPU.

¹⁶ Email from Terry Catlin, April 10, 2018.

¹⁷ Email from Van Jew, August 21, 2023.

Table 2-4a. Estimated In-Lieu Recharge Capacities for Appropriative Pool PartiesUnder Current Conditions

(afy)						
Appropriative Pool	Treatment		Maximum	In-Lieu Recharg	ge Capacity	
Party	Plant	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
CVWD	CVWD	10,250	14,773	16,331	17,630	17,630
Pomona	TVMWD	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982
Chino	WFA	131	131	50	50	50
Chino Hills	WFA	2,043	2,075	2,126	2,132	2,137
MVWD	WFA	4,041	3,968	3,863	3,863	3,863
Ontario	WFA	3,416	2,381	1,395	769	769
Upland	WFA	4,813	4,409	3,746	3,412	3,153
Total		26,675	29,718	29,493	29,838	29,585

Note: The WFA plant's current capacity is less than its rated capacity of 81 mgd due to solids handling limitations, therefore it is assumed that parties that receive water from WFA have no in-lieu recharge capacity under current conditions.

Table 2-4b	Table 2-4b. Estimated In-Lieu Recharge Capacities for Appropriative Pool Parties					
		Under D	esign Conditio	ons		
			(afy)			
Appropriative Pool	Treatment		Maximum	In-Lieu Rechar	ge Capacity	
Party	Plant	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
CVWD	CVWD	10,250	14,773	16,331	17,630	17,630
Pomona	TVMWD	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982
Chino	WFA	2,611	2,611	1,966	1,966	1,966
Chino Hills	WFA	2,093	2,132	2,196	2,204	2,213
MVWD	WFA	7,461	7,793	8,404	8,666	8,935
Ontario	WFA	15,083	14,140	12,857	11,726	11,726
Upland	WFA	5,743	5,743	5,743	5,743	5,743
Total 45,222 49,174 49,479 49,917 50,194						
Note: This assumes the WFA plant capacity is restored to design capacity.						



2.4 MS4 FACILITIES

The Court's Order on April 25, 2014 approved Chapter 5 of the 2013 RMPU and ordered Watermaster to compile MS4 project-related information from appropriative pool parties within the Chino Basin in order to compute net new stormwater recharge. Net new stormwater recharge (net new recharge) is defined in the 2013 RMPU (WEI, 2013) as follows:

"The net new recharge from the implementation of the 2010 MS4 permit is equal to the stormwater recharge caused by the implementation of stormwater management projects pursuant to the MS4 permit minus the decrease in recharge at existing stormwater management facilities minus the incidental deep infiltration of precipitation that would have occurred in the pre-project condition."

This net new stormwater recharge calculation approved by Watermaster and the Court is described in Chapter 5 as follows:

"Watermaster staff would annually acquire and store electronic versions of MS4 project-related reports and maintenance verification databases. When scoping a future Safe Yield re-determination, Watermaster would use its judgment and discretion to determine if there has been a significant potential increase in MS4 project-related recharge. If judged significant, the Watermaster would explicitly incorporate significant MS4 projects into the modeling and other technical activities required to re-determine Safe Yield. The calibration process for the groundwater model used in the Safe Yield re-determination would be used to refine the MS4 recharge estimates. Net new recharge would be estimated by rerunning the calibration without the new MS4 facilities and comparing both simulations."

On July 31, 2014, Watermaster started its first annual MS4 data request and sent a letter to each appropriative pool party requesting MS4-related information. The annual data request includes:

- Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) reports
- Design reports
- As-built drawings¹⁸
- Maintenance verification

Watermaster has continued to request MS4 data each fiscal year since July 31, 2014. The data requests are sent out in July or August, and the data are due in October of each fiscal year.

¹⁸ At the March 19, 2015 RMPU Steering Committee meeting, the Appropriator Parties informed Watermaster that they may not be able to provide as-built drawings. As-built drawings are important to Watermaster because they include what was constructed and the construction completion date. In the absence of as-built drawings, Watermaster requires certification that the facilities were constructed as represented in the WQMP and design reports. Watermaster staff has developed a form that can be used by Appropriator Parties if they cannot furnish as-built drawings for an MS4 or other local storm water management project constructed during and after FY 2011. Finally, Watermaster also requires records of maintenance performed on each constructed MS4 project or other local storm water management protex.

MS4 projects with WQMP reports submitted to the Watermaster are compiled in a database. West Yost reviews the WQMP reports for projects constructed after FY 2010/11¹⁹ and extracts the following information:

- Location of the MS4 project
- Project's overall drainage area
- Project's total drainage area that flows into constructed infiltration feature(s)²⁰
- Design capture volume (DCV)²¹ of the constructed infiltration feature(s)

At the end of FY 2020/21, Watermaster analyzed the data compiled in the database. Table 2-5 summarizes the information received by Watermaster up to FY 2020/21, and Figure 2-3 shows the locations of the MS4 projects. Table 2-5 shows that at the end of FY 2020/21, Watermaster had received almost 360 WQMP reports for projects constructed during the period of FY 2010/11 to FY 2020/21, of which 338 were within the Chino Basin. 233 other projects were identified by agencies in their data request but did not provide WQMP reports to Watermaster. Additionally, Watermaster received 89 WQMP reports for projects whose construction completion was uncertain. These were not included in Table 2-5 or Figure 2-3.

2.4.1 Historical MS4 Recharge Activity

Once the projects within the basin were identified, the projects were separated into two categories: projects compliant with MS4 through infiltration features and projects compliant with MS4 through non-infiltration features. A total of 266 of the 338 projects within the Chino Basin were identified as complying with MS4 through MS4 Recharge Capacities infiltration features. These projects have an aggregate drainage area of 3,836 acres.

To prepare a reconnaissance-level estimate of the potential net new recharge of these 266 projects under idealized conditions,²² West Yost assumed that these projects would create net new recharge at the same expected rate developed during the 2013 RMPU for Chino Fire Station No. 1. Based on this analysis, it was determined that the total reconnaissance-level estimate of net new storm water recharge is 842 afy.

¹⁹ The WQMP approval date was used when the construction date was not available.

²⁰ Infiltration features are specifically designed to capture and infiltrate storm water runoff to comply with MS4 permits. Infiltration features could include offsite and onsite infiltration basins, infiltration trenches, infiltration pits, underground infiltration, drywells, gravel bedding infiltration, and bioretention with no underdrain.

²¹ For San Bernardino and Riverside Counties, design capture volume (DCV) is the volume of storm water runoff resulting from the 85th percentile, 24-hr storm event that the designed infiltration feature is constructed to capture. For LA County, DCV is (1) the 0.75-inch, 24-hour storm event, or (2) the 85th percentile, 24-hour storm event, whichever is greater.

²² Idealized conditions means that the infiltration feature performs as it was designed, and that maintenance is performed to ensure that the infiltration feature performs as originally designed.

Table 2-5. Summary of Compliance with Section 5 of the 2013 Amendment to the 2010 RMPU for Projects Constructed during FY 2010/11 to FY 2020/21									
	All MS4	Projects	MS4 Proi	ects that Util	ize Infiltratior	Features for MS4 Compliance ¹	U	_	0
Appropriative Pool Party	Number of Projects	Total Drainage Area (acres)	Number of Projects	Total Drainage Area (acres)	Design Capture Volume (af)	Reconnaissance Estimate of Stormwater Recharge under Idealized Conditions (afy)	Confirmed Approval Dat	Confirmed Constructior Date	Confirmed Maintenance
All MS4 Projects Submitted to Watermas	ter								
Chino, City of	82	1,557	50	1,251	81	274	50	12	13
Chino Hills, City of ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario, City of	92	1,137	86	1,038	92	228	62	74	63
Pomona, City of ²	10	93	7	67	3	15	4	4	3
Upland, City of	6	23	6	23	1	5	1	6	0
CVWD ²	55	561	44	284	21	62	10	38	0
FWC	54	545	52	527	46	116	43	54	1
JCSD	28	1,050	19	799	26	175	1	1	5
MMWC	1	3	1	3	0	1	0	1	1
MVWD	22	73	14	60	3	13	15	17	1
Riverside County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernadino County ^{3, 4}	6	10	3	9	1	2	0	0	0
SAWCo ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	356	5,053	282	4,062	275	891	186	207	87
Submitted MS4 Projects within the Chino	Basin								
Chino, City of	82	1,557	50	1,251	81	274	50	12	13
Chino Hills, City of ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontario, City of	91	1,134	85	1,034	91	227	62	73	62
Pomona, City of ²	8	66	5	41	2	9	3	3	2
Upland, City of	6	23	6	23	1	5	1	6	0
CVWD ²	47	370	38	261	20	57	10	33	0
FWC	47	373	45	354	28	78	38	47	1
JCSD	28	1,050	19	799	26	175	1	1	5
MMWC	1	3	1	3	0	1	0	1	1
MVWD ³	22	73	14	60	3	13	15	17	1
Riverside County ^{4,5}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernadino County	6	10	3	9	1	2	0	0	0
SAWCo ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	338	4,659	266	3,836	255	842	180	193	85

Notes:

CVWD: Cucamonga Valley Water District FWC: Fontana Water Company JCSD: Jurupa Company Services District af: acre-feet MMWC: Marygold Mutual Water Company MVWD: Monte Vista Water District SAWCo: San Antonio Water Company afy: acre-feet per year

1. Not required to comply with the court order because their service area is mostly located outside of the Chino Basin boundary.

2. The CVWD informed Watermaster that they are in communication with the City of Rancho Cucamonga, and their data collection is in process.

3. Riverside County provided a GIS database, showing Riverside County's drainage facilities within the Chino Basin, which include all drainage facilities, not just MS4 facilities. The county informed Watermaster that they do not have specific data on MS4 projects and that Watermaster should request MS4 data from the cities within the county.

4. Riverside and San Bernardino Counties prepare annual reports that include a database of all MS4 projects within their jurisdiction. A comparison of these databases to the data submitted to Watermaster indicates that Watermaster has received only a subset of MS4 projects in each Appropriator Party service area. Watermaster cannot use these county databases directly because they do not contain the information required to estimate stormwater recharge.

5. Infiltration features could include offsite or onsite infiltration basins, infiltration trenches, infiltration pits, underground infiltration, drywells, gravel bedding infiltration, and bioretention with no underdrain.

6. For San Bernardino and Riverside Counties, design capture volume (DCV) is the volume of storm water runoff resulting from the 85th percentile, 24-hr storm event that the designed infiltration feature is constructed to capture. For LA County, DCV is either the 0.75-inch, 24-hour storm event, or the 85th percentile, 24-hour storm event, whichever is greater.

7. Estimated based on the assumption that all projects are similar to the Chino Fire Station No. 1 and Training Center MS4 project evaluated in Section 5 of the 2013 Amendment to the 2010 RMPU. Note that because precipitation is expected to increase north of Chino Fire Station No.1 and the majority of MS4 projects submitted to Watermaster are north of the Fire Station, this estimate is conservatively low. Idealized conditions mean that the infiltration feature performs as it was designed and that maintenance is performed to ensure that the infiltration feature performs as originally designed.







Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update



MS4 Compliance Through



Infiltration features could include offsite or onsite infiltration basins, infiltration trenches, infiltration pits, underground infiltration, drywells, gravel bedding infiltration, and bioretention with no underdrain.



Х

Non-Infiltration Features

Non-infiltration features could include pervious pavement, vegetated swales, retention basins, and biotreatment.

Chino Fire Station No. 1

See Footnote 7 in Table 4-6.

MS4 Project Outside of Chino Basin Boundary

Note: Only projects with a known project completion and readily available project location information have been plotted. 86 projects with infiltration features and 32 projects with non-infiltration features have been completed, but no location information has been provided. Additionally, 227 projects are missing key project information required to document available information on MS4 compliance measures.



Service Area Boundaries of Major Water Purveyors (Various Colors)



Chino Basin Hydrologic Boundary



Rivers and Streams





MS4 Projects Submitted to Watermaster FY 2010/11 through FY 2021/22

Exhibits-Decl of **E**!**Sture** and Page 77 of 166

2.4.2 Deficiencies in MS4 Facilities Documentation and Reporting

To determine the completeness of Watermaster's MS4 projects database, it was compared to the WQMP Inventories from the NPDES Phase I MS4 Permit Annual Report FY 2014 (SBCFCD, 2015) prepared by San Bernardino and Riverside Counties.²³ This comparison indicated that Watermaster had received a subset of MS4 projects from each of the appropriative pool parties. In addition, few appropriative pool parties submitted the documentation required by Chapter 5 of the 2013 RMPU. 53 percent (180 out of 338 MS4 projects within the Chino Basin) of the submitted MS4 projects have confirmed WQMP approval dates, 57 percent (193 out of 338 MS4 projects within the Chino Basin) have documentation on the project construction dates, and 25 percent (85 out of 338 MS4 projects within the Chino Basin) have documentation on the maintenance performed.

The main conclusions and recommendations given the analysis summarized in Table 2-5 were:

- The appropriative pool parties have not provided a comprehensive dataset of the projects within their service area.
- Watermaster does not have all the data required to compute the net new recharge created by these projects.²⁴
- There is potential for at least 840 afy of net new recharge if these projects are maintained to perform as originally designed.

Watermaster continues to collect and analyze MS4 data to determine if there has been a significant potential increase in MS4-project related recharge. If judged significant, and if the data deficiencies are addressed, Watermaster will explicitly incorporate significant MS4 projects into the modeling and other technical activities required to recalculate Safe Yield; the calibration process for the groundwater model used in the Safe Yield recalculation would be used to refine the MS4 recharge estimates. Watermaster will continue to update Figure 2-3 and Table 2-5 to document available information on MS4 compliance measures.

2.5 PLANNED RECHARGE FACILITIES CURRENTLY BEING IMPLEMENTED

The 2013 RMPU contained recommendations to improve 10 recharge facilities and an implementation plan for planning, design, and construction. Since completion of the 2013 RMPU, the IEUA and Watermaster have entered into agreements to plan, design, and construct five of the recommended facility improvements. Table 1-1 lists the 2013 RMPU projects that could be constructed, their expected annual stormwater recharge, and their supplemental water recharge benefits. With completion of these

²³ Watermaster can only use the WQMP Inventory from the NPDES Phase I MS4 Permit FY 2014 Annual Report to estimate the number of MS4 projects in San Bernardino and Riverside Counties. Watermaster cannot use the Inventory to determine the new net storm water recharge because the inventory does not contain the information required to estimate storm water recharge.

²⁴ Per Section 5 of the 2013 RMPU, the Steering Committee recommended that, if the Appropriator Parties do not consistently provide data to Watermaster or if the submitted data are incomplete, Watermaster compute net new recharge using the method described in Alternative 2 in Section 5 of the 2013 RMPU. In this alternative, the net new recharge from determining Safe Yield would be automatically incorporated into the Safe Yield, and the direct estimation of net new recharge would not be made.

2013 RMPU projects, stormwater recharge is projected to increase by 4,800 afy, and recycled water recharge capacity is projected to increase by 7,100 afy.

2.6 SUMMARY OF EXISTING AND PLANNED RECHARGE CAPACITY

Table 2-6 summarizes the existing recharge capacity (2023 conditions), the recharge capacity expected when the 2013 RMPU projects are online in 2024, and the expected recharge capacity based on 2023 conditions if the WFA treatment plant capacity is restored to its original design capacity. The supplemental water recharge capacity is about 88,680 afy in 2023 and will not change after the planned 2013 RMPU projects are online.

Table 2-6. Estimated Recharge Capacities in the Chino Basin (afy)						
Water Type	Recharge Type	Recharge Type 2023 Conditions RM		2023 Conditions Plus Current Recommended 2013 RMPU Projects and Restoration of WFA Capacity		
	Average Stormwater Recharge in Spreading Basins	9,600	14,700	14,700		
Stormwater	Average Expected Recharge of MS4 Projects	840	840	840		
	Subtotal	10,440	15,540	15,540		
	Spreading Capacity for Supplemental Water	56,600	56,600	56,600		
Supplemental Water	ASR Injection Capacity	5,480	5,480	5,480		
Supplemental water	In-Lieu Recharge Capacity	26,600	26,600	45,200		
	Subtotal	88,680	88,680	107,280		
	Total	99,120	104,220	122,820		

This chapter describes basin response to historical recharge activities since the implementation of the OBMP and changes that have occurred since the 2018 RMPU was completed. The basin response is described in terms of groundwater-level changes, hydrologic balance and hydraulic control. This information is used to determine the effectiveness of storm and supplemental water recharge activities in achieving OBMP goals and to inform Watermaster's decision on the location and magnitude of future supplemental water recharge.

3.1 GROUNDWATER-LEVEL CHANGES

Figures 3-1a, 3-1b, and 3-1c are groundwater-elevation contour maps for the shallow aquifer system for spring 2000, 2018, 2022, respectively, based on measured data. The main observations and conclusions drawn from these maps are:

- Groundwater generally flows from higher to lower elevations, with flow perpendicular to the equal-elevation contours. These maps show that groundwater generally flows in a south-southwest direction from the northern parts of the basin toward the Prado Basin in the south (an area of shallow groundwater discharge to the land surface and the Santa Ana River). This general pattern of groundwater flow has been consistent over the period of OBMP implementation.
- In 2000, there were notable pumping depressions in the groundwater surface that
 interrupted the general patterns groundwater flow in the vicinity of the wells fields of the
 Monte Vista Water District (MVWD), City of Pomona, and the Jurupa Community Services
 District's (JCSD). The Peace Agreement requirement to recharge 6,500 afy of supplemental
 water in MZ1 was, in part, meant to address the pumping depression in MZ1 (Peace II
 Agreement Article 8.4). Pumping at the Chino Basin Desalter Authority's (CDA) wells had not
 yet begun as of July 2000, so groundwater flow was in the southern portion of the basin was
 uninterrupted towards the Prado Basin (i.e., areas of groundwater discharge).
- By 2018, the pumping depression in the MVWD and Pomona well fields was shallower but remained. Pumping at the CDA well fields had commenced in 2000 and increased significantly by 2018. As a result, a new pumping depression in the groundwater surface developed from the northern part of the JCSD service area extending southwest to California Institution for Men (CIM), indicating the achievement of hydraulic control across the southern portion of the basin.
- By 2022, groundwater levels changed slightly, but the depressions in the groundwater surface, directions of groundwater flow, and hydraulic gradients remain similar to 2018.







Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update





٠

•

Hydraulic Head Contours (July 2000) (ft above mean sea-level)

Appropriative Pool Pumping Wells

City of Chino •

City of Chino Hills

City of Ontario

- Cucamonga Valley Water District
- Fontana Water Company
- Jurupa Community Services District
- City of Pomona Monte Vista Water District ٠ City of Upland
 - Other Appropriators

Chino Desalter Wells



•

Streams & Flood Control Channels



Flood Control & Conservation Basins



Water Service Area

Faults

Approximate Location (of Ground	lwater Barrier
 Location Approximate	?_	Location Uncertain
 Location Certain		Location Concealed





Groundwater Elevation Contours -Shallow Aquifer, 2000 Chino Basin Groundwater Model - Spring 2000

> Exhibits-Decl of Figure 3.1a Page 82 of 166







Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update





•

•

Hydraulic Head Contours (July 2018) (ft above mean sea-level)

Appropriative Pool Pumping Wells

• City of Chino

City of Chino Hills

City of Ontario

- Cucamonga Valley Water District
- Fontana Water Company
- Jurupa Community Services District
- City of Pomona Monte Vista Water District
- City of Upland
- Other Appropriators

Chino Desalter Wells



•

Streams & Flood Control Channels



Flood Control & Conservation Basins



Water Service Area

Faults

	Location Certain		Location Concealed
	Location Approximate	?_	Location Uncertain
<u> </u>	Approximate Location of	of Ground	lwater Barrier





Groundwater Elevation Contours -Shallow Aquifer, 2018 Chino Basin Groundwater Model - Spring 2018

> Exhibits-Decl o**Figure**r**enha** Page 83 of 166







Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update







Appropriative Pool Pumping Wells

• City of Chino

500

- City of Chino Hills
- City of Ontario
- City of Pomona
- City of Upland
- Cucamonga Valley Water District
- Fontana Water Company
- Jurupa Community Services District
- Monte Vista Water District
- Other Appropriators

Chino Desalter Wells



•

Streams & Flood Control Channels



Flood Control & Conservation Basins



Water Service Area

Faults

 Location Certain	•••••	Location Concealed
 Location Approximate	?-	Location Uncertain
 Approximate Location of	of Ground	lwater Barrier





Groundwater Elevation Contours -Shallow Aquifer, 2022 Chino Basin Groundwater Model - Spring 2022

> Exhibits-Decl of **BBHf** art **16** Page 84 of 166

Figures 3-2a, 3-2b, and 3-2c show the changes in groundwater elevation between 2000 and 2018, between 2018 and 2022, and between 2000 and 2022, respectively. The following are the main observations and conclusions from these maps:

- From 2000 to 2018:
 - Generally, groundwater levels decreased in the eastern portion of the basin and generally increased in the western part of the basin.
 - Groundwater levels declined by as much as 40 feet within parts of the JCSD service area, across the eastern portion of the CDA well field, and within the Fontana Water Company (FWC) service area.
 - Groundwater levels increased in the western part of the basin by about 10 to 50 feet.
- From 2018 to 2022, groundwater levels continued to change in the same general patterns as occurred from 2000-2018.
- From 2000 to 2022:
 - Generally, groundwater levels decreased in the eastern portion of the basin and generally increased in the western part of the basin.
 - Groundwater levels declined by as much as 50 feet in the northeast portion of the basin within the FWC and CVWD service areas.
 - Groundwater levels increased in the western part of the basin by about 10 to 40 feet.

One of the goals of the OBMP was to use recharge to increase groundwater levels in MZ1 to ensure sustainable pumping and minimize the occurrence of land subsidence. A primary goal of the Peace II Agreement was to achieve hydraulic control of the southern portion of the Basin through CDA pumping. Figures 3-2a, 3-2b, and 3-2c demonstrate progress towards achieving these goals.

Currently, subsidence is occurring across Northwest MZ1 and northern MZ2. Therefore, Watermaster recommends that recharge continue to be prioritized in MZ1 and to update its Subsidence Management Plan to address the ongoing subsidence that is occurring in these areas.







Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update







Groundwater Elevation Change - 2000 to 2018 *Chino Basin Groundwater Model - July 2000-2018*

Exhibits-Decl of But Page 86 of 166







Prepared for:







Groundwater Elevation Change - 2018 to 2022 Chino Basin Groundwater Model - Spring 2018-2022

Orange County

Exhibits-Decl of Butter and Page 87 of 166







Prepared for:



Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update





Groundwater Elevation Change - 2000 to 2022 *Chino Basin Groundwater Model - Spring 2000-2022*

Orange County

> Exhibits-Decl of **ESHE**rana Page 88 of 166

3.2 HYDROLOGIC BALANCE

Table 3-1 shows the time history of the hydrologic balance for MZ1, MZ2, and MZ3, based on groundwater model simulations of historical data for the period of fiscal 2000/01 through 2021/22. The term hydrologic balance refers to total recharge minus the total discharge: if positive, the storage will be increasing in a MZ, and if negative, it will be decreasing.

The cumulative balance of recharge and discharge in MZ1 from FY 2000/01 through 2021/22 is positive (storage increased) at 7,500 af, averaging about 340 afy. In contrast, the cumulative balances of recharge and discharge in MZ2 and MZ3 from FY 2000/01 through 2021/22 were about -127,800 af and -137,200 af, respectively (storage declining), averaging about -5,800 afy and -6,200 afy, respectively.

The historical decline in storage is due to:

- the 5,000 afy of controlled overdraft permitted in the Judgment (through 2017),
- reoperation and other water in storage dedicated to offset the desalter replenishment obligation permitted in the Peace II Agreement; and
- the likely use of Managed Storage to offset the desalter replenishment obligation.

The existence of controlled overdraft permitted by the Judgment and the Peace II Agreement means that it is impossible to maintain a balance of recharge and discharge in each MZ if the controlled overdraft is pumped: the balance has to be negative in some MZs and storage will decline. The physical decline in storage permitted in the Peace II Agreement is required to achieve hydraulic control (WEI, 2007).

The historical state of the balance of recharge and discharge for MZ1 is consistent with the Peace Agreements. As stated previously, Watermaster has an obligation pursuant to Chapter 8.4 of the Peace II Agreement to recharge 6,500 afy of supplemental water in MZ1 for the duration of the Peace Agreement (until June 30, 2030). Table 3-2 shows the time history of supplemental water recharge in MZ1, MZ2, MZ3 from FY 2000/01 through fiscal 2021/22. From FY 2000/01 through fiscal 2021/22, the cumulative supplemental water recharge in MZ1 has exceeded the cumulative obligation for supplemental water recharge by about 53,082 af (or 2,412 afy).

	Table 3-1. Historical Change in Storage in MZ1, MZ2, and MZ3								
	MZ	21	M	Ζ2	M	Z3	То	Total	
Fiscal Year	Annual	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	
2001	-549	-549	-14,006	-14,006	-14,566	-14,566	-29,121	-29,121	
2002	-2,484	-3,033	-12,595	-26,600	-10,723	-25,289	-25,801	-54,922	
2003	-5,016	-8,049	-12,672	-39,273	-12,539	-37,828	-30,227	-85,149	
2004	-363	-8,412	-11,759	-51,031	-11,863	-49,691	-23,985	-109,134	
2005	6,260	-2,152	-1,649	-52,680	-11,795	-61,485	-7,184	-116,318	
2006	19,159	17,007	8,022	-44,658	-1,208	-62,694	25,973	-90,345	
2007	15,633	32,640	-4,584	-49,243	-2,077	-64,771	8,972	-81,373	
2008	-13,845	18,796	-11,518	-60,760	-12,461	-77,231	-37,824	-119,196	
2009	-12,582	6,214	-15,312	-76,072	-12,196	-89,427	-40,090	-159,286	
2010	-8,243	-2,030	-10,770	-86,843	-8,343	-97,770	-27,357	-186,643	
2011	9,607	7,577	2,609	-84,234	2,454	-95,316	14,670	-171,973	
2012	5,127	12,704	4,258	-79,977	2,258	-93,058	11,642	-160,331	
2013	-10,855	1,848	-9,620	-89,597	-7,254	-100,312	-27,730	-188,061	
2014	-13,918	-12,070	-7,031	-96,628	-12,035	-112,347	-32,984	-221,045	
2015	-7,954	-20,024	-4,160	-100,787	-3,425	-115,772	-15,539	-236,584	
2016	3,556	-16,468	-12,543	-113,331	-2,501	-118,274	-11,488	-248,072	
2017	14,488	-1,980	-1,221	-114,551	-2,591	-120,864	10,677	-237,396	
2018	15,725	13,745	5,216	-109,336	-1,774	-122,638	19,167	-218,229	
2019	4,669	18,414	6,995	-102,340	-3,185	-125,823	8,479	-209,750	
2020	4,103	22,517	-3,851	-106,191	2,917	-122,906	3,169	-206,580	
2021	-7,934	14,583	-13,084	-119,276	-4,236	-127,143	-25,255	-231,835	
2022	-7,092	7,491	-8,482	-127,757	-10,061	-137,204	-25,635	-257,470	
Average	341		-5,807		-6,237		-11,703		

Table 3-2. Historical Supplemental Water Recharge in MZ1, MZ2, and MZ3						
	Supplemental Water Recharge (af)					
Fiscal Year	MZ1	MZ2	MZ3	Total		
2001	6,530	500	0	7,030		
2002	6,500	505	0	7,005		
2003	6,499	185	0	6,684		
2004	7,582	49	0	7,631		
2005	7,887	4,530	0	12,417		
2006	18,923	16,226	722	35,870		
2007	22,477	12,050	1,426	35,953		
2008	1,054	1,129	157	2,340		
2009	1,957	535	192	2,684		
2010	7,742	1,518	2,950	12,210		
2011	9,103	5,664	2,948	17,715		
2012	18,088	8,502	5,493	32,083		
2013	3,766	3,845	2,868	10,479		
2014	2,736	8,477	3,175	14,388		
2015	1,059	5,666	4,116	10,841		
2016	2,685	4,180	6,357	13,222		
2017	13,766	4,791	8,518	27,076		
2018	26,746	15,253	7,471	49,470		
2019	10,372	5,148	3,026	18,546		
2020	14,067	10,804	8,236	33,107		
2021	3,660	5,821	8,448	17,928		
2022	2,883	8,163	5,739	19,010		
Total	196,082	123,539	71,841	393,688		

3.3 HYDRAULIC CONTROL

The attainment of hydraulic control is demonstrated through mapping of groundwater-elevation data that all groundwater north of the CDA well field is captured by the CDA well field (total hydraulic containment standard) or through groundwater modeling that the groundwater discharge past the CDA well field is, in aggregate, less than 1,000 afy (de minimis standard). The Regional Board has agreed that compliance with the de minimis standard will be determined from the results of periodic calibrations of the Watermaster groundwater-flow model and interpretations of the calibration results.

Mapping of groundwater-elevation data shows that groundwater is discharging past the CDA well field in the area between the Chino Hills and CDA well I-20. Figure 3-3 is a time-history chart that shows the historical volume of groundwater discharge across the line of control from 2005 to 2018 based on modeling estimates (WEI, 2020). Over this period, the groundwater discharge across the line of control ranges from 170 to 740 afy, averages 560 afy, and is always less than the *de minimis* discharge threshold of 1,000 afy. Hydraulic control has been maintained through 2018 as shown in Figure 3-3 and through 2022 as demonstrated in the Chino Basin OBMP Maximum Benefit Annual Reports.²⁵



This chapter establishes planning assumptions for the completion of the 2023 RMPU. These projections of water supply, recharge, and replenishment are based on the most up to date information available to Watermaster developed through Watermaster's Data Collection and Evaluation efforts (West Yost, 2023). This chapter also describes changes in the availability and cost of replenishment sources. This information is used to evaluate the basin response to planning projections (Chapter 5) and determine the effectiveness of storm and supplemental water recharge activities in achieving OBMP goals and to inform Watermaster's decision on the location and magnitude of future supplemental water recharge.

4.1 PROJECTED WATER DEMANDS AND SUPPLIES

In May 2020, the Watermaster performed the *2020 Safe Yield Recalculation*²⁶ (2020 SYR) which utilized computer-simulation groundwater-flow modeling to calculate the water budget of the Chino Basin under a future planning scenario. The 2020 SYR planning scenario was based on the planning work reported in the *2018 Storage Framework Investigation* and the *2020 Storage Management Plan*, the water demands and water supply plans provided by the Watermaster Parties, planning hydrology that incorporates climate change impacts on precipitation and evapotranspiration (ET₀), and assumptions regarding future cultural conditions and replenishment obligations.

Work completed since the 2020 SYR has helped refine and develop recommendations related to recharge that inform the 2023 RMPU. Pursuant to the April 28, 2017 Court Order on the Safe Yield of the Chino Basin²⁷, Watermaster annually collects, evaluates, and develops reports on data regarding cultural conditions in the Chino Basin. Cultural conditions include, but are not limited to, land use, water use practices, production, and facilities for the production, generation, storage, recharge, treatment, or transmission of water. In these reports, Watermaster compares actual data and updated projections to the data and assumptions that were used in the 2020 SYR. Watermaster recently completed the second annual report on *Data Collection and Evaluation – Fiscal Year 2021/2022* (DCE Report) which documents the required data collection and evaluation through Fiscal Year 2021/22 (West Yost, 2022).

Figure 4-1 shows the projected aggregate water demand developed for several current and past planning studies including: the DCE Report, 2020 SYR, 2018 Storage Framework Investigation (WEI, 2018a), 2013 Safe Yield Recalculation (WEI, 2015), Peace II (WEI, 2009), and OBMP development (WEI, 1999). The projected aggregate demands for the DCE Report are less than those projected in the prior planning investigations. Total water demand is projected to grow from about 330,000 afy in 2020 to about 395,000 afy by 2040. The projected growth in water demand by the Appropriative Pool parties drives the increase in water demands as several parties are projected to serve new urban water demands caused by the conversion of agricultural and vacant land uses to urban.

Figure 4-2 and Table 4-1 show the projected water supplies for the Watermaster Parties based on the projected aggregate water demand and supply plan for all Chino Basin parties updated by the Parties in 2022 (West Yost, 2023). Table 4-1 also shows the projected demands for the 2020 SYR, which are about one percent higher than the DCE Report. The impacts of the difference between the planning projections are described in Chapter 5.4.

²⁶ <u>2020 Safe Yield Recalculation (WEI 2020).</u>

²⁷ Orders for Watermaster's Motion Regarding the 2015 Safe Yield Reset Agreement, Amendment of Restated Judgment, Paragraph 6, Superior Court for the County of San Bernardino (2017).

Table 4-1. Projected Aggregate Water Supply for Watermaster Parties and the CDA (afy)						
Water Source	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	
Chino Basin Groundwater	150,800	164,500	175,000	186,600	186,900	
Non-Chino Basin Groundwater	62,800	64,800	66,600	68,600	70,400	
Local Surface Water	17,100	17,100	17,100	17,100	17,100	
Imported Water from Metropolitan	92,900	95,900	99,800	102,500	103,000	
Other Imported Water	3,300	3,400	3,500	3,500	3,500	
Recycled Water for Direct Reuse	25,900	27,900	29,200	30,800	31,300	
Total	352,800	373,600	391,200	409,100	412,200	
2020 SYR Total	358,000	376,400	396,200	416,600		

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update Exhibits_Deck of B-Herrema Page 95 of 166

941-80-22-22



Chino Basin Watermaster

2023 Recharge Master Plan Update Exhibits-DecliofdB/- Herrema Page 96 of 166



Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update Exhibits_Deck of B. Herrema Page 97 of 166

4.2 PROJECTED RECHARGE OF RECYCLED WATER

The IEUA has been recharging recycled water in the Chino Basin in various amounts since it acquired all municipal wastewater plants in the 1970s. Starting in the mid-1970s, the IEUA abandoned most of its recycled water recharge activities and discharged its treated effluent to the Santa Ana River. At the start of the OBMP in 2000, the IEUA was recharging about 500 afy of recycled water in the Basin. Beginning in 2005, the IEUA started a new program to increase the recharge of recycled water. Currently, the IEUA uses its recycled water for direct use, groundwater recharge, and discharges to Chino and Cucamonga Creek, which are tributaries to the Santa Ana River. Table 4-2 shows the IEUA's projected recycled water recharge by recharge facility, through 2035. Recycled water recharge is projected to increase to about 16,420 afy and remain constant thereafter.²⁸

For the foreseeable future, the IEUA projects that it will recharge at least 3,490 afy of recycled water in MZ1. Using an obligation of 6,500 afy, this yields a residual MZ1 recharge obligation of 3,010 afy of imported water recharge through 2030.

4.3 PROJECTED REPLENISHMENT OBLIGATION AND RECHARGE OF IMPORTED WATER TO SATISFY IT

Figure 4-3 shows the projected replenishment obligations from 2023 through 2045. The replenishment obligations are calculated by comparing the projected groundwater pumping from the Chino Basin to the available pumping rights. Available pumping rights include safe yield, reoperation water use to offset the desalter replenishment obligation and recycled water recharge. Figure 4-3 also shows the 6,500 afy supplemental water recharge obligation for MZ1 through 2030. For this effort, it is assumed that a portion of the MZ1 recharge obligation is met through recharge from replenishment obligations.

Through 2045, the maximum annual replenishment obligation occurs in 2040 at about 14,000 afy. The Parties project that 90 percent of a replenishment obligation is satisfied from storage and 10 percent is satisfied by wet-water recharge via spreading and injection based (West Yost, 2023). Thus, the projected annual replenishment obligation assumed to be satisfied by wet-water recharge is less than the total obligation.

²⁸ Note that this represents the annual average expected recycled water recharge. However, the value can fluctuate depending on hydrologic conditions.

Table 4-2. Recycled Water Recharge Projections, 2023-2045					
Basin	Recycled Water Recharge Projections ¹				
Brooks Street Basin	2,000				
College Heights Basins	0				
Montclair Basins 1-4	0				
Seventh and Eighth Street Basins	1,490				
Upland Basin	0				
Subtotal Management Zone 1	3,490				
Ely Basins	1,100				
Grove Basin	0				
Etiwanda Debris Basin	0				
Hickory Basin	1,650				
Lower Day Basin	0				
San Sevaine Basins 1-5	840				
Turner Basins 1-2	360				
Turner Basins 3-4	750				
Victoria Basin	1,530				
Subtotal Management Zone 2	6,230				
Banana Basin	1,050				
Declez Basin	1,250				
IEUA RP3 Ponds	4,400				
Subtotal Management Zone 3	6,700				
Total	16,420				

Notes:

г

1 - Source - Andy Campbell, IEUA, February 2022.

WEST YOST

٦



4.4 MANAGED STORAGE

"Managed Storage" as used herein refers to the total water held in storage accounts plus carryover water. Pursuant to the Judgment, Watermaster levies and collects assessments each year in amounts sufficient to purchase replenishment water to replace overproduction by a Party or Parties during the preceding year. Overproduction occurs when an Appropriative Pool or Overlying Non-Agricultural Pool party's annual production exceeds its production rights. Parties within the Appropriative Pool and Overlying Non-Agricultural Pool can transfer stored water and/or unused Safe Yield rights within their respective pool, with Watermaster approval, to minimize their individual replenishment obligations or for other reasons. Parties in both pools can use water in their Managed Storage accounts to satisfy their replenishment obligations. After the completion of a fiscal year, Watermaster compiles pumping and transfer records from all parties to determine replenishment obligations for the year.

Managed storge can also be used for Storage and Recovery programs. Metropolitan's DYYP is a groundwater Storage and Recovery Program where supplemental water is stored in the Chino Basin during surplus years and extracted during years when the availability of supplemental water is limited or as otherwise determined by Metropolitan. The DYYP was developed jointly by the Watermaster, IEUA, Three Valleys Municipal Water District (TVMWD)), and Metropolitan. The DYYP has a maximum storage capacity of 100,000 af with maximum puts (water added into storage) of 25,000 afy and maximum takes (water extracted from storage) of 33,000 afy. The term of the DYYP agreement expires in 2028. As of June 2022, there is a zero balance in the DYYP storage account. The nexus of the DYYP to the 2023 RMPU is that the DYYP uses existing supplemental water recharge capacity in the basin.

Some of the Watermaster parties are contemplating other Storage and Recovery Programs in the Chino Basin. As of this writing, these other programs are not definitive enough to include in this report. The nexus of these other storage programs to the 2023 RMPU is that they may use existing supplemental water recharge capacity in the basin.

Figure 4-4 shows historical and projected changes in managed storage for the period of July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2050. Managed storage is projected to peak at 657,000 af in 2031 and decline to about 455,000 af by 2050. The difference between historical and projected managed storage in fiscal years 2019 through 2022 is due to differences between actual pumping and managed recharge and the 2020 SYR projections.²⁹

²⁹ For additional details, refer to the Data Collection and Evaluation Report for Fiscal Year 2021/2022 (West Yost, 2023)



Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update Exhibits-DecligdBy-Herrema Page 102 of 166

4.5 RECHARGE AND REPLENISHMENT WATER SOURCES, AVAILABILITY, AND COST

Watermaster has historically met its replenishment obligations through the purchase and recharge of SWP water from the IEUA which it obtains from Metropolitan and/or the purchase of stored water from appropriative pool parties. This report documents the availability and includes cost estimates for Metropolitan's water. Metropolitan does not differentiate between sources for its rate structure, however, availability varies between sources. Thus, the availability analysis is based on SWP water, instead of Metropolitan's full water portfolio which includes CRA, recycled, and local waters.

Table 4-4 summarizes the projected cost of imported water for untreated direct and replenishment uses. The cost to purchase water for replenishment is projected to increase over time by about five to seven percent per year from about \$855 per af in 2023 to about \$1,512 per af in 2032. This cost projection includes Metropolitan's projected Tier 1 and Readiness-to-Serve (RTS) charges and excludes Metropolitan's Capacity charge and the IEUA's administrative cost. This cost projection is based on Metropolitan's Biennial Budget for Fiscal Years 2022/23-2023/24³⁰ which adopted water rates for calendar years 2023 through 2032, recent historical water purchase information from the IEUA, and projected water purchases developed in Watermaster's Storage Framework Investigation. This cost projection does not include the projected cost of the California WaterFix tunnel project.

In December 2021, the Department of Water Resources (DWR) published the *Draft State Water Project Delivery Capability Report 2021*, which describes the likelihood of water delivery of a given amount of SWP Table A water. Over the past 10 years (from 2011-2020), annual Table A deliveries have not exceeded 3,100 thousand acre-feet (TAF). According to the report, there is a 23 percent likelihood that more than 3,000 TAF/year of Table A water will be delivered under the current estimates. For the purposes of the 2023 RMPU, it has been assumed that Watermaster will be able to purchase water from Metropolitan for replenishment purposes in one out of five years (20 percent of the time). The implications of these shortage assumptions are discussed in Chapter 6.1 of this report.

Additional sources of supplemental water that could be used for replenishment or other recharge programs include:

- Imported water from Metropolitan
- Groundwater and surface water supplies in the Santa Ana Watershed that can be supplied to the Chino Basin directly through existing or new conveyance facilities or by exchange
- Recycled water from the Western Riverside County Regional Wastewater Authority plant located in the Chino Basin
- Groundwater and surface water supplies from the Central Valley, conveyed to the Chino Basin through imported water conveyance facilities
- Groundwater and surface water supplies from the Colorado River Basin conveyed to the Chino Basin through Metropolitan facilities

The availability and cost of all other supplemental water sources are unknown at this time.

³⁰ Biennial Budget – FY 2022/23 and 2023/24, including Ten-Year Financial Forecast and Resolutions, under Budget, Rates & Charges. See pdf page 226 for Ten-Year Forecast. <u>https://www.mwdh2o.com/budget-finance/</u>

 Table 4-3. Projected Cost to Purchase Imported Water from Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Metropolitan) Excluding

 Capacity and Metropolitan Member Agency Imposed Charges

Year			Readiness to Serve (RTS) Charges										
	Tier 1				RTS Cost							Tabal Mature alitan	
			Metropolitan System-Wide RTS Charge		IEUA Share of Metropolitan Water Purchased ¹	Projected 10-yr Rolling Average of Metropolitan Purchases ^{1, 2}	ojected 10-yr ing Average of Metropolitan Purchases ^{1, 2}		Projected Water Purchases ²		RTS Unit Cost		International In
	(\$/af)			(\$/y)		(afy)		(\$/y)	(afy)	(\$/af)			(\$/af)
2023	\$	855	\$	154,000,000	4.01%	59,498	\$	6,181,000.00	69,908	\$	88.42	\$	943
2024	\$	903	\$	167,000,000	4.01%	60,587	\$	6,702,000.00	73,940	\$	90.64	\$	994
2025	\$	972	\$	167,000,000	4.06%	61,275	\$	6,781,000.00	77,971	\$	86.97	\$	1,059
2026	\$	1,037	\$	167,000,000	4.06%	63,182	\$	6,781,000.00	78,135	\$	86.79	\$	1,124
2027	\$	1,110	\$	167,000,000	4.16%	67,823	\$	6,948,000.00	78,300	\$	88.74	\$	1,199
2028	\$	1,190	\$	178,000,000	4.16%	71,445	\$	7,405,000.00	78,464	\$	94.37	\$	1,284
2029	\$	1,272	\$	187,000,000	4.16%	72,371	\$	7,780,000.00	78,629	\$	98.95	\$	1,371
2030	\$	1,350	\$	193,000,000	4.26%	73,911	\$	8,222,000.00	78,793	\$	104.35	\$	1,454
2031	\$	1,434	\$	194,000,000	4.26%	75,146	\$	8,265,000.00	79,010	\$	104.61	\$	1,539
2032	\$	1,512	\$	209,000,000	4.26%	75,903	\$	8,904,000.00	79,226	\$	112.39	\$	1,624

Notes:

These cost projections are estimates based on assumptions for future Tier 1 costs, RTS charges, and IEUA purchases from Metropolitan.

They are based on Metropolitan's Biennial Budget for Fiscal Years 2022/23-2023/24 which adopted water rates for calendar years 2023 through 2032, recent historical water purchase information from the IEUA, and projected water purchases developed in Watermaster's Storage Framework investigation. This cost projection does not include the projected cost of the California WaterFix tunnel project.

1 - Estimates were provided by John Russ on February 2, 2023.

2 - Imported water purchases based on historical purchases and 2020 UWMP imported water projections.

This chapter describes the basin response to the planning projections. The basin response is described in terms of groundwater-level changes, hydrologic balance and hydraulic control. This information is used to determine the effectiveness of storm and supplemental water recharge activities in achieving OBMP goals and to inform Watermaster's decision on the location and magnitude of future supplemental water recharge.

5.1 PROJECTED GROUNDWATER-LEVEL RESPONSE

Future changes in groundwater levels under the 2020 SYR planning scenario were projected from July 2018 through June 2050. Figures 5-1a through 5-1d show the projected changes in groundwater levels for 2018 through 2030, 2030 through 2040, 2040 through 2050, and 2018 through 2050, respectively. Recall from Figure 4-4, mentioned above, that the managed storage peaks during the planning period in 2031 and declines thereafter. Managed storage roughly parallels the total storage in the Basin. The increasing managed storage through 2029 can be observed in the change in groundwater levels in Figure 5-1a, and the subsequent decline in managed storage can be seen in Figures 5-1b and 5-1c. The trends in groundwater level changes by period are as follows:

- From 2018 to 2030, groundwater levels are projected to:
 - decrease in the western part of the basin by up to 10 feet in the MVWD service area
 - decrease in southern part of the basin by about 10 feet in the vicinity of the CDA well field
- From 2030 to 2040, groundwater levels are projected to:
 - decrease by about 10 feet or more in the Ontario, FWC, CVWD, and JCSD service areas
 - remain largely unchanged across the rest of the Basin
- From 2040 to 2050, groundwater levels are projected to:
 - decrease by about 10 feet or more in the Ontario, FWC, CVWD, and JCSD service areas
 - remain largely unchanged across the rest of the Basin
- Cumulatively, from 2018 to 2050, groundwater levels are projected to:
 - decrease by about 10-25 feet across the eastern portion of the Basin, including the services areas of FWC, CVWD, JCSD, and eastern Ontario
 - decrease by about 10 feet or more across the western portion of the Basin, including the services areas of the Pomona, Upland, Chino, MVWD, and western Ontario
 - remain largely unchanged in the southernmost portion of the Basin, including along the Santa Ana River and Prado Basin

These changes in groundwater levels can influence the occurrences and magnitudes of land subsidence and/or pumping sustainability challenges.






Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update







Groundwater Elevation Change – Layer 1 2020 SYR1 Groundwater Model from 2018 to 2030

> Exhibits-Decl o**न्छिमाल** स्ति**नेव** Page 106 of 166







Prepared for:



Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update



Contour of Hydraulic Head Change (ft) Positive Change July 2030 to July 2040



Hydraulic Head Change (ft) July 2030 to July 2040



Appropriative Pool Pumping Wells

- City of Chino
- City of Chino Hills
- City of Ontario
- City of Pomona
- City of Upland
- Cucamonga Valley Water District
- Fontana Water Company
- Jurupa Community Services District
- Monte Vista Water District
- Other Appropriators
- ~~~~

•

Flood Control & Conservation Basins

Streams & Flood Control Channels

•

Chino Desalter Wells



Water Service Area

Faults

- Location Certain
 Location Concealed
 Location Approximate
 ---- Location Uncertain
- - Approximate Location of Groundwater Barrier





Groundwater Elevation Change – Layer 1 2020 SYR1 Groundwater Model from 2030 to 2040

> Exhibits-Decl o**FB446**r**Feiha** Page 107 of 166







Prepared for:



Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update



- Contour of Hydraulic Head Change (ft) Positive Change July 2040 to July 2050
- Contour of Hydraulic Head Change (ft) Negative Change July 2040 to July 2050

Hydraulic Head Change (ft) July 2040 to July 2050



Appropriative Pool Pumping Wells

- City of Chino
- City of Chino Hills
- City of Ontario
- City of Pomona
- City of Upland
- Cucamonga Valley Water District
- Fontana Water Company
- Jurupa Community Services District
- Monte Vista Water District
- Other Appropriators
- ~~~~

•

Flood Control & Conservation Basins

Streams & Flood Control Channels

•

Chino Desalter Wells



Water Service Area

Faults

- Location Certain
 Location Concealed
 Location Approximate
 - -? Location Uncertain
 - Location Approximate = = = = = Elocation oncertain
- --- Approximate Location of Groundwater Barrier





Groundwater Elevation Change – Layer 1 2020 SYR1 Groundwater Model from 2040 to 2050

> Exhibits-Decl of **EBHI** Farts Page 108 of 166







Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update



Contour of Hydraulic Head Change (ft) Positive Change July 2018 to July 2050



Hydraulic Head Change (ft) July 2018 to July 2050



Appropriative Pool Pumping Wells

- City of Chino
- City of Chino Hills
- City of Ontario
- City of Pomona
- City of Upland
- Cucamonga Valley Water District
- Fontana Water Company
- Jurupa Community Services District
- Monte Vista Water District
- Other Appropriators
- ~~~~

•

Streams & Flood Control Channels

•

Chino Desalter Wells



Flood Control & Conservation Basins



Water Service Area

Faults

- Location Certain
 Location Concealed
 Location Approximate
 ---- Location Uncertain
- - Approximate Location of Groundwater Barrier





Groundwater Elevation Change – Layer 1 2020 SYR1 Groundwater Model from 2018 to 2050

> Exhibits-Decl o**Figure**r**entd** Page 109 of 166

5.1.1 New Land Subsidence

Historically, portions of the Basin have experienced aquifer-system compaction and associated land subsidence, which has caused damage to the land surface and its overlying infrastructure. These areas include most of MZ1 and the western portion of MZ2. The land subsidence was caused by the historical lowering of groundwater levels due to groundwater pumping (WEI, 2017). During subsidence, the pressure heads in fine-grained sediment layers are greater than the heads in surrounding course-grained sediments, which causes the pore water within the fine-grained layers to discharge into the coarse--grained layers with an immediate reduction in thickness of the fine-grained layers. Due to post-Judgment and post-OBMP decreases in pumping and increases in groundwater levels, long-term trends of land subsidence in the Basin have slowed but have not stopped. Watermaster has developed and implements a Subsidence Management Plan to guide pumping and recharge activities in the Basin to minimize or abate the future occurrence of land subsidence. Presently, the Watermaster is updating the Subsidence Management Plan to specifically address an acute area of land subsidence occurring in Northwest MZ1.

In this report, "new land subsidence" refers to land subsidence caused by lowering of groundwater levels below historical low groundwater levels in areas that are susceptible to land subsidence (i.e., in MZ1). Historical groundwater-level data and model-estimated historical groundwater levels were reviewed to develop a map of historical low groundwater levels across MZ1. This groundwater-level surface was used to assess the potential for new land subsidence, assuming no new land subsidence occurs if groundwater levels are maintained above the historical low groundwater levels (referred to as the constraint surface). Figure 5-2 shows the current (2018) and projected groundwater levels (as estimated by the 2020 SYR model) relative to the new land subsidence constraint surface for MZ1. Areas shown in white or blue identify where groundwater levels are above the constraint surface and new land subsidence is unlikely to occur. Areas that are pink or red identify where groundwater levels are lower than the constraint surface and new land subsidence is projected to occur. Review of the maps indicate that projected groundwater elevations are above the constraint surface except for two small areas centered on wells where groundwater pumping can be modified to ensure no new land subsidence. Therefore, Watermaster recommends that recharge continue to be prioritized in MZ1 and to update its Subsidence Management Plan to address the ongoing subsidence that is occurring in these areas.







Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update





Projected Groundwater Level Minus Subsidence Metric (ft)					
	-60 to -40				
	-40 to -20				
	-20 to 0				
) to 20				
	20 to 40				
	40 to 60				
	50 to 80				
	80 to 100				
	100 to 200				
	200 to 400				
	Chino Desalter Wells				



Appropriative Pool Pumping Wells

MODFLOW Groundwater Flow Model Boundary (Active Model Domain)



Evaluation of Potential for Future Land Subsidence 2018-2050 Compared to New Land Subsidence Metric

Exhibits-Decl of **5**94676572 Page 111 of 166

5.1.2 Pumping Sustainability

The term pumping sustainability, as used herein, refers to the ability to pump water from a specific well at a desired production rate, given the groundwater level at that well, and its specific well construction and equipping details. It has no nexus to the Judgment or Peace Agreements. "Pumping sustainability metrics" are defined for each well by the well owner and are updated periodically. Groundwater pumping at a well is presumed to be sustainable if the model-projected groundwater levels at that well location are above the well's pumping sustainability metric. If the groundwater level falls below the sustainability metric, the owner will either need to lower the pumping equipment in their well or reduce the well's pumping rate.

During the development of the OBMP, the parties that pump groundwater from MZ1 expressed concern that more recharge was required for sustainable pumping. To address the concern, the Peace Agreement provided for 6,500 afy of supplemental water recharge in MZ1 (discussed above). Pumping sustainability in MZ3 in the JCSD and CDA well fields was a concern expressed during the development of the 2013 RMPU.

Pumping sustainability was evaluated in the 2020 SYR report, and this work is incorporated into the 2023 RMPU. Parties provided Watermaster the maximum depth to groundwater required to maintain sustainable pumping rates for each of their wells. A constraint surface was created by interpolating these values at wells across the Basin. Pumping sustainability is a concern if groundwater levels fall below the pumping sustainability constraint surface. Figure 5-3 shows a series of maps that describe the time history of current (2018) and projected groundwater levels relative to the pumping sustainability constraint surface across the Chino Basin. White to dark blue areas represent where groundwater levels are projected to be above the pumping sustainability constraint surface. Pink to red areas represent where groundwater levels are projected to be above the sustainability surface through 2050 over most of the Basin except for the CDA and JCSD well fields and two wells in the FWC service area. Groundwater levels are projected to decline in these areas during 2018-2050 which could increase the pumping sustainability challenges at these wells over time.







Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update



Pumping Sustainability Metric (ft) -60 to -40 -40 to -20 -20 to 0 0 to 20 20 to 40 40 to 60 60 to 80 80 to 100 100 to 200 200 to 400 • Chino Desalter Wells



Appropriative Pool Pumping Wells

MODFLOW Groundwater Flow Model Boundary (Active Model Domain)





Evaluation of Potential for Future Pumping Sustainability Challenges 2018-2050 Compared to Sustainability Metric

> Exhibits-Decl of Bistere5na Page 113 of 166

5.2 PROJECTED HYDROLOGIC BALANCE

Table 5-1 shows the time history of the hydrologic balance for MZ1, MZ2, and MZ3, based on groundwater model simulations of historical data for the period of fiscal 2000/01 through 2021/22 and for planning scenario 2020 SYR for the period fiscal of 2022/2023 through 2029/2030 (West Yost, 2021). The cumulative balance of recharge and discharge in all MZs is expected to decline between 2023 and 2030.

As described in Chapter 3.2, the existence of controlled overdraft permitted by the Judgment and the Peace II Agreement means that it is impossible to maintain a balance of recharge and discharge in each MZ if the controlled overdraft is pumped: the balance has to be negative in some MZs and storage will decline. The physical decline in storage permitted in the Peace II Agreement is required to achieve hydraulic control (WEI, 2007).

The cumulative balance of recharge and discharge in MZ1 is expected to decline from about 7,500 af in 2023 to about -3,500 in 2030. Therefore, Watermaster recommends that recharge be prioritized in MZ1.

5.3 PROJECTED HYDRAULIC CONTROL

Figure 5-4 shows the current (2018) and projected groundwater discharge past the CDA well field as estimated by the 2020 SYR model under the 2020 SYR planning scenario. The figure shows that groundwater discharge past the CDA well field is projected to be less than 1,000 afy through 2045, and hence, hydraulic control is projected to be maintained under the 2020 SYR planning scenario.

Table 5-1. Historical and Projected Change in Storage in MZ1, MZ2, and MZ3									
	MZ1		MZ2		MZ	MZ3		Total	
Fiscal Year	Annual	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	Annual	Cumulative	
2001	-549	-549	-14,006	-14,006	-14,566	-14,566	-29,121	-29,121	
2002	-2,484	-3,033	-12,595	-26,600	-10,723	-25,289	-25,801	-54,922	
2003	-5,016	-8,049	-12,672	-39,273	-12,539	-37,828	-30,227	-85,149	
2004	-363	-8,412	-11,759	-51,031	-11,863	-49,691	-23,985	-109,134	
2005	6,260	-2,152	-1,649	-52,680	-11,795	-61,485	-7,184	-116,318	
2006	19,159	17,007	8,022	-44,658	-1,208	-62,694	25,973	-90,345	
2007	15,633	32,640	-4,584	-49,243	-2,077	-64,771	8,972	-81,373	
2008	-13,845	18,796	-11,518	-60,760	-12,461	-77,231	-37,824	-119,196	
2009	-12,582	6,214	-15,312	-76,072	-12,196	-89,427	-40,090	-159,286	
2010	-8,243	-2,030	-10,770	-86,843	-8,343	-97,770	-27,357	-186,643	
2011	9,607	7,577	2,609	-84,234	2,454	-95,316	14,670	-171,973	
2012	5,127	12,704	4,258	-79,977	2,258	-93,058	11,642	-160,331	
2013	-10,855	1,848	-9,620	-89,597	-7,254	-100,312	-27,730	-188,061	
2014	-13,918	-12,070	-7,031	-96,628	-12,035	-112,347	-32,984	-221,045	
2015	-7,954	-20,024	-4,160	-100,787	-3,425	-115,772	-15,539	-236,584	
2016	3,556	-16,468	-12,543	-113,331	-2,501	-118,274	-11,488	-248,072	
2017	14,488	-1,980	-1,221	-114,551	-2,591	-120,864	10,677	-237,396	
2018	15,725	13,745	5,216	-109,336	-1,774	-122,638	19,167	-218,229	
2019	4,669	18,414	6,995	-102,340	-3,185	-125,823	8,479	-209,750	
2020	4,103	22,517	-3,851	-106,191	2,917	-122,906	3,169	-206,580	
2021	-7,934	14,583	-13,084	-119,276	-4,236	-127,143	-25,255	-231,835	
2022	-7,092	7,491	-8,482	-127,757	-10,061	-137,204	-25,635	-257,470	
2023	-2,888	4,603	-288	-128,045	1,281	-135,923	-1,895	-259,365	
2024	-2,230	2,373	94	-127,951	1,136	-134,787	-1,000	-260,365	
2025	-1,324	1,049	215	-127,736	1,247	-133,540	138	-260,227	
2026	-1,209	-160	-123	-127,860	930	-132,610	-402	-260,630	
2027	-1,134	-1,294	-378	-128,238	255	-132,355	-1,257	-261,887	
2028	-1,060	-2,355	-950	-129,187	-546	-132,901	-2,556	-264,443	
2029	-917	-3,272	-1,021	-130,208	-1,426	-134,327	-3,364	-267,807	
2030	-208	-3,480	-1,188	-131,396	-1,927	-136,254	-3,322	-271,129	
Average (2023-2030)	-1,371		-455		119		-1,707		
Gray cells indicate projections from 2020 SYR1 as documented in the 2020 Safe Yield Recalculation Report (WEI, 2020).									



Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update Exhibits_Decl/of B,- Herrema Page 116 of 166

WEST YOST

5.4 DATA COLLECTION AND EVALUATION FINDINGS RELATED TO THE RMPU

As described in Chapter 4.1 Watermaster recently completed the DCE Report which describes and documents the required data collection and evaluation through Fiscal Year 2021/22 (West Yost, 2022). In these reports, Watermaster compares actual data and updated projections to the data and assumptions that were used in the 2020 SYR Projection. These datasets are compared to "[e]valuate prudent management discretion to avoid or mitigate undesirable results including, but not limited to, subsidence, water quality degradation, and unreasonable pump lifts."³¹ Several findings of the DCE Report are related to the recharge master planning process as follows:

- The actual data (FY 2018/19 through FY 2021/22) and updated projections (FY 2022/23 through FY 2029/30) for groundwater pumping indicate that pumping in the Chino Basin is higher than the 2020 SYR assumptions
- The increase production has the potential for undesirable results related to increased risk of new land subsidence in Northwest MZ1 and pumping sustainability challenges near the JCSD well field
- This finding further emphasizes the need to direct recharge in these areas or employ alternative groundwater management projects/programs to support groundwater levels in these areas

Currently, the JCSD can operate its wells at the desired production rates.³² While the findings of the DCE Report indicate the potential for pumping sustainability challenges in the JCSD well field, the precise nature of the future pumping sustainability challenges is unknown. In the forthcoming reevaluation of the Safe Yield that will be completed in FY 2024/25 (2025 Safe Yield Reevaluation), Watermaster will update the groundwater-flow model to simulate multiple future water supply plans and climate scenarios. The results of this effort will be used to define the extent and causes of pumping sustainability challenges in the Chino Basin and improve the ability to identify precise and effective actions to mitigate pumping sustainability challenges, building on prior studies.³³

³¹ 2017 Court Order, p. 17

³² Conversation with Bryan Smith, June 2023.

³³ A study documented in the 2013 RMPU (WEI, 2013) evaluating the potential mitigation actions for pumping sustainability challenges in the JCSD well field suggested that "reducing production or relocating production away from the JCSD well field is more hydraulically efficient than recharge," but that recharge measurably improved pumping sustainability in the JCSD well field.

This chapter of the report describes the need for new recharge capacity. The need for new recharge capacity is based on a comparison of projected future recharge requirements and physical capacity to achieve the required recharge. As with all planning projections, uncertainty increases with longer horizons. This report focuses on the recharge capacity needs through 2045.

6.1 FUTURE RECHARGE AND REPLENISHMENT PROJECTIONS

Chapter 4 describes the updated projected water demands, water supply plans, and associated replenishment obligations. Independent of replenishment obligations, Watermaster is obligated to recharge at least 6,500 afy of supplemental water in MZ1 through 2030 per the Peace II Agreement. A portion of the 6,500 afy of supplemental water obligation is projected to be satisfied through recycled water recharge. The remainder of the water that must be recharged in MZ1 can also be used to satisfy a replenishment obligation. The sum of the projected replenishment obligation and the additional supplemental water that must be recharged in MZ1 (through 2030) is Watermaster's total projected recharge obligation.

Figure 4-3 shows Watermaster's projected total recharge obligations from 2023 through 2045 based on the DCE Report. Through 2045, the maximum annual replenishment obligation is about 3,800 afy. The Parties project that 90 percent of a replenishment obligation is satisfied from storage and 10 percent is satisfied by wet-water recharge via spreading and injection based on the Data Collection and Evaluation Report for Fiscal Year 2021/2022 (West Yost, 2023). Thus, the projected annual replenishment obligation assumed to be satisfied by wet-water recharge is less than the total obligation. Table 6-1 shows the:

- Projected annual replenishment obligation
- Projected annual replenishment obligation assumed to be satisfied by wet-water recharge
- Projected annual recharge obligation in MZ1
- Projected recharge requirements (*i.e.*, the maximum of the two items above, which assumes that the MZ1 recharge obligation is partly met through the recharge from replenishment obligations)

The maximum projected total recharge requirement is about 4,200 afy, and it's expected to occur in 2030.

6.1.1 Availability of Supplemental Water for Replenishment

Chapter 4.2 described the amount of recycled water available – about 16,420 afy of recycled water is projected to be available currently and through 2045. Chapter 4.5 described the availability of imported water to meet Watermaster's recharge and replenishment obligations. For the purposes of the 2023 RMPU, it has been assumed that Watermaster will be able to purchase water from Metropolitan for replenishment purposes in one out of five years (20 percent of the time).

Table 6-1. Supplemental Wet-Water Recharge Capacity, Projected Replenishment Obligation, and Recharge Capacity Required to Meet Replenishment									
	Obligations Under Cumulative Adverse Conditions								
FY 2020-2045; acre-feet per year									
		Projected annual Recharge capacity required to meet replenishment					t replenishment	Excess	
		replenishment				obligation under cumulative adverse conditions			
Fiscal Year	Projected annual replenishment obligation	obligation assumed to be satisfied by wet- water recharge	Projected annual recharge obligation in MZ1	Projected total recharge requirements	Supplemental wet-water recharge capacity	If imported water is available one out of five years	If reoperation were discontinued (g) = (f) + reoperation	If DYYP recharge occurs on the same year through 2028	water recharge capacity under worst-case scenario
(a)	(b)	(c) = 0.1*(b)	(d)	(e) = max (c) or (d)	(f)	(f)	offset	(h) = (g) + 25,000	(i) = (h) - (e)
2023	0	0	3,010	3,010	-				
2024	0	0	3,010	3,010	-				
2025	0	0	3,010	3,010	-	15,050	27,470	52,470	19,790
2026	1,129	113	3,010	3,010	-				
2027	3,869	387	3,010	3,010	-				
2028	6,608	661	3,010	3,010					
2029	9,348	935	3,010	3,010	-				
2030	12,088	1,209	3,010	3,010	-	15,050	43,354	43,354	28,906
2031	8,454	845	0	845	-				
2032	7,029	703	0	703	-				
2033	5,604	560	0	560					
2034	4,180	418	0	418	/2,260				
2035	2,755	275	0	275	-	2,802	2,802	2,802	69,458
2036	5,009	501	0	501	-				
2037	7,264	726	0	726	-				
2038	9,518	952	0	952	-				
2039	11,772	1,177	0	1,177	-				
2040	14,027	1,403	0	1,403	-	4,759	4,759	4,759	67,501
2041	9,959	996	0	996	-				
2042	9,959	996	0	996	-				
2043	9,959	996	0	996	-				
2044	9,959	996	0	996	-				
2045	9,959	996	0	996		4,979	4,979	4,979	67,281
Evaluatio	D) Assumes 30 percent of a replenishment obligation is satisfied from storage and 10 percent is satisfied by wet-water recharge via spreading and injection based on the Data Collection and Evaluation Report for Fiscal Year 2021/2022 (West Yost, 2023)								

(c) The total obligation to MZ1 is 6,500 afy. 3,490 afy is projected to be recharged in MZ1 with recycled water per IEUA.

(e) Supplemental wet-water recharge capacity is assumed to be the total supplemental water recharge capacity in 2023 conditions per Table 2-6 (88,680 af) minus the capacity expected to be used for recycled water (16,420 af).

Chino Basin Watermaster Annual Finding of Substantial Compliance with the Recharge Master Plan

Exhibits Deci of B0Herrema Page 119 of 166

6.1.2 Future Recharge Capacity Requirements for Supplemental Water

Requirements for future supplemental water recharge capacity are estimated by assessing the future supplemental water recharge projections in the context of the availability of supplemental water for recharge. Recycled water is assumed 100-percent reliable, and therefore the recharge capacity requirement to recharge recycled water is equal to its projected supply. The Metropolitan supply is assumed to be 20 percent reliable therefore, the recharge capacity required to meet recharge and replenishment obligations with imported water supplied by Metropolitan is five times the projected recharge and replenishment requirement. Figure 6-1 shows the supplemental water recharge capacity, and ASR recharge capacity as a stacked bar chart—the total supplemental capacity being the sum of these recharge capacities (72,260 af). Figure 6-1 also shows the time history of the supplemental water recharge capacity required to recharge imported water from Metropolitan under cumulative adverse conditions:

- If imported water is available one out of five years (*i.e.*, 20 percent of the time)
- If reoperation were discontinued (*i.e.*, if there is not reoperation water to offset the desalter replenishment obligation)
- If DYYP recharge occurs on the same year (additional 25,000 af of capacity required)

The projected maximum required recharge capacity is shown in Figure 6-1 and Table 6-1 through 2045. Watermaster and IEUA are projected to have enough recharge capacity available to them to meet all their recharge and replenishment obligations through 2045.

6.2 FUTURE RECHARGE CAPACITY REQUIREMENTS TO FACILITATE STORAGE AND RECOVERY PROGRAMS

There are no current Storage and Recovery Programs in the Chino Basin other than the DYYP, the contract for which expires in 2028. Future Storage and Recovery Programs in the Chino Basin are subject to Watermaster's review and approval to ensure that water stored and recovered in the Chino Basin will not cause MPI to a party or the Basin, pursuant to the OBMP and the Peace Agreement.

In FY 2023/24, the Watermaster initiated the development of a Storage and Recovery Master Planning process pursuant to the 2020 OBMP Update. The objective of the Storage and Recovery Master Plan is to facilitate the development, implementation, and optimization of Storage and Recovery Programs to increase water-supply reliability, protect or enhance Safe Yield, and improve water quality. For future Storage and Recovery Programs, recharge magnitudes and capacities are unknown. The availability of existing recharge capacity and the need for new recharge capacity will be determined by the operational plan of the Storage and Recovery Program, the results of Watermaster's evaluation of the Basin response to the Storage and Recovery Program, and any mitigation actions identified by Watermaster. Further detail on the potential need for additional recharge capacity due to the implementation of Storage and Recovery Programs will be included in the Storage and Recovery Master Plan and will be summarized in a future RMPU.



WEST YOST

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update Exhibitss Deck of Be Herrema Page 121 of 166

6.3 RECHARGE TO MANAGE LAND SUBSIDENCE AND PUMPING SUSTAINABILITY

Projections of new land subsidence and pumping sustainability were evaluated in the 2020 Safe Yield Report for a range of potential groundwater pumping and recharge scenarios (WEI, 2020). Pumping sustainability refers to maintaining groundwater levels high enough to ensure that the planned pumping from wells can be achieved. The 2020 SYR1 model was used to determine the potential for new land subsidence and pumping sustainability challenges under different scenarios. Model results concluded that there are no new projected pumping sustainability challenges which could be practically managed with recharge.

Trends in land subsidence in MZ-1 are being closely monitored. Since 1992, long-term trends of gradual land subsidence have been noted in annual reports produced for the Ground Level Monitoring Committee (GLMC). However, in recent years, observations from InSAR estimates of ground motion have shown that long-term trends of land subsidence have slowed. This is largely due to the decreases in pumping and increases in recharge that have caused heads to stabilize or increase, therefore slowing the drainage and compaction of the aquitards. In 2017, the GLMC modeled the effects of decreased pumping and increased recharge on successfully mitigating subsidence with a one-dimensional aquifer-system compaction model in Northwest MZ-1. Observations over the past few years with decreased pumping and increased recharge have generally confirmed these model results. An update to the Subsidence Management Plan (SMP) is expected in FY 2023/24 and will incorporate the preferred subsidence-management alternative for Northwest MZ-1 into the existing SMP.

6.4 RECHARGE TO ENSURE THE BALANCE OF RECHARGE AND DISCHARGE

For the period of FY 2000/01 through FY 2021/22, the balance of recharge and discharge averaged about 341 afy, -5,800 afy, and -6,200 afy for MZ1, MZ2, and MZ3, respectively. A positive balance means that recharge exceeds discharge. The positive balance in MZ1 is, in part, the result of the 6,500 afy supplemental water recharge provided for in the Peace agreements. The negative balances for MZ2 and MZ3 are the result, in part, of planned and permitted reductions in storage.

The balance of recharge and discharge for FY 2022/23 through FY 2026/27 (2027/28 is the year the next RMPU will be completed) is projected to average -1,760 afy, -100 afy, and 970 afy for MZ1, MZ2, and MZ3, respectively. These balances are based on the 2020 SYR1 model, which does not account for the recharge associated with the DYYP that has occurred since July 2018. The implication of not including the DYYP recharge is that the projected balance estimates are biased low. The changes in balances from the historical period are due to projected pumping by the parties.

West Yost's recommendation to Watermaster regarding the location and magnitude of supplemental water recharge for replenishment has been to maximize recharge to MZ1 up to its spreading capacity, then to maximize recharge in MZ3 up to its recharge capacity, and then to recharge in MZ2. Given that the long-term land subsidence management plan for Northwest MZ1 has not yet been completed and there are no projected recharge-related pumping substantiality challenges which can be practically mitigated through recharge, the existing strategy and the facilities on which it relies are sufficient at least until the next RMPU occurs in 2028. This includes continuing the recharge of at least 6,500 afy of supplemental water in MZ1 until the next RMPU occurs in 2028 or the MZ1 subsidence management plan is completed.

This chapter presents the renewal and replacement (R&R) planning effort that was completed for Chino Basin recharge system assets. The R&R planning effort included a desktop study to estimate the remaining useful life (RUL) recharge system assets based on asset installation date and an assumed asset useful life. RUL results were used to forecast R&R needs over a 10-year period. Prior to this effort, recharge system assets were not included in any Basin-wide R&R planning, which meant that assets were experiencing failure, with no plan or budget to replace them. The forecast presented in this chapter is intended to be incorporated into future planning and budgeting so recharge system assets can be refurbished, rehabilitated, or replaced proactively, prior to failure.

The methodology employed for the desktop study included four main steps, listed below, and detailed in following Chapters of this chapter:

- 1. **Asset Inventory** Development of an asset inventory of Chino Basin recharge system assets and their associated attributes.
- 2. Useful Life and Remaining Useful Life Estimates Assignment of estimated useful life values for all asset types; calculation of remaining useful life for all assets.
- 3. Unit Cost Estimates Development of unit costs for all asset types.
- 4. **Renewal and Replacement Planning** Development of renewal intervals and associated costs for all asset types; projection of renewal or replacement date based on age/installation date; and development of a 10-year R&R forecast.

7.1 ASSET INVENTORY

To develop an asset inventory, several databases and existing reports were obtained and reviewed. Information from various sources was consolidated to develop a planning level inventory of Chino Basin recharge system assets and their associated attributes (e.g., age, size, owner, etc.). Note that asset owners include IEUA, CBWCD, and SBCFCD. Watermaster has obligations for operation and maintenance of Chino Basin recharge system assets but does not own any of the assets.

IEUA provided two databases with asset data in August 2022, including:

- Operation & Maintenance (O&M) asset list export from SAP, IEAU's enterprise resource planning software. According to IEUA, assets included in the SAP O&M listing are those which can potentially require a work order notification for maintenance. The SAP O&M listing included 600 records.
- Finance asset list export from SAP. According to IEUA, assets included in the SAP Finance listing are those which require capitalization. The SAP Finance listing (Fund 10300) included 222 records.

The SAP O&M listing served as the primary source of data for the asset inventory. The inventory was verified and revised as necessary using existing reports and the SAP Finance listing. Reports included the *IEUA FY 2016/17 Asset Management Plan* (IEUA AMP) and the *Chino Basin Recharge Facilities Operations Procedures* (Groundwater Recharge Coordinating Committee, April 2019).

The consolidated asset inventory consists of 512 entries and associated asset attributes. Assets were categorized based on type into a classification (class), and a subclass if necessary. Due to incomplete data, certain assumptions were made to complete the inventory; those assumptions included:

- Asset owner
 - Asset owner for the Basins was assigned based on asset owners identified in the IEUA AMP Asset Profiles (e.g., IEUA, SBCFCD, etc.).
 - Other assets within/at each Basin were assumed to be the same as the Basin owner, unless otherwise specified. IEUA provided clarification on certain assets such as intermediate wells, power assets, and rubber dams which were in some cases different than the Basin owner.³⁴
 - All communication and level sensor assets are owned by IEUA.
- Asset age
 - Age was initially obtained from the basin as-builts and IEUA AMP.
 - Additional age information was obtained from the IEUA AMP Asset Profiles History of Select Assets table, which lists completed capital improvement activities. The more recent of these two dates was selected for asset age.
 - Level transmitter age was obtained from the level transmitter inventory which is updated annually as part of the Annual Progress Report for Water Rights to the State Board.
- Size/Capacity
 - Asset size (e.g., diameter) or capacity (e.g., acre-feet) were populated based on best available information. Many assets were quantified using aerial imagery such as culverts (length), berm (length and width), and (spillway area).
 - Berms were assumed to be triangular, 5-feet tall by 10-feet wide
 - Box culvert concrete was assumed to be 10-inches thick.

Table 7-1 presents a summary of the assets by unique asset class and subclass. The full asset inventory was provided electronically.

Table 7-1. Chino Basin Asset Inventory Summary					
Class - Subclass	Asset Type (Description)	Count of Assets			
BASIN-FLOW	Basin Type Flow-Through	18			
BASIN-OFFCH	Basin Type Off-Channel	26			
LAND	Land/Property	31			
WELL-MONITOR	Monitoring Well	8			
WELL-RECHARGE	Recharge Well	7			
COMM-RADIO	Communication Radio Antenna	28			
CONTROL-HMI	Human Machine Interface (HMI)	14			
CONTROL-RTU	Remote Terminal Unit (RTU)	10			
CONTROL-I/O	Input/Output Hub	28			
CONTROL-PLC	Programmable Logic Controller (PLC)	26			
CONTROL-PANEL	Control Panel	17			
INST-LEVEL	Level Transmitter	52			
INST-PRESSURE	Pressure Transmitter	11			
INST-AIR	Air Pressure Transmitter	4			
INST-FLOW	Flow Transmitter	6			
INST-FLOWMETER	Flow Meter	12			
STRUC-GATEA	Automated Gate Valve	45			
STRUC-GATEM	Manual Gate Valve	23			
STRUC-VALVE	Miscellaneous Valve	33			
STRUC-CLVRT MINOR	Culvert, under 48" diameter	25			
STRUC-CLVRT MAJOR BOX	Box Culvert, Multiple Channels	6			
STRUC-CLVRT MINOR BOX	Box Culvert, Single Channel	9			
STRUC-BERM	Basin Boundary Berm	22			
STRUC-SPILL	Concrete Spillway	13			
STRUC-ROCKSPILL	Rock Spillway	5			
STRUC-BLDG	Concrete/CMU Control Building	6			
STRUC-DAM	Rubber Dam	6			
STRUC-PIPE	Pipeline	4			
HVAC	HVAC Unit	1			
РМР	Pump	3			
PMP-SUMP	Sump Pump	2			
BLOW	Blower	6			
ELEC-GEN	Generator	1			
ELEC-TRANSFRM	Transformer	4			
Total 512					

7.2 USEFUL LIFE AND REMAINING USEFUL LIFE ESTIMATES

Asset useful life (UL) is the time that an asset provides valued service, after which it does not meet its intended service level. End of life is not necessarily indicative of catastrophic failure, and in most cases an asset can still hold functionality (but with a reduced level of service) when it has reached the end of its useful life. Asset remaining useful life (RUL) can be estimated by comparing the actual age of assets (determined from installation date) to a standard useful life expectancy. In the absence of condition or performance data, this approach provides an initial determination of assumed condition and can be used to project estimated renewal needs. Municipal utility system assets vary by type, manufacture, design, construction, and quality. They have different characteristics in how they operate and, consequently, will have different profiles of how they perform and ultimately fail. Standard useful life expectancies are documented by the American Water Works Association, Water Environment Research Foundation, in addition to other industry associations. Useful life expectancies were developed for the recharge system assets using these industry standards. Each asset type within the recharge system was assigned an estimated useful life, as presented in Table 7-2.

RUL was calculated for each asset by subtracting the asset age (how long the asset has been installed) from its estimated useful life (UL). For example, an asset with a 50-year useful life that has been in service 35 years would have a RUL of 15 years.

Eqn. 7-1: RUL = UL – age

7.3 UNIT COST ESTIMATES

Unit costs were developed for each asset type to estimate future R&R costs. Appendix D of the 2013 RMPU developed unit costs and assumptions for many recharge system assets. These unit costs were escalated to 2023 costs³⁵ and used where possible. For assets not included in the 2013 RMPU, unit costs were developed using West Yost cost databases and input from IEAU staff. Some assets such as basins and spillways vary greatly in size and construction and could not be assigned a standard unit cost; these are noted with 'NA' in the unit cost column and were calculated differently for R&R cost projections (discussed in Section 7.4). Unit costs are shown in Table 7-2.

Table 7-2. Useful Life and Unit Cost of Recharge System Assets						
Asset Type (Description) Useful Life, years Units Unit Cost, dollars						
Civil/Site Assets						
Basin Type Flow-Through	120	AF	NA ^(a)			
Basin Type Off-Channel	120	AF	NA ^(a)			
Land/Property	NA	acres	NA			
Monitoring Well	25	EA	20,000			
Recharge Well	40	EA	50,000			
Culvert, under 48-inch diameter	100	linear feet	520			
Box Culvert, Multiple Channels	75	cu.yd.	1,855			
Box Culvert, Single Channel	75	cu.yd.	1,600			
Basin Boundary Berm	50	cu.yd.	45			
Concrete Spillway	100	sq.ft.	NA ^(a)			
Rock Spillway	50	sq.ft.	NA ^(a)			
Concrete/CMU Control Building	60	sq.ft.	465			
Rubber Dam	30	EA	150,000			
Pipeline	75	linear feet	415			
Electrical, Instrumentation and Controls Ass	ets					
Communication Radio	25	EA	35,000			
HMI (Human Machine Interface)	10	EA	10,000			
RTU (Remote Terminal Unit)	15	EA	25,000			
Input/Output Hub	15	EA	25,000			
PLC (Programmable Logic Controller)	15	EA	25,000			
Control Panel	20	EA	50,000			
Level Transmitter	15	EA	5,000			
Pressure Transmitter	15	EA	5,000			
Air Pressure Transmitter	15	EA	5,000			
Flow Transmitter	15	EA	5,000			
Transformer	25	EA	25,000			
Flow Meter	20	inch-diameter	1,500			
Generator	20	EA	50,000			
Mechanical Assets						
Automated Gate Valve	20	inch-diameter	1,380			
Manual Gate Valve	30	inch-diameter	920			
Miscellaneous Valve	20	inch-diameter	485			
HVAC Unit	20	EA	25,000			
Pump	20	horsepower	700			
Sump Pump	15	EA	5,000			
Blower	20	EA	7,500			
 (a) Asset type varied greatly in size or construction so a standard unit cost could not be estimated. See Chapter 7.4 for details on R&R projection for these asset types. 						

7.4 RENEWAL AND REPLACEMENT PLANNING

R&R planning is a forecast of planned effort and expenditures for improvement of an asset and ultimately the replacement of the asset. Maintenance activities are not included in R&R forecast. This forecast may be used for planning and budgeting and could be improved based on the findings of condition assessment studies and/or validation with field and maintenance records. The approach to developing the R&R forecast is described below.

7.4.1 Renewal and Replacement Intervals

In order to develop R&R projections, the frequency of required renewal or replacement for each asset type must be established. These renewal and replacement intervals can be applied over the selected study period (10-years in this case) to project associated renewal or replacement costs for each asset.

7.4.1.1 Replacement

As discussed above, each asset class has an estimated useful life. At the end of the UL, the asset is expected to require replacement in full. For example, Table 7-2 shows that the *Pump* asset class has a useful life of 20 years, which means its replacement interval is also 20 years. Replacement needs were forecast based on Eqn. 6-1 for all assets.

7.4.1.2 Renewal

In between replacement intervals, assets require renewal or rehabilitation investments to maximize the life of the asset and ensure continued performance at the required service level. This renewal effort is outside of regular maintenance such as inspection, oil changes, cleaning, etc. Table 7-3 presents the renewal intervals for all asset classes, along with the cost criteria for renewal in terms of percent of total asset replacement cost. Some asset types are grouped if they have the same renewal details (e.g., all valves). Assets that could not be assigned a standard unit cost (see Table 7-2) were assigned a direct renewal cost based on size – see notes a) and b) in Table 7-3.

Table 7-3. Renewal Interval Details							
Asset Type (Description)	Renewal Interval, years	Renewal Description	Renewal Cost as Percent of Replacement Cost <u>or</u> Dollars				
Civil/Site Assets							
Basin Type Flow-Through	20	Drain, inspect, and address minor issues	\$55/AF ^(a)				
Basin Type Off-Channel	30	Drain, inspect, and address minor issues	\$55/AF ^(a)				
Land/Property	NA	Does not require renewal	NA				
Monitoring Well, Recharge Well	10	Inspect, repair	2				
Culvert, under 48" diameter	25	Inspect and address minor issues	2				
Box Culverts (all types)	20	Inspect and address minor issues	2				
Basin Boundary Berm	15	Drain, inspect, and address minor issues	2				
Concrete Spillway	30	Drain, inspect, and address minor issues	\$40/sq.ft. ^(b)				
Rock Spillway	20	Drain, inspect, and address minor issues	\$10/sq.ft. ^(b)				
Concrete/CMU Control Building	15	Drain, inspect, and address minor issues	2				
Rubber Dam	15	Drain, inspect, and address minor issues	2				
Pipeline	15	Drain, inspect, and address minor issues	2				
Electrical, Instrumentation and Controls Assets							
Communication Radio		Asset operational strategy is run-to-failure					
HMIs, RTUs, I/O Hubs, PLCs, Control Panels, All Transmitters		and/or asset will be obsolete by end of life; no renewal is recommended. Replace at end	NA				
Transformer		of useful life.					
Flow Meter	10	Mechanical Rebuild/Overhaul	2				
Generator	10	Mechanical Rebuild/Overhaul	2				
Mechanical Assets							
Valves (all types)	10	Mechanical Rebuild/Overhaul	2				
HVAC Unit	10	Mechanical Rebuild/Overhaul	2				
Pump	10	Mechanical Rebuild/Overhaul	2				
Sump Pump	NA	Asset operational strategy is run-to-fail; no renewal is recommended. Replace at end of useful life.	NA				
Blower	10	Mechanical Rebuild/Overhaul	2				
(a) Costs were developing using 2013 RMPU unit costs for Basin operation and maintenance per AF. Renewal is calculated in dollars per AF of							

Basin storage.

(b) Costs were developed using West Yost cost databases. Renewal is calculated in dollars per square feet of spillway area.

7.4.2 10-Year R&R Forecast

A 10-year forecast of R&R needs was developed based on the renewal and replacement (UL) intervals. Assumptions made in development of the forecast include:

- Replacement occurs at the end of each asset's UL, based on installation date. Once replacement occurs, the replacement and renewal intervals restart.
- Total replacement costs include soft costs, applied as a percentage of the calculated asset replacement cost. Soft costs are shown in Table 7-4 and were developed in the 2013 RMPU.
- Renewal is assumed to have occurred at the specified renewal interval since the installation date (i.e., renewal is not specified based on actual renewal completed by the parties, or lack thereof).

Table 7-4. Replacement Soft Costs (applied to Replacements only)							
	Project Replacement Cost ^(a)						
Soft Cost Category	<\$1M	\$1-2M	>\$2M				
Mobilization	5	5	5				
Contingency	20	15	10				
Engineering/Administrative	20	15	10				
Construction Management	20	15	10				
Total	65%	50%	35%				
(a) Shown in percent							

• Costs are escalated at 3 percent per year.

Figure 7-1, Figure 7-2, and Table 7-5 present the R&R forecast for a 10-year period. In Figure 7-1, replacement costs are shown as the blue bar and renewal costs are shown as the orange bar. Assets that were already beyond their UL in 2023 are shown in the grey column in the first year (year zero). The five-year rolling average is shown as the gold line. In Figure 7-2, total costs (replacement, renewal, and overdue costs) are grouped and shown by the asset discipline categories in Table 7-3 (civil/site; electrical, instrumentation and controls; and mechanical).



Figure 7-1. Summary of R&R 10-Year Forecast

Excluding the overdue replacements, the average R&R cost over the next 10-years is estimated at \$3.3M per year. The total overdue replacements are shown in the first year of projections and total \$3.3M. The majority of the overdue replacements include electrical, instrumentation, and controls assets which have a relatively short UL or are already beyond their UL. Appendix B details the renewal and replacement costs by year and asset, for the 10-year period.



Figure 7-2. Summary of R&R 10-Year Forecast by Asset Discipline

Table 7-5. Summary of R&R 10-Year Forecast							
YearRenewal Cost, dollarsReplacement Cost, dollarsTotal R&R, dollars							
0	7,230,476	5,784,166 plus 3,275,203 ^(a)	16,290,000				
1	1,554,810	50,413	1,610,000				
2	193,046	630,937	830,000				
3	4,997	352,307	360,000				
4	430,369	315,508	750,000				
5	1,092,107	3,243,038	4,340,000				
6	-	22,315	30,000				
7	4,892,114	315,666	5,210,000				
8	5,007	284,086	290,000				
9	9,657	60,960	80,000				
10	5,006,989	4,485,535	9,500,000				
Total (Rounded)	\$20,420,000	\$18,830,000	\$39,250,000				
(a) Overdue replacements are assets already beyond their UL (grey bar in Figure 7-1)							

7.4.3 R&R Implementation Plan

The R&R projections developed in this chapter are intended to provide guidelines for long term budgeting and planning. There are various options to address the overdue replacements and program funding.

After the R&R projections are finalized, the focus should be to develop a comprehensive implementation plan that considers the estimated overdue costs, total renewal and replacement costs, and high peak costs over 10 years. The implementation plan should prioritize the replacements, budget for the costs, secure the necessary funding, execute the program, and monitor the progress to ensure that the assets are renewed to the required standard and at the appropriate time.

Assets or asset groups that meet any of the following criteria can be prioritized for renewal/replacement:

- Have a high risk of failure and would have significant consequences if they fail.
- Are heavily utilized and their failure would have a significant impact on users.
- Have operational or maintenance costs that are higher than expected.
- Have the potential to reduce life cycle costs by being replaced with a modern equivalent asset that can provide the same level of service³⁶.

A budgeting strategy can consider the estimated total replacement costs and the high peak costs. By developing a budget for each year of the program, Chino Basin Watermaster can ensure that the funding

³⁶ Based on IPWEA, 2015, IIMM, Sec 3.4.5, p 3 | 97

is available to execute the program according to the plan. The budget should also consider alternative financing options that may be available to supplement the available funding and spread the financial burden over a longer period.

By following these strategies, Chino Basin Watermaster can optimize the useful life of its assets, reduce the risk of failure, and provide the required level of service to its customers. Therefore, a robust implementation plan is critical to the success of the renewal and replacement program and should be developed with great care and attention to detail.

The implementation plan should include the following phases or steps:

- Confirm Asset Registry The asset registry developed for this RMPU was based on data provided by Watermaster Chino Basin parties and was developed at a high level. An asset registry that includes assets down to the major equipment level or managed asset level will provide greater granularity for evaluating infrastructure needs and forecast investment requirements. A criticality and risk assessment should be performed for assets in the confirmed asset registry. Criticality is a measure of the consequence of assets failure to perform at its prescribed level of service.
- 2. Perform Condition Assessment Commission an assessment of asset condition based on the confirmed asset registry. The condition assessment should include, at a minimum, a review of maintenance records and other available data related to asset failure and performance, a visual inspection of each asset, in-depth testing where required based on the visual inspection and review of data. The condition assessment will provide a more accurate assessment of RUL and R&R requirements based on actual asset condition. With this, the R&R forecast should be updated.
- 3. **Investment Strategy** Based on the condition assessment and an updated R&R forecast an investment strategy should be developed to identify annual funding requirements to meet renewal and replacement needs.

This chapter summarizes the conclusions from the 2023 RMPU and includes recommendations for future actions and an implementation plan for the 2023 RMPU.

8.1 CONCLUSIONS

The following are the primary conclusions from the 2023 RMPU:

- 1. The MS4 information collection program included in Chapter 5 of the 2013 RMPU has been partially implemented. Based on the information collected through June 2022, stormwater recharge in the basin may have increased by about 840 afy.
- 2. The historical state of the balance of recharge and discharge for MZ1 is consistent with the Peace Agreements.
- No changes are recommended for the 6,500 afy supplemental water recharge obligation in MZ1³⁷ (Peace II Agreement).
- 4. No changes are recommended in the current Watermaster prioritization of supplemental water recharge locations and amounts to meet balance of recharge and discharge requirement (Peace Agreement).
- 5. Based on the planning data provided by the parties, Metropolitan, and the IEUA, Watermaster has access to enough wet-water recharge capacity to meet its supplemental recharge obligations through 2045.

8.2 LIMITATIONS

The DCE Report noted that the "year-to-year changes in groundwater pumping projections and Parties' uncertainty in the use of Managed Storage and urban outdoor water use indicates that there is uncertainty in future cultural conditions." The uncertainty in future cultural conditions supported the DCE Report's recommendation to "[d]evelop multiple projection scenarios for the 2025 Safe Yield Reevaluation that represent the maximum range in future cultural conditions." The multiple projection scenarios that will be simulated for the 2025 Safe Yield Reevaluation will characterize a variety of cultural conditions, including pumping, recharge, and the use of Managed Storage to meet replenishment obligations. These scenarios will allow for a more comprehensive understanding of the Basin response to various water supply plans and climate scenarios and will improve Watermaster's ability to carry out its obligations regarding recharge in the Basin (see Chapter 1.2). Relevant findings from the 2025 Safe Yield Reevaluation will be summarized in the next RMPU.

8.3 OTHER RECHARGE-RELATED ACTIVITIES

The 2018 Recharge Master Plan Update (RMPU) provided a list of recharge projects that were considered but not recommended for implementation by the Chino Basin Parties (Parties). The recharge projects included: projects considered in the 2013 RMPU that were determined to be technically and institutionally feasible but had stormwater recharge unit costs that exceeded 2013 RMPU's economic feasibility threshold of \$612 per acre-foot; and, other projects that the Parties brought to the 2018 RMPU Steering Committee that have not yet been implemented. Additionally, recharge projects were proposed through the 2020 Optimum Basin Management Plan Update (OBMPU) process. The 2018 RMPU projects that were

³⁷ This value may be updated following further evaluation of the appropriate minimum, which will be part of the ongoing development of a MZ1 subsidence management plan.

considered but not recommended for implementation and the OBMPU recharge projects are referred to herein as Recharge Projects. At its October 27, 2022, meeting, the Watermaster Board discussed recent grant opportunities that are available for planning and construction of recharge and storage projects in the Chino Basin. The Watermaster Board emphasized the importance of having readily available information and documentation that could be used to support grant applications, since grant funding can change the economic feasibility of constructing the Recharge Projects. Based on the Board discussion, Watermaster began developing a Work Plan that will include the following information:

- A description of the information and documentation required for Recharge Projects to be eligible for grant funding opportunities.
- A description of the Recharge Projects in the 2018 RMPU and OBMPU and current level of analysis.
- A current list of Recharge Projects, reflecting the Parties' recommended removals and additions of projects since the 2013 RMPU.

Table 8-1 lists the Recharge Projects from the 2018 RMPU and the 2020 OBMPU Project Description. The locations of these projects are shown in Figure 8-1. Figure 8-1 also shows the location of: areas of pumping sustainability and subsidence concern, recycled and imported water pipelines, and groundwater plumes in relation to the Recharge Projects. The projects listed in Table 8-1 include projects that were considered in the 2013 RMPU and determined to be technically and institutionally feasible but whose unit stormwater recharge costs exceeded the economic feasibility threshold established in the 2013 RMPU of \$612 per af. For those projects, where a cost had been developed, the unit stormwater recharge costs were projects were recommended as part of the 2018 RMPU scoping process and the 2020 OBMPU environmental review process, which is ongoing. These projects are included in Table 8-1, and they should be evaluated more thoroughly in the future when their project descriptions and operating characteristics are more clearly defined.

The unit cost of new stormwater recharge for the projects listed in Table 8-1 ranges from \$2,150 to \$6,500 per af. In all cases, the projected unit cost of new stormwater recharge projects listed in Table 8-1 exceeds the projected cost of water that could be supplied by Metropolitan in 2023 at about \$900 per af (see Table 4-4). However, the cost-benefit of these projects can change when the costs of the WaterFix project are included in the cost of imported water supplied by Metropolitan and/or if grant funding could be obtained that would lower the unit cost of stormwater recharge. Watermaster is continuing to review and analyze these projects.

8.4 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

The 2023 RMPU implementation plan includes the following:

- 1. Continue the implementation of the final recommended 2013 RMPU yield enhancement projects.
- 2. Continue the implementation of the Board-requested recharge project analysis as described in Chapter 8.3.
- 3. Develop the scope and budget for the 2028 RMPU in FY 2026/27.
- 4. Complete the 2028 RMPU in FY 2027/28 and file the 2028 RMPU report with the Court in October 2028.

- 5. Annually review the time and effort involved in the collection of information on MS4 project implementation and reassess the value this effort provides.
- 6. Develop a plan to collaborate with MS4 permittees to ensure MS4-compliance projects prioritize recharge.
- 7. Refine and implement the R&R implementation plan defined in Chapter 7.4.2

Table 8-1. Recharge Projects and Status						
Project Name	Land Owner	Capital Cost ^(a) (\$)	New Stormwater Recharge ^(a) (afy)	Unit Stormwater Recharge Cost ^(a) (\$/af)		
San Antonio/Chino Creek						
North West Upland Basin	City of Upland	\$6,574,000	93	\$4,620		
Montclair Basins	CBWCD	\$5,600,000	68	\$5,400		
California Institution for Men (CIM) ^(b)	State of California	NE	NE	NE		
Cucamonga Creek						
Ely Basin	CBWCD, SBCFCD	\$3,017,000	101	\$1,990		
Lower Cucamonga Ponds ^(b)	SBCFCD	NE	NE	NE		
Day Creek						
Riverside Basin ^(b)	RCFC	NE	NE	NE		
San Sevaine Creek						
Sultana Avenue	City of Fontana	\$601,000	7	\$5,620		
Jurupa Basin ^(b)	SBCFCD	NE	NE	NE		
Agricultural Managed Aquifer Recharge (AgMAR)	n/a	NE	NE	NE		
Prado Basin						
Mill Creek Wetlands ^(b)	USACE	NE	NE	NE		
Basin-Wide						
ASR Wells	n/a	NE	NE	NE		
MS4 Compliance Projects	n/a	NE	NE	NE		
Regional Recharge Distribution System	n/a	\$184,000,000	5,000	\$2,810		

Source: 2018 RMPU; 2020 OBMPU Project Description

(a) Projects considered to have the information and documentation necessary to apply for grant funding were evaluated in 2013. The project costs were re-evaluated in 2018 as part of the 2018 RMPU. However, it should be noted that the project cost and benefit should be re-evaluated based on most current conditions.

(b) These projects are considered elements of the Regional Recharge Distribution System project listed under "Basin-Wide." (c) The Regional Recharge Distribution system was evaluated at a conceptual level in 2017. However, the evaluation was not documented in any RMPUs and is considered insufficient for grant funding applications.

afy – acre-feet per year; af - acre-feet; NE - Not Estimated; n/a - not applicable; USACE - US Army Corps of Engineers

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 RMPU Exhibits: Deck of B. Herrema Page 137 of 166









Prepared for:

Chino Basin Watermaster 2023 Recharge Master Plan Update





Recharge Project

[_____

Other Existing Recharge Basin

Areas of Pumping Sustainability Concern

Areas of Subsidence Concern

Recycled Water Pipeline

Imported Water Pipeline

Groundwater Plumes

Subwatershed

San Antonio/Chino Creek

Cucamonga Creek

Day Creek

San Sevaine Creek

Prado Basin





Recharge Projects Location Map

Exhibits-Decl of **E**!steresha Page 138 of 166 Black and Veatch. (2001). Phase 2 (Chino Basin) Master Plan.

Carroll, W. J. (1977). Superior Court of California for the County of San Bernardino, "Chino Basin Municipal Water District vs. City of Chino, et al.," Case No. 164327, Reporter's Transcripts of Proceedings, December, 1977.

Chino Basin Watermaster. (2021). 44th Annual Report, Fiscal Year 2020-21.

Department of Water Resource (2021). Draft State Water Project Delivery Capability Report 2021.

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California. Biennial Budget – FY 2022/23 and 2023/24. See pdf page 226 for Ten-Year Forecast. <u>https://www.mwdh2o.com/budget-finance/</u>

Peace Agreement, Chino Basin. SB 240104 v 1:08350.0001. 29 June 2000.

- Schneider, A. (2007). Final Report and Recommendations on Motion for Approval of Peace II Documents.
- WEI. (1998). Recharge Master Plan Phase I Final Report. Prepared for the Chino Basin Water Conservation District and the Chino Basin Watermaster. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- WEI. (1999). Optimum Basin Management Program Draft Phase I Report. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- WEI. (2001). Optimum Basin Management Program Recharge Master Plan Phase II Report. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- WEI. (2007). Optimum Basin Management Program, 2006 State of the Basin Report. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster
- WEI. (2008). Response to Condition Subsequent No. 3 from the Order Confirming Motion for the Approval of the Peace II Agreement. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- WEI. (2010). 2010 Chino Basin Recharge Master Plan Update. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- WEI. (2013). 2013 Amendment to the 2010 Recharge Master Plan Update. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- WEI. (2015). 2013 Chino Basin Groundwater Model Update and Recalculation of Safe Yield Pursuant to the Peace Agreement. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- WEI. (2017). Task 3 and Task 4 of the Work Plan to Develop a Subsidence Management Plan for the Northwest MZ-1 Area: Development and Evaluation of Baseline and Initial Subsidence- Management Alternatives.
- WEI. (2018a). Storage Framework Investigation Final Report. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- WEI. (2018b). 2018 Recharge Master Plan. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- WEI. (2020). Safe Yield Recalculation. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- West Yost. (2020). State of the Basin Report. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- West Yost. (2021). 2021 Chino Valley Model Update and Required Demonstrations: Evaluation of the Balance of Recharge and Discharge Evaluation of the Cumulative Effects of Transfers. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.
- West Yost. (2023). Data Collection and Evaluation Report for Fiscal Year 2021/2022. Prepared for the Chino Basin Watermaster.

Appendix A

In-Lieu Recharge Calculations for Appropriative Pool Parties

A1: Under Current Conditions

A2: Under Design Conditions

A1. Under Current Conditions
_					Impor	ted Water a	nd Treatm	nent Constra	ints					Groundwat	er Right Co	onstraints		Maxim	um In-Lieu (Capacity Ba	sed on Ove	rriding
art)		Facilty	Impo	rted Water	Supply to N	Aeet Demai	nd		Excess Imp	orted Wate	er Capacity		Pi	ojected Pun	nping from	Chino Basi	n			Constraint		
	Month	Capacity	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
	July	281	334	334	413	413	413	0	0	0	0	0	989	1,136	1,156	1,378	1,378	0	0	0	0	0
	August	281	346	346	428	428	428	0	0	0	0	0	989	1,136	1,156	1,378	1,378	0	0	0	0	0
	September	272	319	319	394	394	394	0	0	0	0	0	989	1,136	1,156	1,378	1,378	0	0	0	0	0
_	October	140	247	247	305	305	305	0	0	0	0	0	989	1,136	1,156	1,378	1,378	0	0	0	0	0
ino	November	136	210	210	260	260	260	0	0	0	0	0	662	780	882	1,060	1,060	0	0	0	0	0
fC	December	140	151	151	187	187	187	0	0	0	0	0	499	602	691	847	847	0	0	0	0	0
o N	January	140	152	152	188	188	188	0	0	0	0	0	336	424	500	633	633	0	0	0	0	0
ü	February	127	137	137	170	170	170	0	0	0	0	0	336	424	500	633	633	0	0	0	0	0
	March	140	151	151	187	187	187	0	0	0	0	0	336	424	500	633	633	0	0	0	0	0
	April	272	179	179	221	221	221	92	92	50	50	50	662	780	882	1,060	1,060	92	92	50	50	50
	May	281	243	243	300	300	300	38	38	0	0	0	826	958	965	1,165	1,165	38	38	0	0	0
	June	272	270	270	334	334	334	1	1	0	0	0	989	1,136	1,156	1,378	1,378	1	1	0	0	0
	Total	2,481	2,742	2,742	3,387	3,387	3,387	131	131	50	50	50	8,603	10,070	10,702	12,923	12,923	131	131	50	50	50
	July	747	296	302	311	312	313	450	445	436	435	433	249	253	261	262	263	249	253	261	262	263
	August	747	296	302	311	312	313	451	445	436	435	434	256	261	269	270	271	256	261	269	270	271
	September	723	207	211	217	218	219	516	512	506	505	504	222	226	232	233	234	222	226	232	233	234
ills	October	373	207	211	217	218	219	166	162	156	155	155	216	220	226	227	228	166	162	156	155	155
ЧO	November	361	170	173	178	179	180	191	188	183	182	182	173	177	182	183	183	173	177	182	182	182
hin	December	373	161	164	169	170	171	212	209	204	204	203	115	117	121	121	122	115	117	121	121	122
of	January	373	162	165	170	171	172	211	208	203	202	202	55	56	57	58	58	55	56	57	58	58
ity	February	337	174	177	182	183	184	164	160	155	154	154	82	83	86	86	87	82	83	86	86	87
0	March	373	252	257	264	265	266	121	117	109	108	107	86	88	91	91	91	86	88	91	91	91
	April	723	207	211	218	219	219	515	511	505	504	503	202	206	212	213	213	202	206	212	213	213
	May	747	206	210	216	217	218	541	537	531	530	529	224	228	235	236	237	224	228	235	236	237
	June	723	274	279	288	289	290	449	443	435	434	433	214	218	224	225	226	214	218	224	225	226
	Total	6,601	2,613	2,662	2,742	2,753	2,763	3,988	3,939	3,859	3,848	3,838	2,093	2,132	2,196	2,204	2,213	2,043	2,075	2,126	2,132	2,137
	July	1,142	697	711	732	735	737	444	431	410	407	404	765	799	862	888	916	444	431	410	407	404
ы	August	1,142	741	755	777	780	783	401	387	364	361	359	641	670	722	745	768	401	387	364	361	359
stric	September	1,105	649	661	681	683	686	456	444	424	421	419	456	476	513	529	546	456	444	424	421	419
ä	October	571	566	577	594	596	598	5	0	0	0	0	583	609	657	677	698	5	0	0	0	0
ater	November	552	421	429	442	444	446	131	123	110	108	107	721	753	812	837	863	131	123	110	108	107
Ň	December	5/1	313	318	328	329	330	258	252	243	242	240	601	627	6//	698	/19	258	252	243	242	240
sta	January	571	314	320	329	331	332	257	251	241	240	239	593	620	668	689	711	257	251	241	240	239
e <i< td=""><td>February</td><td>516</td><td>318</td><td>324</td><td>334</td><td>335</td><td>336</td><td>198</td><td>192</td><td>182</td><td>181</td><td>179</td><td>486</td><td>508</td><td>548</td><td>565</td><td>582</td><td>198</td><td>192</td><td>182</td><td>181</td><td>179</td></i<>	February	516	318	324	334	335	336	198	192	182	181	179	486	508	548	565	582	198	192	182	181	179
onte	March	5/1	404	412	424	426	427	16/	159	14/	145	144	542	566	611	630	649	16/	159	147	145	144
Ĭ	April	1,105	411	419	432	433	435	694	686	673	672	670	560	585	631	650	670	560	585	631	650	670
	May	1,142	471	480	495	497	498	670	661	647	645	643	791	826	891	919	947	670	661	647	645	643
	June	1,105	611	622	641	643	646	494	483	464	462	459	722	754	813	838	864	494	483	464	462	459
	Iotal	10,091	5,916	6,028	6,207	6,232	6,255	4,175	4,069	3,906	3,884	3,863	7,461	7,793	8,404	8,666	<i>8,9</i> 35	4,041	3,968	3,863	3,863	3,863

Appendix A1 -- In-Lieu Recharge Calculations for Appropriative Pool Parties Under Current Conditions (afy)

>		Imported Water and Treatment Constraints											Groundwa	ter Right Co	onstraints		Maximu	um In-Lieu (Capacity Bas	ed on Overi	riding	
art		Facilty	Impo	orted Water	r Supply to I	Meet Dema	nd		Excess Imp	orted Wate	r Capacity		P	rojected Pu	mping from	Chino Basir	า		(Constraint		
₫.	Month	Capacity	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
	July	1,494	1,076	1,272	1,468	1,663	1,663	417	222	26	0	0	2,285	2,586	2,815	3,552	3,552	417	222	26	0	0
	August	1,494	1,445	1,708	1,971	2,234	2,234	48	0	0	0	0	2,166	2,451	2,668	3,367	3,367	48	0	0	0	0
	September	1,445	1,668	1,971	2,274	2,578	2,578	0	0	0	0	0	1,716	1,942	2,113	2,667	2,667	0	0	0	0	0
0	October	747	1,522	1,799	2,076	2,353	2,353	0	0	0	0	0	1,442	1,632	1,777	2,242	2,242	0	0	0	0	0
tari	November	723	939	1,109	1,280	1,451	1,451	0	0	0	0	0	1,375	1,556	1,693	2,137	2,137	0	0	0	0	0
On1	December	747	443	523	604	684	684	304	224	143	63	63	1,278	1,447	1,575	1,987	1,987	304	224	143	63	63
ď	January	747	493	583	672	762	762	254	164	74	0	0	1,163	1,316	1,432	1,808	1,808	254	164	74	0	0
City	February	675	489	578	667	756	756	185	96	7	0	0	1,356	1,534	1,670	2,107	2,107	185	96	7	0	0
	March	747	544	643	742	841	841	203	104	5	0	0	1,300	1,471	1,601	2,020	2,020	203	104	5	0	0
	April	1,445	585	691	798	904	904	861	754	648	542	542	1,827	2,068	2,251	2,841	2,841	861	754	648	542	542
	May	1,494	908	1,074	1,239	1,404	1,404	585	420	255	90	90	2,033	2,300	2,504	3,160	3,160	585	420	255	90	90
	June	1,445	887	1,048	1,209	1,370	1,370	559	398	236	75	75	2,309	2,613	2,844	3,589	3,589	559	398	236	75	75
	Total	13,202	11,000	13,000	15,000	17,000	17,000	3,416	2,381	1,395	769	769	20,249	22,915	24,943	31,476	31,476	3,416	2,381	1,395	769	769
	July	1,094	452	543	662	719	764	642	551	432	375	331	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	432	375	331
	August	1,094	516	620	756	821	872	578	474	338	273	223	559	559	559	559	559	559	474	338	273	223
	September	1,059	489	587	716	778	826	570	471	343	281	233	480	480	480	480	480	480	471	343	281	233
5	October	547	476	573	698	758	805	71	0	0	0	0	444	444	444	444	444	71	0	0	0	0
lan(November	529	292	351	428	465	494	237	178	101	64	36	285	285	285	285	285	237	178	101	64	36
٩U	December	547	243	292	356	387	411	304	255	191	160	136	460	460	460	460	460	304	255	191	160	136
of	January	547	256	308	375	407	433	291	239	172	140	115	488	488	488	488	488	291	239	172	140	115
City	February	494	197	237	289	314	334	297	257	205	180	160	385	385	385	385	385	297	257	205	180	160
	March	547	194	233	284	308	327	353	314	263	239	220	422	422	422	422	422	353	314	263	239	220
	April	1,059	201	242	295	320	340	858	817	764	739	719	519	519	519	519	519	519	519	519	519	519
	May	1,094	221	266	324	352	374	873	828	770	742	720	647	647	647	647	647	647	647	647	647	647
	June	1,059	272	327	399	433	460	787	732	660	626	599	535	535	535	535	535	535	535	535	535	535
	Total	9,670	3,808	4,579	5,581	6,063	6,437	5,862	5,117	4,240	3,818	3,491	5,743	5,743	5,743	5,743	5,743	4,813	4,409	3,746	3,412	3,153
1	July	5,718	3,352	3,352	3,352	3,352	3,352	2,366	2,366	2,366	2,366	2,366	1,176	1,695	1,874	2,023	2,023	1,176	1,695	1,874	2,023	2,023
trict	August	5,718	3,358	3,358	3,358	3,358	3,358	2,361	2,361	2,361	2,361	2,361	1,178	1,698	1,877	2,026	2,026	1,178	1,698	1,877	2,026	2,026
Dis	September	5,534	3,028	3,029	3,028	3,029	3,029	2,506	2,505	2,506	2,505	2,505	1,062	1,531	1,693	1,827	1,827	1,062	1,531	1,693	1,827	1,827
ter	October	5,718	2,682	2,682	2,682	2,682	2,682	3,037	3,037	3,037	3,037	3,037	941	1,356	1,499	1,618	1,618	941	1,356	1,499	1,618	1,618
Va	November	5,534	2,096	2,096	2,096	2,096	2,096	3,438	3,438	3,438	3,438	3,438	735	1,060	1,172	1,265	1,265	735	1,060	1,172	1,265	1,265
e	December	5,718	1,729	1,729	1,729	1,729	1,729	3,989	3,990	3,989	3,990	3,990	607	874	967	1,043	1,043	607	874	967	1,043	1,043
/all	January	5,718	1,713	1,713	1,714	1,714	1,714	4,005	4,005	4,005	4,005	4,005	601	866	958	1,034	1,034	601	866	958	1,034	1,034
ga /	February	5,165	1,589	1,589	1,589	1,589	1,589	3,576	3,576	3,576	3,576	3,576	557	803	888	959	959	557	803	888	959	959
l of	March	5,718	1,773	1,773	1,773	1,773	1,773	3,945	3,945	3,946	3,945	3,945	622	896	991	1,070	1,070	622	896	991	1,070	1,070
can	April	5,534	2,243	2,243	2,243	2,243	2,243	3,291	3,291	3,291	3,291	3,291	787	1,134	1,254	1,353	1,353	787	1,134	1,254	1,353	1,353
Cuc	May	5,718	2,654	2,654	2,654	2,654	2,654	3,064	3,064	3,065	3,065	3,065	931	1,342	1,483	1,601	1,601	931	1,342	1,483	1,601	1,601
	June	5,534	3,001	3,001	3,001	3,001	3,001	2,533	2,533	2,533	2,533	2,533	1,053	1,517	1,677	1,811	1,811	1,053	1,517	1,677	1,811	1,811
	Total	67,330	29,219	29,219	29,219	29,219	29,219	38,111	38,111	38,111	38,111	38,111	10,250	14,773	16,331	17,630	17,630	10,250	14,773	16,331	17,630	17,630

<u> </u>					Impo	rted Water	and Treatn	nent Constra	aints					Groundwa	ter Right Co	nstraints		Maxim	um In-Lieu (Capacity Ba	sed on Ove	rriding
art		Facilty	Imp	orted Wate	r Supply to	Meet Dema	and		Excess Imp	orted Wate	r Capacity		Pr	ojected Pur	nping from	Chino Basir	۱			Constraint		
д.	Month	Capacity	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
	July	578	893	893	893	893	893	0	0	0	0	0	953	1,026	1,101	1,174	1,250	0	0	0	0	0
	August	578	946	946	946	946	946	0	0	0	0	0	967	1,041	1,118	1,192	1,269	0	0	0	0	0
	September	559	850	850	850	850	850	0	0	0	0	0	951	1,024	1,099	1,172	1,247	0	0	0	0	0
ъ	October	578	638	638	638	638	638	0	0	0	0	0	952	1,025	1,100	1,173	1,249	0	0	0	0	0
nor	November	559	393	393	393	393	393	166	166	166	166	166	907	976	1,047	1,117	1,189	166	166	166	166	166
Do L	December	578	203	203	203	203	203	375	375	375	375	375	868	934	1,002	1,069	1,138	375	375	375	375	375
of F	January	578	172	172	172	172	172	405	405	405	405	405	880	947	1,016	1,084	1,153	405	405	405	405	405
it√	February	522	136	136	136	136	136	385	385	385	385	385	839	903	970	1,034	1,101	385	385	385	385	385
	March	578	161	161	161	161	161	416	416	416	416	416	867	933	1,001	1,068	1,136	416	416	416	416	416
	April	559	385	385	385	385	385	174	174	174	174	174	865	931	1,000	1,066	1,135	174	174	174	174	174
	May	578	518	518	518	518	518	60	60	60	60	60	919	989	1,061	1,132	1,205	60	60	60	60	60
	June	559	704	704	704	704	704	0	0	0	0	0	889	957	1,027	1,096	1,166	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	6,800	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982	10,858	11,685	12,543	13,376	14,238	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982

A2. Under Design Conditions

>	Imported Water and Treat						nent Constra	ints					Groundwat	ter Right Co	onstraints		Maxim	um In-Lieu (Capacity Ba	sed on Ove	rriding	
arty		Facilty	Importe	d/Surface W	Vater Supply	to Meet D	emand	Exce	ss Imported	d/Surface W	/ater Capac	ity	Pr	ojected Pun	nping from	Chino Basir	า			Constraint		
<u> </u>	Month	Capacity	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
	July	455	334	334	413	413	413	120	120	42	42	42	989	1,136	1,156	1,378	1,378	120	120	42	42	42
	August	455	346	346	428	428	428	108	108	27	27	27	989	1,136	1,156	1,378	1,378	108	108	27	27	27
	September	440	319	319	394	394	394	121	121	46	46	46	989	1,136	1,156	1,378	1,378	121	121	46	46	46
	October	455	247	247	305	305	305	208	208	150	150	150	989	1,136	1,156	1,378	1,378	208	208	150	150	150
ino	November	440	210	210	260	260	260	230	230	180	180	180	662	780	882	1,060	1,060	230	230	180	180	180
с С	December	455	151	151	187	187	187	303	303	268	268	268	499	602	691	847	847	303	303	268	268	268
/ of	January	455	152	152	188	188	188	302	302	266	266	266	336	424	500	633	633	302	302	266	266	266
City	February	411	137	137	170	170	170	273	273	241	241	241	336	424	500	633	633	273	273	241	241	241
	March	455	151	151	187	187	187	303	303	268	268	268	336	424	500	633	633	303	303	268	268	268
	April	440	179	179	221	221	221	261	261	219	219	219	662	780	882	1,060	1,060	261	261	219	219	219
	May	455	243	243	300	300	300	212	212	154	154	154	826	958	965	1,165	1,165	212	212	154	154	154
	June	440	270	270	334	334	334	170	170	106	106	106	989	1,136	1,156	1,378	1,378	170	170	106	106	106
	Total	5,353	2,742	2,742	3,387	3,387	3,387	2,611	2,611	1,966	1,966	1,966	8,603	10,070	10,702	12,923	12,923	2,611	2,611	1,966	1,966	1,966
	July	1,210	296	302	311	312	313	913	908	899	898	896	249	253	261	262	263	249	253	261	262	263
	August	1,210	296	302	311	312	313	914	908	899	898	897	256	261	269	270	271	256	261	269	270	271
	September	1,171	207	211	217	218	219	964	960	954	953	952	222	226	232	233	234	222	226	232	233	234
lls	October	1,210	207	211	217	218	219	1,003	999	993	992	991	216	220	226	227	228	216	220	226	227	228
Ξ	November	1,171	170	173	178	179	180	1,001	998	993	992	991	173	177	182	183	183	173	177	182	183	183
lino	December	1,210	161	164	169	170	171	1,049	1,046	1,041	1,040	1,039	115	117	121	121	122	115	117	121	121	122
fCI	January	1,210	162	165	170	171	172	1,047	1,044	1,039	1,039	1,038	55	56	57	58	58	55	56	57	58	58
	February	1,093	174	177	182	183	184	919	916	911	910	909	82	83	86	86	87	82	83	86	86	87
Ū	March	1,210	252	257	264	265	266	958	953	945	944	943	86	88	91	91	91	86	88	91	91	91
	April	1,171	207	211	218	219	219	963	959	953	952	951	202	206	212	213	213	202	206	212	213	213
	May	1,210	206	210	216	217	218	1,004	1,000	994	993	992	224	228	235	236	237	224	228	235	236	237
	June	1,171	274	279	288	289	290	897	892	883	882	881	214	218	224	225	226	214	218	224	225	226
	Total	14,245	2,613	2,662	2,742	2,753	2,763	11,632	11,583	11,503	11,492	11,482	2,093	2,132	2,196	2,204	2,213	2,093	2,132	2,196	2,204	2,213
	July	1,849	697	711	732	735	737	1,152	1,139	1,118	1,115	1,112	765	799	862	888	916	765	799	862	888	916
Ι	August	1,849	741	755	777	780	783	1,109	1,095	1,072	1,069	1,066	641	670	722	745	768	641	670	722	745	768
rict	September	1,790	649	661	681	683	686	1,141	1,129	1,109	1,106	1,104	456	476	513	529	546	456	476	513	529	546
Dist	October	1,849	566	577	594	596	598	1,284	1,273	1,256	1,253	1,251	583	609	657	677	698	583	609	657	677	698
er	November	1,790	421	429	442	444	446	1,368	1,360	1,348	1,346	1,344	721	753	812	837	863	721	753	812	837	863
Vat	December	1,849	313	318	328	329	330	1,537	1,531	1,521	1,520	1,519	601	627	677	698	719	601	627	677	698	719
ta <	January	1,849	314	320	329	331	332	1,535	1,529	1,520	1,519	1,517	593	620	668	689	711	593	620	668	689	711
Vis	February	1,670	318	324	334	335	336	1,352	1,346	1,337	1,335	1,334	486	508	548	565	582	486	508	548	565	582
٦te	March	1,849	404	412	424	426	427	1,446	1,438	1,426	1,424	1,422	542	566	611	630	649	542	566	611	630	649
Mor	April	1,790	411	419	432	433	435	1,378	1,371	1,358	1,356	1,355	560	585	631	650	670	560	585	631	650	670
[May	1,849	471	480	495	497	498	1,378	1,369	1,355	1,353	1,351	791	826	891	919	947	791	826	891	919	947
L	June	1,790	611	622	641	643	646	1,179	1,168	1,149	1,147	1,144	722	754	813	838	864	722	754	813	838	864
	Total	21,776	5,916	6,028	6,207	6,232	6,255	15,860	15,748	15,568	15,544	15,521	7,461	7,793	8,404	8,666	8,935	7,461	7,793	8,404	8,666	8,935

Appendix A2 -- In-Lieu Recharge Calculations for Appropriative Pool Parties Under Design Conditions (afy)

>					Impor	ted Water a	and Treatn	nent Constra	aints					Groundwa	iter Right C	onstraints		Maxim	um In-Lieu (Capacity Bas	ed on Overr	riding
art		Facilty	Imported	d/Surface W	Vater Supply	to Meet D	emand	Exce	ess Importe	d/Surface V	Vater Capao	city	Р	rojected Pu	mping from	i Chino Basi	n			Constraint		
<u> </u>	Month	Capacity	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
	July	2,420	1,076	1,272	1,468	1,663	1,663	1,343	1,148	952	756	756	2,285	2,586	2,815	3,552	3,552	1,343	1,148	952	756	756
	August	2,420	1,445	1,708	1,971	2,234	2,234	974	711	449	186	186	2,166	2,451	2,668	3,367	3,367	974	711	449	186	186
	September	2,342	1,668	1,971	2,274	2,578	2,578	674	371	67	0	0	1,716	1,942	2,113	2,667	2,667	674	371	67	0	0
0	October	2,420	1,522	1,799	2,076	2,353	2,353	897	620	344	67	67	1,442	1,632	1,777	2,242	2,242	897	620	344	67	67
tari	November	2,342	939	1,109	1,280	1,451	1,451	1,403	1,232	1,062	891	891	1,375	1,556	1,693	2,137	2,137	1,375	1,232	1,062	891	891
Ont	December	2,420	443	523	604	684	684	1,977	1,897	1,816	1,736	1,736	1,278	1,447	1,575	1,987	1,987	1,278	1,447	1,575	1,736	1,736
٩	January	2,420	493	583	672	762	762	1,927	1,837	1,747	1,658	1,658	1,163	1,316	1,432	1,808	1,808	1,163	1,316	1,432	1,658	1,658
City	February	2,186	489	578	667	756	756	1,696	1,607	1,518	1,429	1,429	1,356	1,534	1,670	2,107	2,107	1,356	1,534	1,518	1,429	1,429
ľ	March	2,420	544	643	742	841	841	1,875	1,776	1,678	1,579	1,579	1,300	1,471	1,601	2,020	2,020	1,300	1,471	1,601	1,579	1,579
	April	2,342	585	691	798	904	904	1,757	1,650	1,544	1,438	1,438	1,827	2,068	2,251	2,841	2,841	1,757	1,650	1,544	1,438	1,438
	May	2,420	908	1,074	1,239	1,404	1,404	1,511	1,346	1,181	1,016	1,016	2,033	2,300	2,504	3,160	3,160	1,511	1,346	1,181	1,016	1,016
	June	2,342	887	1,048	1,209	1,370	1,370	1,455	1,294	1,133	971	971	2,309	2,613	2,844	3,589	3,589	1,455	1,294	1,133	971	971
	Total	28,490	11,000	13,000	15,000	17,000	17,000	17,490	15,490	13,490	11,726	11,726	20,249	22,915	24,943	31,476	31,476	15,083	14,140	12,857	11,726	11,726
	July	1,772	452	543	662	719	764	1,321	1,229	1,110	1,053	1,009	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520	520
	August	1,772	516	620	756	821	872	1,257	1,152	1,017	951	901	559	559	559	559	559	559	559	559	559	559
	September	1,715	489	587	716	778	826	1,227	1,128	999	937	889	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480	480
σ	October	1,772	476	573	698	758	805	1,296	1,200	1,074	1,014	967	444	444	444	444	444	444	444	444	444	444
lan	November	1,715	292	351	428	465	494	1,423	1,364	1,287	1,250	1,222	285	285	285	285	285	285	285	285	285	285
Пр	December	1,772	243	292	356	387	411	1,529	1,480	1,416	1,386	1,362	460	460	460	460	460	460	460	460	460	460
/ of	January	1,772	256	308	375	407	433	1,517	1,465	1,397	1,365	1,340	488	488	488	488	488	488	488	488	488	488
Cit	February	1,601	197	237	289	314	334	1,403	1,363	1,311	1,286	1,267	385	385	385	385	385	385	385	385	385	385
	March	1,772	194	233	284	308	327	1,579	1,539	1,488	1,464	1,445	422	422	422	422	422	422	422	422	422	422
	April	1,715	201	242	295	320	340	1,514	1,474	1,421	1,395	1,376	519	519	519	519	519	519	519	519	519	519
	May	1,772	221	266	324	352	374	1,551	1,507	1,448	1,420	1,399	647	647	647	647	647	647	647	647	647	647
	June	1,715	272	327	399	433	460	1,443	1,388	1,317	1,282	1,255	535	535	535	535	535	535	535	535	535	535
	Total	20,868	3,808	4,579	5,581	6,063	6,437	17,060	16,289	15,287	14,805	14,431	5,743	5,743	5,743	5,743	5,743	5,743	5,743	5,743	5,743	5,743
ц.	July	5,718	3,352	3,352	3,352	3,352	3,352	2,366	2,366	2,366	2,366	2,366	1,176	1,695	1,874	2,023	2,023	1,176	1,695	1,874	2,023	2,023
tric	August	5,718	3,358	3,358	3,358	3,358	3,358	2,361	2,361	2,361	2,361	2,361	1,178	1,698	1,877	2,026	2,026	1,178	1,698	1,877	2,026	2,026
Dis	September	5,534	3,028	3,029	3,028	3,029	3,029	2,506	2,505	2,506	2,505	2,505	1,062	1,531	1,693	1,827	1,827	1,062	1,531	1,693	1,827	1,827
ter	October	5,718	2,682	2,682	2,682	2,682	2,682	3,037	3,037	3,037	3,037	3,037	941	1,356	1,499	1,618	1,618	941	1,356	1,499	1,618	1,618
Wa	November	5,534	2,096	2,096	2,096	2,096	2,096	3,438	3,438	3,438	3,438	3,438	735	1,060	1,172	1,265	1,265	735	1,060	1,172	1,265	1,265
leγ	December	5,718	1,729	1,729	1,729	1,729	1,729	3,989	3,990	3,989	3,990	3,990	607	874	967	1,043	1,043	607	874	967	1,043	1,043
Val	January	5,718	1,713	1,713	1,714	1,714	1,714	4,005	4,005	4,005	4,005	4,005	601	866	958	1,034	1,034	601	866	958	1,034	1,034
ga	February	5,165	1,589	1,589	1,589	1,589	1,589	3,576	3,576	3,576	3,576	3,576	557	803	888	959	959	557	803	888	959	959
lor	March	5,718	1,773	1,773	1,773	1,773	1,773	3,945	3,945	3,946	3,945	3,945	622	896	991	1,070	1,070	622	896	991	1,070	1,070
can	April	5,534	2,243	2,243	2,243	2,243	2,243	3,291	3,291	3,291	3,291	3,291	787	1,134	1,254	1,353	1,353	787	1,134	1,254	1,353	1,353
C	May	5,718	2,654	2,654	2,654	2,654	2,654	3,064	3,064	3,065	3,065	3,065	931	1,342	1,483	1,601	1,601	931	1,342	1,483	1,601	1,601
	June	5,534	3,001	3,001	3,001	3,001	3,001	2,533	2,533	2,533	2,533	2,533	1,053	1,517	1,677	1,811	1,811	1,053	1,517	1,677	1,811	1,811
	Total	67,330	29,219	29,219	29,219	29,219	29,219	38,111	38,111	38,111	38,111	38,111	10,250	14,773	16,331	17,630	17,630	10,250	14,773	16,331	17,630	17,630

				Impo	rted Water	and Treatn	nent Constra	aints					Groundwa	ter Right Co	nstraints		Maxim	um In-Lieu (Capacity Ba	sed on Ove	rriding
art	Facilty	Importe	d/Surface V	Vater Suppl	y to Meet D	emand	Exce	ess Importe	d/Surface W	/ater Capaci	ity	Pro	ojected Pur	nping from	Chino Basin]			Constraint		
[←] Month	Capacity	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045
July	578	893	893	893	893	893	0	0	0	0	0	953	1,026	1,101	1,174	1,250	0	0	0	0	0
August	578	946	946	946	946	946	0	0	0	0	0	967	1,041	1,118	1,192	1,269	0	0	0	0	0
September	559	850	850	850	850	850	0	0	0	0	0	951	1,024	1,099	1,172	1,247	0	0	0	0	0
ு October	578	638	638	638	638	638	0	0	0	0	0	952	1,025	1,100	1,173	1,249	0	0	0	0	0
၌ November	559	393	393	393	393	393	166	166	166	166	166	907	976	1,047	1,117	1,189	166	166	166	166	166
December	578	203	203	203	203	203	375	375	375	375	375	868	934	1,002	1,069	1,138	375	375	375	375	375
는 January	578	172	172	172	172	172	405	405	405	405	405	880	947	1,016	1,084	1,153	405	405	405	405	405
<u>}</u> February	522	136	136	136	136	136	385	385	385	385	385	839	903	970	1,034	1,101	385	385	385	385	385
March	578	161	161	161	161	161	416	416	416	416	416	867	933	1,001	1,068	1,136	416	416	416	416	416
April	559	385	385	385	385	385	174	174	174	174	174	865	931	1,000	1,066	1,135	174	174	174	174	174
May	578	518	518	518	518	518	60	60	60	60	60	919	989	1,061	1,132	1,205	60	60	60	60	60
June	559	704	704	704	704	704	0	0	0	0	0	889	957	1,027	1,096	1,166	0	0	0	0	0
Total	6,800	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982	10,858	11,685	12,543	13,376	14,238	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982	1,982

Appendix B

Renewal and Replacement Projection Details (10-year period)

Row Labels	Sum of Escalated Total Cost
2024	\$16,289,845
Overdue Replacement	\$3,275,203
IEUA-7AND8-8TH-RW-INST-PRESSURE	\$9,344
IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$18,688
IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$46,721
IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$46,721
IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-RTU	\$46,721
IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$18,688
IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$46,721
IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$46,721
IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-RTU	\$46,721
IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1	\$18,688
IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2	\$18,688
IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-I/O-1	\$46,721
IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-I/O-2	\$46,721
IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-PLC-1	\$46,721
IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-PLC-2	\$46,721
IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-INST-AIR	\$9,344
IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$18,688
	\$93,442
	\$46,721
	\$18,688
IEUA-DCLZ-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$46,721
IEUA-DULZ-E&I-CONTROL-PLU	\$46,721
	\$9,344
	\$9,344
	\$9,344
	\$9,344
	\$9,544
	\$9,544 \$46 721
	\$40,721 \$46,721
	\$40,721
	\$46,721
	\$46,721
IFUA-FLY-F&I-CONTROL-RTU	\$46,721
IFUA-GROVE-BASIN-STRUC-GATE-1	\$108 318
IFUA-GROVE-BASIN-STRUC-GATE-2	\$170,213
IFUA-GROVE-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$18,688
IEUA-GROVE-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$46.721
IEUA-GROVE-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$46.721
IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-INST-AIR	\$9.344
IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-PMP-SUMP	\$9.344
IEUA-HCKR-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$233.604
IEUA-JRPA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$18,688
IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-INST-AIR	\$9,344
IEUA-LWRDY-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$18,688
IEUA-LWRDY-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$93,442
IEUA-LWRDY-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$93,442
IEUA-MCLR-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$46,721
IEUA-MCLR-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$46,721
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-INST-AIR	\$9,344
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-INST-PRESSURE	\$9,344
IEUA-RP3-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$18,688
IEUA-RP3-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$46,721
IEUA-RP3-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$46,721
IEUA-SASEV-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$18,688
IEUA-TRNR12-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$18,688
IEUA-TRNR12-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$140,162
IEUA-TRNR12-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$46,721
IEUA-TRNR12-E&I-CONTROL-RTU	\$46,721
IEUA-UPLND-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$18,688
IEUA-UPLND-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$93,442
IEUA-UPLND-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$46,721

IEUA-VICT-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$18,688
IEUA-VICT-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$93,442
IEUA-VICT-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$46,721
IEUA-VICT-E&I-CONTROL-RTU	\$46,721
SBCFCD-GROVE-E&I-ELEC-GEN	\$93,442
SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-1-STRUC-BERM	\$117,736
SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-STRUC-BERM	\$75,688
SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-S-STRUC-BERM	\$84.097
Renewal	\$7.230.476
CBWCD-MCIR-BASIN-1-STRUC-CIVRT	\$171,128
CBWCD-MCIR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE	\$455
CBWCD-MCIR-BASIN-2-STRUC-CIVRT	\$170,601
CBWCD-MCI R-BASIN-3-STRUC-CI VRT	\$661,211
IFUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE	\$1.023
IFLIA-FLY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1	\$455
IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-2	\$455
IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3	\$455
IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-4	\$455
IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1	\$455
IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2	\$455
IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE	\$1,365
IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-GATE-W	\$1,365
IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-GATE	\$682
IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-GATE	\$682
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE	\$455
IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-GATE	\$2,161
IEUA-VICT-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-GATE	\$682
SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-BASIN-FLOW	\$3,093
SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-N-BASIN-FLOW	\$2,980
SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-S-BASIN-FLOW	\$2,153
SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-CLVRT	\$488,500
SBCFCD-BNNA-BASIN-BASIN-FLOW	\$2,402
SBCFCD-DCLZ-CELL-1-BASIN-FLOW	\$2,419
SBCFCD-DCLZ-CELL-2-BASIN-FLOW	\$1,649
SBCFCD-DCLZ-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW	\$1,700
SBCFCD-ELY-BASIN-1-BASIN-FLOW	\$4,827
SBCFCD-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-CLVRT	\$1,173,980
SBCFCD-ELY-BASIN-2-BASIN-FLOW	\$5,416
SBCFCD-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT	\$1,760,931
SBCFCD-ELY-BASIN-3-BASIN-FLOW	\$7,693
SBCFCD-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT-1	\$1,509,414
SBCFCD-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT-2	\$580,570
SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-E-BASIN-FLOW-E	\$1,020
SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-BASIN-FLOW-W	\$2,453
SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-BERM	\$695
SBCFCD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-CLVRT	\$664,045
Replacement	\$5,784,166
CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE	\$92,844
IEUA-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-GATE	\$92,844
IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-GATE	\$123,791
IEUA-BNNA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE	\$92,844
IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-STRUC-GATE-1	\$123,791
IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-STRUC-GATE-2	\$108,318
IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-PANEL	\$93,442
IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-E-STRUC-GATE	\$123,791
IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-W-STRUC-GATE	\$123,791
IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-PANEL	\$93,442
IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-BLOW	\$14,016
	\$14,502
	\$92,844
	\$185,687
	\$185,687
	\$93,442
	\$01,896 602,442
ILUA-ELT-EMI-CUNTROL-PANEL-1	273,442 602,442
	295,442 ¢02 1117
	277,44Z

IEUA-ETIW-CB-14-IW-INST-FLOW	\$9.344
IEUA-ETIW-CB-14-IW-INST-IEVEI	\$9,344
IFIIA-FTIW-CB-14-IW-INST-PRESSURF	\$9 344
	\$46 721
	\$46,721 \$46,721
	\$40,721 ¢02,844
	\$92,044 ¢12,082
	\$13,082
IEUA-HCKR-E&I-CONTROL-PANEL	\$93,442
IEUA-HCKR-SAN-CHANNEL-BLOW	\$14,016
IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-PMP-SUMP	\$9,344
IEUA-JRPA-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$46,721
IEUA-JRPA-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$46,721
IEUA-JRPA-PUMP-STA-INST-PRESSURE-1	\$9,344
IEUA-JRPA-PUMP-STA-INST-PRESSURE-2	\$9,344
IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-GATE	\$92,844
IEUA-LWRDY-CB-15-IW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$56,065
IEUA-LWRDY-CB-15-IW-STRUC-VALVE	\$18,128
IEUA-LWRDY-DAYCRK-DAM-BLOW	\$14.016
IEUA-LWRDY-E&I-CONTROL-PANEL	\$93,442
	\$93.442
	\$2/17 583
	¢77,305
	\$77,370
	\$154,759
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-GATE	\$154,739
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-INST-FLOWMETER	\$78,491
IEUA-RP3-DECLEZ-DAM-BLOW	\$14,016
IEUA-RP3-DECLEZ-DAM-STRUC-GATE	\$340,426
IEUA-RP3-E&I-CONTROL-PANEL	\$93,442
IEUA-TRNR12-BASIN-1-RW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$33,639
IEUA-TRNR12-BASIN-1-RW-STRUC-VALVE	\$9,064
IEUA-TRNR12-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE	\$479 <i>,</i> 692
IEUA-TRNR12-CUCA-CRK-DAM-BLOW	\$14,016
IEUA-TRNR12-E&I-CONTROL-PANEL	\$93,442
IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4-STRUC-GATE	\$232,109
IEUA-TRNR34-CB-11-IW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$67,278
IEUA-TRNR34-CB-11-IW-STRUC-VALVE	\$21,753
IEUA-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-GATE	\$123,791
IEUA-UPLND-E&I-CONTROL-PANEL	\$93,442
IFUA-UPI ND-SAN-DAM-BLOW	\$14,016
IFIIA-VICT-BASIN-CFII1-STRUC-GATE	\$247 583
	\$97 811
	\$92,044 ¢02,442
	393,442 ¢195,697
IBD-LWRDT-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE	\$185,087
2025	\$1,605,223
Renewal	\$1,554,810
IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-STRUC-CLVRT	\$473,518
IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-STRUC-GATE	\$937
SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-5-BASIN-FLOW	\$4,613
SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-5-STRUC-CLVRT-1	\$278,041
SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-5-STRUC-CLVRT-2	\$795 <i>,</i> 828
SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-5-STRUC-GATE	\$1,874
Replacement	\$50,413
IEUA-ELY-RW-STRUC-VALVE-1	\$9 <i>,</i> 336
IEUA-ELY-RW-STRUC-VALVE-2	\$9,336
IEUA-ELY-RW-STRUC-VALVE-3	\$9.336
IEUA-HCKR-CB-18-IW-STRUC-VALVE	\$22,406
2026	\$823,983
Benewal	\$193.046
SBCFCD-HCKR-BASINI-W-STRUC-CUVRT	\$182.148
	¢1 001
	24,091 6000
	ŞZ39
	ć 1 7 7
SBUFUD-HUKK-BASIN-W-STRUU-ULVRT-3	\$477
SBCFCD-JRVA-BASIN-W-STRUC-CLVRT-3 SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT	\$477 \$6,091
SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-CLVRT-3 SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement	\$477 \$6,091 \$630,937
SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-CLVRT-3 SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-PANEL	\$477 \$6,091 \$630,937 \$99,132
SBCFCD-HCRR-BASIN-W-STRUC-CLVRT-3 SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-PANEL IEUA-BNNA-FMM-RW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$477 \$6,091 \$630,937 \$99,132 \$35,688

IEUA-BNNA-FMM-RW-STRUC-VALVE-1	\$21,155
IEUA-BNNA-FMM-RW-STRUC-VALVE-2	\$21,155
IEUA-HCKR-FMM-RW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$35,688
IEUA-HCKR-FMM-RW-STRUC-VALVE	\$42,310
IEUA-HCKR-SAN-RW-STRUC-VALVE	\$9,616
IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-INST-LEVEL	\$9,913
IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-INST-LEVEL	\$9,913
IEUA-SASEV-E&I-CONTROL-I/O	\$99,132
IEUA-SASEV-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$99,132
IEUA-SASEV-E&I-CONTROL-RTU	\$99,132
IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOW	\$9,913
IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-PRESSURE	\$9,913
IEUA-TRNR34-DEER-RW-STRUC-VALVE	\$9,616
IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-PRESSURE	\$9,913
2027	\$357,304
Renewal	\$4,997
SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-BASIN-FLOW	\$4,500
SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-GATE	\$497
Replacement	\$352,307
IEUA-7AND8-8TH-RW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$36,758
IEUA-7AND8-8TH-RW-STRUC-VALVE	\$15,847
IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-PANEL	\$102,106
IEUA-BRKS-ORCHARD-RW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$49,011
IEUA-BRKS-ORCHARD-RW-STRUC-VALVE	\$15,847
IEUA-GROVE-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$71,474
IEUA-GROVE-E&I-ELEC-TRANSFRM	\$51,053
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-INST-LEVEL	\$10,211
2028	\$745,877
Renewal	\$430,369
SBCFCD-GROVE-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL	\$430,369
	\$315,508
CBWCD-BRKS-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR	\$42,068
	\$42,068
	\$42,000 \$42,000
	3/1/10/0
	\$42,000 \$42,000
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR	\$42,008 \$42,068 \$10,517
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNB34-RASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL	\$42,008 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$10,517
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-RASIN-WELL-MONITOR	\$42,008 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$10,517 \$42,068
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-RASIN-WELL-MONITOR	\$42,068 \$10,517 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029	\$42,068 \$10,517 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4335,145
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal	\$42,068 \$10,517 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1.092,107
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$10,517 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JIRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-TRNR12-BASIN-1-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-TRNR12-BASIN-1-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-SPILL	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-TRNR12-BASIN-1-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-TRNR12-BASIN-1-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$120
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$120 \$918
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$1,20 \$918 \$1,302
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-BERM-4B/4C	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$2,373 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$7,44,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$7,44,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$1,200 \$918 \$1,302 \$215
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4A-INST-LEVEL IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-BERM-4B/4C SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$1,20 \$918 \$1,302 \$215 \$16,454
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$1,20 \$918 \$1,302 \$918 \$1,302 \$215 \$16,454 \$3,243,038
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-BERM-4B/4C SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement CBWCD-UPLND-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$1,20 \$918 \$1,302 \$918 \$1,302 \$215 \$16,454 \$3,243,038 \$43,330
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-BERM-4B/4C SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement CBWCD-UPLND-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-BRKS-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$1,20 \$918 \$1,302 \$918 \$1,302 \$215 \$16,454 \$3,243,038 \$43,330 \$75,827
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-STRUC-GATE IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement CBWCD-UPLND-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-BRKS-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$1,20 \$918 \$1,302 \$918 \$1,302 \$215 \$16,454 \$3,243,038 \$43,330 \$75,827 \$75,827
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CL2-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement CBWCD-UPLND-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-BRKS-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$1,20 \$918 \$1,302 \$918 \$1,302 \$215 \$16,454 \$3,243,038 \$43,330 \$75,827 \$75,827 \$10,832
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-GLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-GLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-BERM-4B/4C SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement CBWCD-UPLND-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-BRKS-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-CLTS-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$1,20 \$918 \$1,302 \$918 \$1,302 \$918 \$1,302 \$215 \$16,454 \$3,243,038 \$43,330 \$75,827 \$75,827 \$10,832 \$75,827
IEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-SASEV-CELL-5-INST-LEVEL IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR ONTARIO-TRNR12-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR 2029 Renewal IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-CL2-CELL-2-STRUC-CLVRT SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-SASEV-CELL-3-BASIN-FLOW SBCFCD-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement CBWCD-UPLND-BASIN-WELL-MONITOR IEUA-BRKS-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-CLT-S&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-CLT-S&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCL2-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCL2-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCL2-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCL2-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCL2-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$42,068 \$42,068 \$10,517 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$42,068 \$4,335,145 \$1,092,107 \$224 \$186 \$2,373 \$497 \$248 \$7,016 \$1,490 \$1,490 \$1,987 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$744,707 \$306,174 \$4,098 \$1,200 \$918 \$1,302 \$215 \$16,454 \$3,243,038 \$43,330 \$75,827 \$75,827 \$10,832 \$75,827 \$10,832 \$75,827 \$54,162

	¢75 Q77
	¢75,027
IEUA-ELY-EQI-CUIVINI-RADIU-3	\$75,827
IEUA-ELY-E&I-COMM-RADIO-4	\$75,827
IEUA-ETIW-CB-14-IW-STRUC-VALVE	\$50,436
IEUA-GROVE-BASIN-INST-LEVEL	\$10,832
IEUA-HCKR-E&I-ELEC-TRANSFRM	\$54,162
IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-HVAC	\$54,162
IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-PMP-	\$909.925
IFUA-IRPA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE	\$143 508
	\$108.224
	\$108,324
	\$38,997
IEUA-LWRDY-E&I-COMM-RADIO-1	\$75,827
IEUA-LWRDY-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2	\$75,827
IEUA-MCLR-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$75,827
IEUA-RP3-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$75,827
IEUA-TRNR12-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$75,827
IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4B-INST-LEVEL	\$10.832
IFUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4C-INST-LEVEL	\$10,832
	\$10,832
	\$10,052
	\$10,832
IEUA-I KNK34-E&I-CONI KOL-I/O	\$108,324
IEUA-TRNR34-E&I-CONTROL-PLC	\$108,324
IEUA-TRNR34-E&I-CONTROL-RTU	\$108,324
IEUA-UPLND-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$75,827
IEUA-VICT-E&I-COMM-RADIO-1	\$75,827
IEUA-VICT-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2	\$75,827
IFUA-VICT-F&I-COMM-RADIO-3	\$75.827
MW/D/IEIIA-7AND8-CB20-MW/D-STRIIC-VALVE	\$25,218
	\$25,210
100-3KFA-0A3IN-3TKOC-VALVE	\$137,012
2030	\$22,315
Replacement	\$22,315
IEUA-/AND8-81H-N-INS1-LEVEL	\$11,157
IEUA-TRNR12-BASIN-1-INST-LEVEL	\$11,157
2031	\$5,207,780
Renewal	\$4,892,114
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL	\$4,892,114 \$789,907
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCI7-CELL-3-INST-IEVEL-3	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCIR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IELLA BD2 BASIN 2 INST-LEVEL	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$82,743
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$82,743 \$26,754
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$82,743 \$26,754 \$41,372
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$82,743 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$82,743 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-CCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$5,007 \$28,007
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE Z032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-TANDO OTH C INCT IEUE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$82,743 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$5,007 \$284,086
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE Z032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-1	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$82,858
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$82,858 \$82,858
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858
RenewalSBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILLSBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILLReplacementIEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIOIEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVELIEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETERIEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETERIEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETERIEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETERIEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETERIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-CLVRTReplacementIEUA-TAND8-8EI-COMM-RADIO-1IEUA-TAND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2IEUA-TAND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-E-INST-LEVEL-1IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-CELL1-INST-LEVEL	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$11,837
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-BCLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-8-EAI-COMM-RADIO-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-VICT-BASIN-E-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-VICT-BASIN-E-INST-LEVEL	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$82,858 \$81,837 \$11,8
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-CLZ-CELL-3-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-8L-COMM-RADIO-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-VICT-BASIN-CELL1-INST-LEVEL 2033	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$28,858 \$82,858 \$83,858 \$84,858 \$85,857 \$1,837 \$
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-TLEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-TFLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-8L-COMM-RADIO-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-E-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-VICT-BASIN-E-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-VICT-BASIN-CELL1-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-VELL-RECHARGE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$21,492 \$22,738 \$22,738 \$22,7581 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$282,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$11,837 \$1
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8HT-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-8LI-COMM-RADIO-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-E-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-ELI-RECHARGE IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$21,492 \$22,733 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$28,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$11,837 \$
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-E-INST-LEVEL IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-E-INST-LEVEL 2033 Renewal IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-8DNA-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$21,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$22,7581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$282,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,844 \$1,344
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-E-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-CELL1-INST-LEVEL 2033 Renewal IEUA-BANNA-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-BANNA-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-BANNA-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-ELY-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-ELY-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$21,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$22,7581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$28,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,837 \$11,844 \$1,344 \$1,344
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-CELL1-INST-LEVEL 2033 Renewal IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-FLYBASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-FLYBASIN-WELL-RECHARGE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$21,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$289,093 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$22,858 \$82,858 \$11,837 \$11,344 \$1,344 \$1,344 \$1,344
Renewal SBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILL SBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIO IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE IEUA-VICT-RW-INST-FLOWMETER IEUA-VICT-RW-STRUC-VALVE 2032 Renewal SBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRT Replacement IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVEL IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-1 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3 IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-E-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-VELL-RECHARGE IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-7AND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE IEUA-REASIN-WELL-RECHARGE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$28,588 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$82,858 \$11,837 \$1
RenewalSBCFCD-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-SPILLSBCFCD-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-SPILLReplacementIEUA-BNNA-E&I-COMM-RADIOIEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVEL-3IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-2-INST-LEVELIEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETERIEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-INST-FLOWMETERIEUA-SASEV-CB-13-IW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-SASEV-RW-INST-FLOWMETERIEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVEIEUA-SASEV-RW-STRUC-VALVE2032RenewalSBCFCD-ETIW-BASIN-STRUC-CLVRTReplacementIEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-INST-LEVELIEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-1IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-2IEUA-7AND8-E&I-COMM-RADIO-3IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-E-INST-LEVELIEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-CELL1-INST-LEVEL2033RenewalIEUA-7AND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGEIEUA-RAND8-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGEIEUA-RECHARGEIEUA-RECHARGEIEUA-RECHARGEIEUA-RECHARGEIEUA-RECHARGEIEUA-RECHARGEIEUA-RECHARGEIEUA-RECHARGEIEUA-LWRDY-DAYCRK-DAM-STRUC-BLDGIEUA-LWRDY-DAYCRK-DAM-STRUC-BLDGIEUA-SASEV-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE	\$4,892,114 \$789,907 \$4,102,207 \$315,666 \$80,445 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$11,492 \$26,754 \$41,372 \$13,377 \$27,581 \$8,918 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$289,093 \$5,007 \$284,086 \$11,837 \$289,558 \$82,858 \$11,837 \$12,844 \$1

IEUA-VICT-BASIN-WELL-RECHARGE	\$1,344
Replacement	\$60,960
IEUA-7AND8-7TH-INST-LEVEL	\$12,192
IEUA-BNNA-BASIN-INST-LEVEL	\$12,192
IEUA-MCLR-BASIN-4-INST-LEVEL	\$12,192
IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-3-INST-LEVEL	\$12,192
IEUA-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-INST-LEVEL	\$12,192
2034	\$9,492,524
	\$5,006,989
CBWCD/SBCFCD-TRNR12-BASIN-1-BASIN-OFFCH	\$23,906
CBWCD/SBCFCD-TRNRTZ-BASIN-2-BASIN-OFFCH	\$3,935
	\$14,018 \$7,141
	\$7,141 \$6,837
	\$0,837 \$11,420
CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE	\$11,420
CBWCD-MCIR-BASIN-2-BASIN-OFFCH	\$22,490
CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-2-STRUC-SPILL	\$513,883
CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-3-BASIN-OFFCH	\$4.857
CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-4-BASIN-OFFCH	\$8.451
CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-4-STRUC-SPILL	\$863,153
IEUA-7AND8-7TH-STRUC-GATE	\$1,375
IEUA-7AND8-8TH-S-STRUC-GATE	\$1,834
IEUA-BNNA-BASIN-STRUC-GATE	\$1,375
IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-STRUC-GATE-1	\$1,834
IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-STRUC-GATE-2	\$1,605
IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-E-STRUC-GATE	\$1,834
IEUA-CLHTS-BASIN-W-STRUC-GATE	\$1,834
IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-BLOW	\$208
IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-BLDG	\$6,179
IEUA-DCLZ-BASIN-STRUC-VALVE	\$215
IEUA-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-GATE	\$1,375
	\$2,751
	\$2,751
	\$917 \$1.605
IEUA-GROVE-BASIN-STRUC-GATE-1	\$1,005
IFUA-HCKR-RASIN-F-STRUC-GATE-F	\$2,522
IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-W-PMP	\$194
IEUA-HCKR-SAN-CHANNEL-BLOW	\$208
IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-BLDG	\$22.528
IEUA-JRPA-BASIN-STRUC-PIPE	\$139,019
IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-GATE	\$1,375
IEUA-LWRDY-CB-15-IW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$831
IEUA-LWRDY-CB-15-IW-STRUC-VALVE	\$269
IEUA-LWRDY-DAYCRK-DAM-BLOW	\$208
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-1-BASIN-OFFCH-1A	\$1,435
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-1-BASIN-OFFCH-1B	\$1,435
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-1-STRUC-BERM-1	\$747
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE	\$3,668
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-1-STRUC-PIPE	\$17,234
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-BASIN-OFFCH	\$3,373
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-BERM	\$623
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE	\$1,146
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-BASIN-OFFCH-3A	\$2,908
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-BASIN-OFFCH-3B	\$2,908 ¢502
	2555 202
IEUA-NES-BASIN-S-STRUC-GATE IFLIA-RP3-RASIN-A-RASIN-AFFCH-4A	ې۲٬۲۵۲ دع ۱۵۱
IFIIA-RP3-RASIN-A-RASIN-OFFCH-AR	\$3,491 \$2 <u>/</u> 01
IFUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-BFRM	\$5,4JI \$507
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-4-STRUC-GATE	¢2 292
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-INST-FLOWMETER	\$1.163
IEUA-RP3-DECLEZ-DAM-BLOW	\$208
IEUA-RP3-DECLEZ-DAM-STRUC-BLDG	\$257
IEUA-RP3-DECLEZ-DAM-STRUC-GATE	\$5,043
IEUA-TRNR12-BASIN-1-RW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$498

IEUA-TRNR12-BASIN-1-RW-STRUC-VALVE	\$134
IEUA-TRNR12-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE	\$7,106
IEUA-TRNR12-CUCA-CRK-DAM-BLOW	\$208
IEUA-TRNR12-CUCA-CRK-DAM-STRUC-BLDG	\$3,154
IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-GATE	\$611
IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4-STRUC-GATE	\$3,438
IEUA-TRNR34-CB-11-IW-INST-FLOWMETER	\$997
IEUA-TRNR34-CB-11-IW-STRUC-VALVE	\$322
IEUA-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-GATE	\$1,834
IEUA-UPLND-SAN-DAM-BLOW	\$208
IEUA-UPLND-SAN-DAM-STRUC-BLDG	\$3,347
IEUA-VICT-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-GATE	\$3,668
IEUA-VICI-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-GATE	\$1,375
SBCFCD-/AND8-81H-STRUC-BERM	\$934
SBCFCD-DCLZ-CELL-1-STRUC-BERM	\$561
SBUFUD-DULZ-UELL-Z-STRUC-BERM	\$448
SBCFCD-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-BERM-1	\$1,557
SBUFUD-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-BERIN	\$1,020 \$274
SDCFCD-ELY DASIN-2-STRUC-DERM-1	25/4 ¢272
SDCFCD-ELT-DASIN-3-STRUC-DERIVI-2	2072 \$211
SDCFCD-ELT-DASIN-S-STRUC-BERIN-S	۲۱ در ۱ ۵۵۸
SBCFCD-HCKR-RASIN-F-STRUC-BERM-F	\$1,384
SBCECD-I WRDY-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFECH	\$025 \$1 995
SBCECD-I WRDY-BASIN-CELLI DASIN OFFEN	\$6,813
SBCECD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUCT-FILE	\$0,815
SBCFCD-I WRDY-BASIN-CFLI 3-BASIN-OFFCH	\$4,218
SBCFCD-I WRDY-BASIN-CFLI 3-STRUC-SPILI	\$677 444
SBCFCD-I WRDY-BASIN-STRUC-BFRM	\$1.308
SBCFCD-TRNR12-BASIN-2-STRUC-SPILL	\$1,716,450
SBCFCD-TRNR34-BASIN-3-BASIN-OFFCH	\$3.829
SBCFCD-TRNR34-BASIN-4-BASIN-OFFCH	\$11.755
	¢2 170
SBCFCD-VICI-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH	ŞZ,170
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-BERM	\$2,170
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$55,455
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$55,455 \$124,774
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$55,455 \$124,774 \$25,116
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$55,455 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$25,116
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$55,455 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$25,116 \$12,558
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$55,455 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12,558
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$55,455 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$55,455 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$55,455 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$55,455 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$55,455 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558\$}\$12,558 \$12,558\$}\$12,558 \$12,558\$}\$12,558 \$12,558\$}\$12,558 \$12,558\$}\$12,558\$}\$12,558\$} \$12,558\$}\$12,558\$}\$12,558\$} \$12,558\$}\$12,558\$}\$12,558\$} \$12,558\$}\$12,558\$}\$12,558\$} \$12,558\$}\$12,558\$}\$12,558\$} \$12,558\$}\$12,558\$}\$12,558\$}\$12,558\$}\$12,558\$}\$12,558\$}}
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558\$}\$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558\$}\$12,558 \$12,558\$}\$12,558 \$12,558\$}\$12,558\$}\$12,558 \$12,558\$}}
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558\$}}
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLZ-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-INST-LEVEL	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CL2-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-INST-LEVEL IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1 STRUC-GATE-1	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CL2-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-INST-LEVEL IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1 STRUC-GATE-2	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8H-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-DCLZ-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$15,4555 \$15,45
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-1-INST-LEVEL IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-4 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$15,455 \$15
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-DCLZ-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$15,455 \$15
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-8NNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-G	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8tH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-8NNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$166,365 \$87,904
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$166,365 \$87,904 \$25,116
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$166,365 \$87,904 \$25,116 \$12,558
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-STRUC-BERM SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL3-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-8NNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-2 IEUA-ELY-	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$166,365 \$87,904 \$22,116 \$12,558 \$12,558
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELLI-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-8BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-4 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$166,365 \$87,904 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$166,365 \$87,904 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$166,365
SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL1-BASIN-OFFCH SBCFCD-VICT-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH TBD-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-BASIN-OFFCH UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-GATE UPLAND-UPLND-BASIN-STRUC-SPILL Replacement CBWCD-MCLR-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-7AND8-8TH-N-STRUC-GATE IEUA-8BNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BNNA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-1 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-3 IEUA-BRKS-BASIN-INST-LEVEL-4 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-1 IEUA-BRKS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI-2 IEUA-CLHTS-E&I-CONTROL-HMI IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-CLHTS-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-1-STRUC-GATE-3 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-1 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-3-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-ELY-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-C-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-W-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-W-INST-LEVEL-2 IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-GATE-W IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-GATE-W IEUA-HCKR-BASIN-W-STRUC-GATE-W	\$2,170 \$1,121 \$3,586 \$2,751 \$52,912 \$749,480 \$4,485,535 \$124,774 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$55,455 \$166,365 \$87,904 \$25,116 \$12,558 \$12,558 \$166,365 \$87,904

Grand Total	\$39.239.707
IEUA-VICT-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$25,116
IEUA-VICT-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-GATE	\$83,183
IEUA-UPLND-SAN-DAM-STRUC-DAM	\$376,733
IEUA-UPLND-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$25,116
IEUA-TRNR34-E&I-CONTROL-PANEL	\$125,578
IEUA-TRNR34-BASIN-4B&C-STRUC-GATE	\$207,957
IEUA-TRNR12-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$25,116
IEUA-TRNR12-CUCA-CRK-DAM-STRUC-DAM	\$376,733
IEUA-SASEV-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$25,116
IEUA-RP3-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$25,116
IEUA-RP3-DECLEZ-DAM-STRUC-DAM	\$376,733
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-3-INST-LEVEL	\$12,558
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-2-STRUC-GATE	\$55,455
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-1-INST-LEVEL-2	\$12,558
IEUA-RP3-BASIN-1-INST-LEVEL-1	\$12,558
IEUA-LWRDY-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$25,116
IEUA-LWRDY-DAYCRK-DAM-STRUC-DAM	\$376,733
IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-STRUC-GATE	\$83,183
IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL2-INST-LEVEL	\$12,558
IEUA-LWRDY-BASIN-CELL1-STRUC-GATE	\$83,183
IEUA-JRPA-E&I-ELEC-TRANSFRM	\$62,789
IEUA-JRPA-E&I-CONTROL-HMI	\$25,116
IEUA-JRPA-E&I-COMM-RADIO	\$87,904

Appendix C

Review Comments and Responses

WATER FACILITIES AUTHORITY (WFA) – VAN JEW

Comment 1 – Chapter 2.3.3 In-Lieu Capacity

Can we add in the word "sustainable" between "current" and "capacity"? Though many moons ago, the WFA has a history of running in the 70-80 MGD range, but just not in a sustainable 365/24/7 manner.

Response:

The text was updated as requested.

Comment 2 – Chapter 2.3.3 In-Lieu Capacity

In the sentence "According to WFA, the sustainable current capacity of the WFA plant is about 40 mgd in the summer months and about 20 mgd in the winter months." Can we change the "40" and the "20" to "50" and "25," respectively? I've spoken to Terry before about the 40/20. Those were conservative numbers. 50/25 are still realistic numbers and are neither conservative or aggressive representations. Example: For the last month or so, we've been flowing at slightly under 40 mgd and we are not stretched at all. We can go to 50 mgd today if the agencies called on us in that manner. This is all to say 40 mgd as a limit is conservative and not necessarily realistic. (BTW of course, changing to 50/25 may affect some of the calcs in the report, which I will leave up to you re-calc as warranted).

Response:

The text was updated as requested. The in-lieu capacity calculations were also updated based on the updated information (see Table 2-4a).

Comment 3 – Chapter 2.3.3 In-Lieu Capacity

If the WFA agencies decided to lease a portable belt press to process sludge (like they did in 2007), WFA staff would estimate that with a reliable and rightly-sized belt press(es) we can treat water at rate of 70 MGD and 40 MGD in the summer and winter, respectively. (Caveat: the portable belt press utilized in the Spring months of 2007 worked wonderfully, but the WFA's experience with portable belt presses beyond this 2007 experience is slightly uncharted territory and the WFA's ability to perform at said higher flows would be very dependent on the portable belt press' reliability).

Response:

Comment noted.

CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT (CVWD) - JIWON SEUNG

Comment 1 – Chapter 2.1.2 Historical Recharge Activity

Include discussion of Chino Basin Water Conservation District and SB County Flood Control recharge activities.

Response:

Chapter 2.1.2 has been updated to describe the Chino Basin Water Conservation District and San Bernardino County Flood Control recharge activities.

Comment 2 – Chapter 2.3.1 Facilities Used to Effectuate In-Lieu Recharge

Lloyd W. Michael capacity pending discussion. Remove Royer-Nesbit.

Response:

Per a meeting between West Yost and CVWD staff on August 31, 2023, the Royer-Nesbit capacity was updated and assumed to be zero.

Comment 3 – Table 2-4a

Lloyd W. Michael capacity pending discussion. Remove Royer-Nesbit.

Response:

Per a meeting between West Yost and CVWD staff on August 31, 2023, the Royer-Nesbit capacity was updated and assumed to be zero.

Comment 4 – Chapter 2.4.2 Deficiencies in MS4 Facilities Documentation and Reporting

Instead of some of these planning studies (future extremes, long-term planning), it would be beneficial to improving the model if a project was implemented to work with land use agencies on coordinating MS4 projects or educating land use agencies on the importance of maintaining MS4 infiltration facilities.

Consider project for visual field inspections of facilities on the list to confirm that they have been constructed per the WQMP.

Response:

Comment noted.

Comment 5 – Chapter 3.3 Hydraulic Control

Figure 3-3 shows through 2018 and narrative states through 2023. Include note regarding model timeline.

Response:

The narrative has been updated to clarify that Figure 3-3 shows data through 2018 and that information regarding hydraulic control from 2018 to 2023 is based on the Chino Basin OBMP Maximum Benefit Annual Reports.

WEST YOST

Appendix C Response to Comments

Comment 6 – Figure 4-1

Update legend to show all categories.

Response:

Figure 4-1 was updated.

Comment 7 – Figure 4-3

Change to side-by-side bars and include note that wet water recharge for replenishment could be (not necessarily will be) used towards MZ1 requirement if recharged in MZ1.

Response:

Figure 4-3 was updated to show that replenishment obligation is used to meet the MZ1 recharge requirement.

Comment 8 – Chapter 7.4.3 R&R Implementation Plan

IEUA has robust asset management program and should be able to provide more detailed analysis, not just confirmation of assets and cost estimates.

Response:

As described in Chapter 7, the asset inventory is based on information provided by IEUA, including IEUA's FY2016/17 Asset Management Plan. Any additional steps to implement a Renewal and Replacement Plan as recommended in Chapter 7.4.3 would be conducted in coordination with IEUA to ensure there are no duplicative efforts.

Comment 9 – Chapter 8.1 Conclusions

Improving the MS4 program data set should be a priority.

Response:

Comment noted.

MONTE VISTA WATER DISTRICT (MVWD) – JUSTIN SCOTT-COE

Comment 1 – Page 1-6: "Figure 1-3. Estimated Streambed Infiltration for the Santa Ana River Tributaries in the Chino Basin and New Recharge Resulting from Recharge Master Plan Implementation, 1978-2018"

This figure does not include managed stormwater recharge in years prior to 2005, and appears to suggest that all managed recharge after 2005 was the result of the Chino Basin Facilities Improvement Program (CBFIP) that resulted from the 2001 RMP. The Chino Basin Water Conservation District had been conducting managed stormwater recharge for decades prior to 2005. The historical water budget included in the 2020 Safe Yield Reset Report (attached) shows not insignificant managed stormwater recharge occurring prior to 2004 (all years over 1 TAFY, with some close to 7 TAFY). In order to avoid misunderstanding, we recommend that Figure 1-3 include all historical managed stormwater recharge prior to 2005. And for managed stormwater recharge occurring 2005 and after, Figure 1-3 should distinguish between the amount that would have occurred without CBFIP and the amount that occurred due to CBFIP.

Response:

Figure 1-3 has been updated to show all historical managed stormwater recharge.

Comment 2 – Page 1-8: "When fully implemented, the 2013 RMPU will reduce the demand for SWP water by at least 4,800 afy and possibly by as much as 11,900 afy."

We recommend deleting or rewriting this statement, as increasing managed stormwater recharge does not directly reduce the demand for SWP water.

Response:

The sentence was removed.

Comment 3 – Page 1-11: "This chapter also provides..."

We recommend removing "also" from this sentence.

Response:

The sentence was updated.

Comment 4 – Pages 1-12/13: "The 2023 RMPU was developed through a stakeholder process. Watermaster convened several workshops with the Steering Committee through the Recharge Investigation & Projects Committee (RIPComm) over the course of developing the 2023 RMPU (from October 2022 to August 2023). At these workshops, the important assumptions and interim work products of the RMPU were presented. The presentations developed for these workshops were posted on the Watermaster's website. As part of the stakeholder process, the development of 2023 RMPU was open to comments by all stakeholders, and all comments were responded to and/or addressed. Appendix B contains the comments and responses."

We were unaware that the RIPComm was being used for stakeholder input on RMPU work product. No materials related to 2023 RMPU assumptions or interim RMPU work products appear to have been posted

Appendix C Response to Comments

on Watermaster's website where indicated under footnote 11 (<u>https://protect-us.mimecast.com/s/OTXICXDkYwcLQKrFmlUmR?domain=cbwm.org/</u>), nor do we recall them being circulated. This draft report is the first work product MVWD is aware of that has been distributed to stakeholders for review, with comments requested within 10 days of distribution.

Response:

As described in the FY 2021/22 Engineering Budget, "During FY 2020/21, the stakeholders determined that they do not want to evaluate new recharge projects in the 2023 RMPU. Thus, the 2023 RMPU will have a similar to scope as that of the 2018 RMPU." Due to the scope of the 2023 RMPU and its reliance on existing data and information such as the Data Collection and Evaluation reports, the 2022 State of the Basin, and the 2020 Safe Yield Recalculation, Watermaster focused on presenting new or updated information at RIPComm. This included a discussion on imported water availability and the most up-to-date analysis on MS4 projects. The RIPComm agendas of October 2022, and January and July 2023 included a 2023 RMPU agenda item and were distributed to all Watermaster stakeholders. The presentations with these materials have now been posted on Watermaster's website as documented in the report.

Comment 5 – Page 2-1: "As noted in Chapter 1, prior to 2004 there was no significant recharge of stormwater or dry-weather runoff."

See above comment re Figure 1-3. We recommend this be rewritten to recognize the activities of the Chino Basin Water Conservation District in recharging stormwater and dry-weather runoff prior to 2004 and up to today.

Response:

This sentence has been deleted and additional information has been added to Section 2.1.2 to include this information (see response to comment 6 below).

Comment 6 – Page 2-3: "Prior to 2004, there was no significant recharge of stormwater or dryweather runoff..."

See above comment re Figure 1-3. We recommend this be rewritten to recognize the activities of the Chino Basin Water Conservation District in recharging stormwater and dry-weather runoff prior to 2004 and up to today.

Response:

Chapter 2.1.2 has been updated to include the Chino Basin Water Conservation District and San Bernardino County Flood Control recharge activities.

Comment 7 – Page 2-3: "Through FY 2021/22, the recharge improvements constructed by Watermaster and the IEUA have enabled them to recharge about 545,400 af of storm and supplemental water into the Chino Basin."

Please clarify how this number was determined separate from the recharge that would have occurred without said recharge improvements constructed by Watermaster and the IEUA.

Response:

The text was updated to read that the recharge improvements constructed by Watermaster and the IEUA have enabled them to recharge about 500,000 af of storm and supplemental water into the Chino Basin. This number now accounts for an average of about 3,000 afy of recharge prior to 2004.

Comment 8 –Page 2-9: "The total in-lieu recharge for the period of FY 1977/78 through FY 2017/18 was about 430,000 af (WEI, 2018). Since FY 2017/18, an additional 78,000 af of in-lieu recharge has occurred, bringing the total in-lieu recharge over the Judgment period to about 508,000 af."

Please explain how these historical in-lieu recharge values were calculated. If the referenced 2018 Storage Framework Investigation report provides this information, please provide a page/table reference.

Response:

The text has been updated to explain how these values were estimated.

Comment 9 – Table 2-4a.

As MVWD is currently conducting in-lieu recharge into the Dry Year Yield Program account under current conditions, please explain the estimate of zero maximum in-lieu recharge capacity for MVWD under current conditions?

Response:

Appendix A now includes the information used to estimate in-lieu recharge calculations. Please note that Table 2-4a has been updated based on comment provided by WFA and it now shows that MVWD has an in-lieu recharge capacity of about 4,000 af.

Comment 10 – Figure 3-2c.

For the "Contour" legend entries, we believe "Spring 2000" should be changed to "Spring 2018".

Response:

The legend was updated to say "Spring 2018".

Comment 11 – Figure 4-1.

The legend appears incomplete (does not include labels for the last two dark green and grey colored bars).

Response:

Figure 4-1 was updated.

WEST YOST

Comment 12 – Page 4-5: "For the foreseeable future, the IEUA projects that it will recharge at least 3,490 afy of recycled water in MZ1, yielding a residual MZ1 recharge obligation of 3,010 afy of imported water recharge through 2030."

Under Section 8.4(e) of the Peace II Agreement, Watermaster was obligated by 2012 to evaluate the minimum recharge quantity needed for MZ1. Watermaster has not yet conducted this evaluation; therefore, Watermaster's residual MZ1 recharge obligation through 2030 is unknown at this time. Please revise this section of the report consistent with the Peace II Agreement.

Response:

The text was updated and now reads "For the foreseeable future, the IEUA projects that it will recharge at least 3,490 afy of recycled water in MZ1. Using an obligation of 6,500 afy, this yields a residual MZ1 recharge obligation of 3,010 afy of imported water recharge through 2030." The estimated residual is based on the obligation as it exists at this time. This value may be updated following further evaluation of the appropriate minimum, which will be part of the ongoing development of a MZ1 subsidence management plan.

Comment 13 – Page 4-5: "Figure 4-3 also shows the 6,500 afy supplemental water recharge obligation for MZ1 through 2030.".

Section 8.4(e) of the Peace II Agreement states: "In no circumstance will the commitment to recharge 6,500 acre-feet be reduced for the duration of the Peace Agreement." The Peace Agreement includes provisions for its potential extension for an additional 30 years. If the Peace Agreement is extended, the commitment to recharge 6,500 AFY will also be extended for the full duration (not only the initial term through 2030) of the Peace Agreement. Please revise this section of the report consistent with the Peace II Agreement.

Response:

The Peace II Agreement's requirements will expire when the Peace II Agreement terminates in 2030.

Comment 14 – Page 6-1: "...Watermaster is obligated to recharge at least 6,500 afy of supplemental water in MZ1 through 2030 per the Peace II Agreement. ... the additional supplemental water that must be recharged in MZ1 (through 2030) ..."

See above comments re Page 4-5. Please add the phrase "at least" before "2030."

Response:

See response to MVWD Comment 13.

Comment 15 – Page 6-5: "... continuing the recharge of 6,500 afy of supplemental water in MZ1 ..."

See above comments re Page 4-5. Please add the phrase "at least" before "6,500 afy."

Response:

The text has been adjusted as follows (additions marked in red): "This includes continuing the recharge of at least 6,500 afy of supplemental water in MZ1 until the next RMPU occurs in 2028 or the MZ1 subsidence management plan is completed."

WEST YOST

Comment 16 – Page 8-1: "No changes are recommended for the 6,500 afy supplemental water recharge obligation in MZ1 (Peace II Agreement)."

See above comments re Page 4-5. Please revise language contingent on the results of an evaluation of the minimum recharge quantity for MZ1, as required by the Peace II Agreement.

Response:

The text has been updated to include a footnote which reads "This value may be updated following further evaluation of the appropriate minimum, which will be part of the ongoing development of a MZ1 subsidence management plan."

<u>CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER</u> Case No. RCVRS 51010 Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the action within. My business address is Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730; telephone (909) 484-3888.

On October 10, 2023, I served the following:

- 1. DECLARATION OF BRADLEY J. HERREMA IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR COURT APPROVAL OF 2023 RECHARGE MASTER PLAN UPDATE
- /X / BY MAIL: in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed with postage thereon fully prepaid, for delivery by the United States Postal Service mail at Rancho Cucamonga, California, addresses as follows: See attached service list: Mailing List 1
- /___/ BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee.
- /__/ BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted said document by fax transmission from (909) 484-3890 to the fax number(s) indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting fax machine.
- /X / BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I transmitted notice of availability of electronic documents by electronic transmission to the email address indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting electronic mail device.
 See attached caprice list: Master Email Distribution List.

See attached service list: Master Email Distribution List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on October 10, 2023 in Rancho Cucamonga, California.

By: Ruby Favela Quintero Chino Basin Watermaster

PAUL HOFER 11248 S TURNER AVE ONTARIO, CA 91761

JEFF PIERSON 2 HEXAM IRVINE, CA 92603

.

•

Ruby Favela Quintero

Contact Group Name: Master Email Distribution

Categories:

Main Email Lists

Members:

Adrian Gomez Alan Frost Alberto Mendoza Alejandro R. Reyes Alexandria Moore Alexis Mascarinas Alfonso Ruiz Allen Hubsch Alma Heustis Alonso Jurado Alvssa Coronado Amanda Coker Amer Jakher Amy Bonczewski Andrew Gagen Andy Campbell Andy Malone Angelica Todd Anna Nelson Anthony Alberti April Robitaille Art Bennett Arthur Kidman Ashok Dhingra Ben Lewis Ben Markham Ben Peralta Ben Roden Benjamin M. Weink Beth.McHenry **Bill Schwartz** Bob Bowcock Bob DiPrimio Bob Feenstra Bob Kuhn Bob Kuhn Bob Page **Brad Herrema** Braden Yu **Bradley Jensen** Brandi Belmontes Brandi Goodman-Decoud Brandon Howard Brenda Fowler Brent Yamasaki Brian Dickinson Brian Geye Brian Lee **Bryan Smith** Carmen Sierra Carol Boyd

agomez@emeraldus.com Alan.Frost@dpw.sbcounty.gov Alberto.Mendoza@cmc.com arreyes@sgvwater.com amoore@cbwm.org AMascarinas@ontarioca.gov alfonso.ruiz@cmc.com ahubsch@hubschlaw.com alma.heustis@nucor.com ajurado@cbwm.org acoronado@sarwc.com amandac@cvwdwater.com AJakher@cityofchino.org ABonczewski@ontarioca.gov agagen@kidmanlaw.com acampbell@ieua.org amalone@westyost.com angelica.todd@ge.com atruongnelson@cbwm.org aalberti@sgvwater.com arobitaille@bhfs.com citycouncil@chinohills.org akidman@kidmanlaw.com ash@akdconsulting.com benjamin.lewis@gswater.com bmarkham@bhfs.com bperalta@tvmwd.com BenR@cvwdwater.com ben.weink@tetratech.com Beth.McHenry@hoferranch.com bschwartz@mvwd.org bbowcock@irmwater.com rjdiprimio@sqvwater.com bobfeenstra@gmail.com bgkuhn@aol.com bkuhn@tvmwd.com Bob.Page@rov.sbcounty.gov bherrema@bhfs.com Byu@ci.upland.ca.us bradley.jensen@cao.sbcounty.gov BBelmontes@ontarioca.gov bgdecoud@mvwd.org brahoward@niagarawater.com balee@fontanawater.com byamasaki@mwdh2o.com bdickinson65@gmail.com bgeye@autoclubspeedway.com blee@sawaterco.com bsmith@icsd.us carmens@cvwdwater.com Carol.Boyd@doj.ca.gov

2

Carolina Sanchezcsanchez@westyost.comCasey Costaccosta@chinodesalter.orgCassandra Hookschooks@niagarawater.comCathleen Pieroni - Inland Empire Utilities Agency (cpieroni@ieua.org)

Chad Blais Chander Letulle Charles Field Charles Moorrees Chino Hills City Council Chris Berch Chris Diggs Christiana Daisy Christopher M. Sanders Christopher R. Guillen Cindy Cisneros Cindy Li City of Chino, Administration Department

Courtney Jones Craig Miller **Craig Stewart** Cris Fealy **Curtis Burton** Dan McKinney Daniel Bobadilla Danny Kim Dave Argo Dave Crosley David Aladjem David De Jesus David Huynh Denise Garzaro Dennis Mejia Dennis Williams Derek Hoffman **Diana Frederick** Ed Means Eddie Lin (elin@ieua.org) Edgar Tellez Foster Eduardo Espinoza Edward Kolodziej Elizabeth M. Calciano Elizabeth P. Ewens **Elizabeth Willis** Eric Fordham Eric Garner Eric Grubb Eric N. Robinson Eric Papathakis Eric Tarango Erika Clement **Funice Ulloa**

chooks@niagarawater.com eroni@ieua.org) cpieroni@ieua.org cblais@ci.norco.ca.us cletulle@jcsd.us cdfield@att.net cmoorrees@sawaterco.com citycouncil@chinohills.org cberch@jcsd.us Chris_Diggs@ci.pomona.ca.us cdaisy@ieua.org cms@eslawfirm.com cguillen@bhfs.com cindyc@cvwdwater.com Cindy.li@waterboards.ca.gov

administration@cityofchino.org cjjones@ontarioca.gov CMiller@wmwd.com craig.stewart@wsp.com cifealy@fontanawater.com CBurton@cityofchino.org dmckinney@douglascountylaw.com dbobadilla@chinohills.org dkim@linklogistics.com daveargo46@icloud.com DCrosley@cityofchino.org daladjem@downeybrand.com ddejesus@tvmwd.com dhuynh@cbwm.org dgarzaro@ieua.org dmejia@ontarioca.gov dwilliams@geoscience-water.com dhoffman@fennemorelaw.com diana.frederick@cdcr.ca.gov edmeans@icloud.com elin@ieua.org etellezfoster@cbwm.org EduardoE@cvwdwater.com edward.kolodziej@ge.com ecalciano@hensleylawgroup.com elizabeth.ewens@stoel.com ewillis@cbwcd.org eric_fordham@geopentech.com eric.garner@bbklaw.com ericg@cvwdwater.com erobinson@kmtg.com Eric.Papathakis@cdcr.ca.gov edtarango@fontanawater.com Erika.clement@sce.com eulloa@cityofchino.org

Evette Ounanian Frank Yoo Fred Fudacz Fred Galante G. Michael Milhiser G. Michael Milhiser Garrett Rapp Gene Tanaka Geoffrey Kamansky Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel Gerald Yahr Gina Gomez Gina Nicholls Gino L. Filippi Gracie Torres Grant Mann Grea Woodside Gregor Larabee Ha T. Nguyen Henry DeHaan Insixiengmay, Maria Irene Islas Ivy Capili James Curatalo James Jenkins Janelle S.H. Krattiger, Esq Janine Wilson Jasmin A. Hall Jason Marseilles Jayne Joy Jean Cihigoyenetche Jeff Evers Jeff Mosher Jeffrey L. Pierson Jenifer Ryan Jennifer Hy-Luk Jeremy N. Jungries Jesse Pompa Jessie Ruedas Jim Markman Jim W. Bowman Jimmy Gutierrez - Law Offices of Jimmy Gutierrez

Jimmy L. Gutierrez Jimmy Medrano Jiwon Seung Joanne Chan Joao Feitoza Jody Roberto Joe Graziano Joe Joswiak

eulloa@cityofchino.org EvetteO@cvwdwater.com FrankY@cbwm.org ffudacz@nossaman.com fgalante@awattorneys.com directormilhiser@mvwd.org Milhiser@hotmail.com grapp@westyost.com Gene.Tanaka@bbklaw.com gkamansky@niagarawater.com geoffreyvh60@gmail.com yahrj@koll.com ggomez@ontarioca.gov gnicholls@nossaman.com Ginoffvine@aol.com gtorres@wmwd.com GMann@dpw.sbcounty.gov gwoodside@ocwd.com Gregor.Larabee@cdcr.ca.gov ha.nguyen@stoel.com Hdehaan1950@gmail.com Maria.Insixiengmay@cc.sbcounty.gov irene.islas@bbklaw.com ICapili@bhfs.com jamesc@cvwdwater.com cnomgr@airports.sbcounty.gov janelle.krattiger@stoel.com JWilson@cbwm.org jhall@ieua.org jmarseilles@ieua.org Jayne.Joy@waterboards.ca.gov Jean@thejclawfirm.com jevers@niagarawater.com jmosher@sawpa.org jpierson@intexcorp.com jryan@kmtg.com jhyluk@ieua.org jjungreis@rutan.com jpompa@jcsd.us Jessie@thejclawfirm.com jmarkman@rwglaw.com jbowman@ontarioca.gov

jimmylaredo@gmail.com Jimmy@City-Attorney.com Jaime.medrano2@cdcr.ca.gov JiwonS@cvwdwater.com jchan@wvwd.org joao.feitoza@cmc.com jroberto@tvmwd.com jgraz4077@aol.com JJoswiak@cbwm.org

Joel Ignacio John Bosler John Harper John Huitsing John Lopez John Lopez and Nathan Cole John Mendoza John Partridge John Russ John Schatz John Thornton Jordan Garcia Jose A Galindo Josh Swift Joshua Aguilar Justin Brokaw Justin Nakano Justin Scott-Coe Ph. D. Kaitlyn Dodson-Hamilton Karen Williams Kathleen Brundage Kathy Rockenstein Keith Person Kelli Hills (khills@cbwm.org) Kelly Ridenour Ken Waring Kevin O'Toole Kevin Sage kparker@katithewaterlady.com Krista Paterson Kristina Robb Kurt Berchtold **Kyle Brochard** Kyle Snay Laura Mantilla Laura Roughton Laura Yraceburu Lauren V. Neuhaus, Esg. Lee McElhaney Linda Jadeski Liz Hurst Lorena Heredia Mallory Gandara Manny Martinez Marcella Correa Marco Tule Maria Ayala Maria Mendoza Maribel Sosa Marilyn Levin Marissa Turner Mark D. Hensley Mark Wiley

jignacio@ieua.org johnb@cvwdwater.com jrharper@harperburns.com johnhuitsing@gmail.com jlopez@sarwc.com customerservice@sarwc.com jmendoza@tvmwd.com jpartridge@angelica.com jruss@ieua.org jschatz13@cox.net JThorntonPE@H2OExpert.net jgarcia@cbwm.org Jose.A.Galindo@linde.com jmswift@fontanawater.com jaguilar1@wmwd.com jbrokaw@marygoldmutualwater.com JNakano@cbwm.org jscottcoe@mvwd.org kaitlyn@tdaenv.com kwilliams@sawpa.org kathleen.brundage@californiasteel.com kathy.rockenstein@stoel.com keith.person@waterboards.ca.gov khills@cbwm.org KRIDENOUR@fennemorelaw.com kwaring@jcsd.us kotoole@ocwd.com Ksage@IRMwater.com kparker@katithewaterlady.com Kpaterson@kmtg.com KRobb@cc.sbcounty.gov kberchtold@gmail.com KBrochard@rwglaw.com kylesnay@gswater.com Imantilla@ieua.org lroughton@wmwd.com lyraceburu@bhfs.com lauren.neuhaus@stoel.com Imcelhaney@bmklawplc.com ljadeski@wvwd.org ehurst@ieua.org lheredia@ieua.org MGandara@wmwd.com directormartinez@mvwd.org MCorrea@rwglaw.com mtule@ieua.org mayala@jcsd.us mmendoza@westyost.com msosa@ci.pomona.ca.us marilyn.levin@doj.ca.gov mturner@tvmwd.com mhensley@hensleylawgroup.com mwiley@chinohills.org

Marlene B. Wiman Martin Cihigoyenetche Martin Rauch Martin Zvirbulis Matthew H. Litchfield May Atencio Melanie Trevino Michael Adler Michael B. Brown, Esq. Michael Fam Michael Hurley Michael Mayer Michael P. Thornton Michelle Licea Mike Gardner Mike Maestas Miriam Garcia mmarti47@vahoo.com Monica Nelson Moore, Toby **MWDProgram** Nadia Aquirre Natalie Avila Natalie Costaglio Nathan deBoom Neetu Gupta Nichole Horton Nick Jacobs Nicole deMoet Nicole Escalante Noah Golden-Krasner Oscar Ramos Paul Deutsch Paul Hofer Paul Hofer Paul S. Leon Pete Hall Pete Vicario Peter Hettinga Peter Kavounas Peter Rogers Rebekah Walker **Richard Anderson Richard Rees** Rickey S. Manbahal Robert C. Hawkins Robert DeLoach Robert E. Donlan Robert Neufeld Robert Wagner Ron Craig Ron LaBrucherie, Jr. Ronald C. Pietersma

mwiman@nossaman.com marty@thejclawfirm.com martin@rauchcc.com mezvirbulis@sqvwater.com mlitchfield@tvmwd.com matencio@fontana.org Mtrevino@jcsd.us michael.adler@mcmcnet.net michael.brown@stoel.com mfam@dpw.sbcounty.gov mhurley@ieua.org Michael.Mayer@dpw.sbcounty.gov mthornton@tkeengineering.com mlicea@mvwd.org mgardner@wmwd.com mikem@cvwdwater.com mgarcia@ieua.org mmarti47@yahoo.com mnelson@ieua.org TobyMoore@gswater.com MWDProgram@sdcwa.org naguirre@tvmwd.com navila@cityofchino.org natalie.costaglio@mcmcnet.net n8deboom@gmail.com ngupta@ieua.org Nichole.Horton@pomonaca.gov njacobs@somachlaw.com ndemoet@ci.upland.ca.us NEscalante@ontarioca.gov Noah.goldenkrasner@doj.ca.gov omramos@sgvwater.com paul.deutsch@woodplc.com farmerhofer@aol.com farmwatchtoo@aol.com pleon@ontarioca.gov rpetehall@gmail.com PVicario@cityofchino.org peterhettinga@yahoo.com PKavounas@cbwm.org progers@chinohills.org rwalker@jcsd.us horsfly1@yahoo.com richard.rees@wsp.com smanbahal@wvwd.org RHawkins@earthlink.net robertadeloach1@gmail.com red@eslawfirm.com robneu1@yahoo.com rwagner@wbecorp.com Rcraig21@icloud.com ronLaBrucherie@gmail.com rcpietersma@aol.com

Ruben Llamas Ruby Favela Ryan Shaw Sam Nelson Sam Rubenstein Sandra S. Rose Sarah Foley Scott Burton Scott Slater Seth J. Zielke Shawnda M. Grady Shivaji Deshmukh Sonya Barber Sonya Zite SRamirez@kmtg.com Stephanie Reimer Stephen Deitsch Steve Kennedy Steve M. Anderson Steve Nix Steve Riboli Steve Smith Steven Andrews Engineering Steven Flower Steven J. Elie Steven J. Elie Steven Popelar Steven Raughley Susan Palmer Sylvie Lee Tammi Ford Tarig Awan **Tarren Torres** Taya Victorino Teri Layton Terri Whitman Terry Catlin **Terry Watkins** Thomas S. Bunn Tim Barr Tim Kellett Tim Moore **Timothy Ryan** Toby Moore Tom Barnes Tom Bunn Tom Cruikshank Tom Dodson (tda@tdaenv.com) Tom Harder Tom O'Neill Toni Medell Tony Long Toyasha Sebbag

rllamas71@yahoo.com rfavela@cbwm.org RShaw@wmwd.com snelson@ci.norco.ca.us srubenstein@wpcarey.com directorrose@mvwd.org Sarah.Foley@bbklaw.com sburton@ontarioca.gov sslater@bhfs.com sjzielke@fontanawater.com sgrady@eslawfirm.com sdeshmukh@ieua.org sbarber@ci.upland.ca.us szite@wmwd.com SRamirez@kmtg.com SReimer@mvwd.org stephen.deitsch@bbklaw.com skennedy@bmklawplc.com steve.anderson@bbklaw.com snix@ci.upland.ca.us steve.riboli@sanantoniowinery.com ssmith@ieua.org sandrews@sandrewsengineering.com sflower@rwglaw.com s.elie@mpglaw.com selie@ieua.org spopelar@jcsd.us Steven.Raughley@isd.sbcounty.gov spalmer@kidmanlaw.com slee@tvmwd.com tford@wmwd.com Tariq.Awan@cdcr.ca.gov tarren@egoscuelaw.com tayav@cvwdwater.com tlayton@sawaterco.com TWhitman@kmtg.com tlcatlin@wfajpa.org Twatkins@geoscience-water.com tombunn@lagerlof.com tbarr@wmwd.com tkellett@tvmwd.com tmoore@westyost.com tjryan@sqvwater.com TobyMoore@gswater.com tbarnes@esassoc.com TomBunn@Lagerlof.com tcruikshank@linklogistics.com tda@tdaenv.com tharder@thomashardercompany.com toneill@chinodesalter.org mmedel@mbakerintl.com tlong@angelica.com tsebbag@cbwcd.org

Tracy J. Egoscue Veva Weamer Victor Preciado Vivian Castro Wade Fultz WestWater Research, LLC William Brunick William McDonnell William Urena tracy@egoscuelaw.com vweamer@westyost.com Victor_Preciado@ci.pomona.ca.us vcastro@cityofchino.org Wade.Fultz@cmc.com research@waterexchange.com bbrunick@bmklawplc.com wmcdonnell@ieua.org wurena@emeraldus.com