1	ELIZABETH P. EWENS (SB #213046)	
2	elizabeth.ewens@stoel.com MICHAEL B. BROWN (SB #179222)	
3	michael.brown@stoel.com JANELLE S.H. KRATTIGER (SB #299076)	
4	janelle.krattiger@stoel.com LAUREN V. NEUHAUS (SB #327698)	
5	lauren.neuhaus@stoel.com STOEL RIVES LLP	
6	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814	
7	Telephone: 916.447.0700 Facsimile: 916.447.4781	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
8	Attorneys for	PURSUANT TO GOV. CODE, § 6103
9	City of Ontario	
10		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	
12	COUNTY OF SAN	
13	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT,	CASE NO. RCVRS 51010
14	Plaintiff,	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO HONORABLE GILBERT G. OCHOA
15	v.	RESPONSE TO INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY'S OBJECTIONS TO
16	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,	CITY OF ONTARIO'S SUPPORTING EVIDENCE RE APPLICATION FOR AN
17	Defendants.	ORDER TO EXTEND TIME
18		Hearing: Date: August 31, 2022
19		Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept. S24
20		521
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	_	1-

STOEL RIVES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SACRAMENTO STOEL RIVES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SACRAMENTO

RESPONSE

The City of Ontario hereby responds to Inland Empire Utilities Agency's Objections to Supporting Evidence re Application for an Order to Extend Time as follows:

OBJECTIONS TO ONTARIO'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE I.

Items Subject to Objection	General Objections	Ontario's Response to Objection
Paragraphs 1 – 61	This entire evidentiary	A court has discretion on
(entire Request)	record relied upon by	whether to accept new
	Ontario should have been	evidence filed with a reply.
	submitted with Ontario's	(Alliant Ins. Services, Inc.
	initial application. These	v. Gaddy (2008) 159
	items greatly increase the	Cal.App.4th 1292, 1307-
	scope of Ontario's	1308.) There are also
	application in violation	several exceptions to the
	of the Court's	general rule that permits a
	instructions. These items	court to consider new
	are thus irrelevant to the	evidence filed with a reply
	matter before the Court	brief including, as
	(Evid. Code section 210).	pertinent here, evidence
	Admission of these items	that responds to arguments
	in support of Ontario's	made for the first time in
	reply would deprive	an opposition brief and
	opposing parties of an	when good cause exists for
	opportunity to respond as	why the moving party was
	required by due process.	unable to raise the
	(See San Diego	arguments prior to the
	Watercrafts, Inc. v. Wells	reply brief. (Jacobs v.
	Fargo Bank, N.A. 102	Coldwell Banker
	Cal.App.4th 308, 316	Residential Brokerage Co.
	(2002) (due process	(2017) 14 Cal.App.5th
	requires a party be fully	438, 449; <i>Neighbours v.</i>
	advised of the issues to be	Buzz Oats Enterprises
	addressed and be given	(1990) 217 Cal.App.3d
	adequate notice of what	325, 335.) Moreover,
	facts it must rebut in	Ontario's RJN consists
	order to prevail);	entirely of previous court
	American Drug Stores,	orders or pleadings filed
	Inc. v. Stroh 10	with the Court or appellate
	Cal.App.4th 1446, 1453	court or Watermaster's
	(1992) ("[p]oints raised for	own documents. Of the 61
	the first time in a reply	documents contained in
	brief will ordinarily not be	Ontario's RJN, 16 are
	considered, because such	pleadings and orders
	consideration would -2-	previously filed with the

	-
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	

Items Subject to Objection	General Objections	Ontario's Response to Objection
	deprive the respondent of an opportunity to counter the argument"); Neighbours v. Buzz Oates Enterprises 217 Cal.App.3d 325, 335, fn. 8 (1990) ("the rule is that points raised in the reply brief for the first time will not be considered, unless good reason is shown for failure to present them before."") Sustained:Overruled:	Court or appellate court and the 45 remaining documents are Watermaster documents, including assessment packages, agendas, staff reports and presentations, agreements, or rules and regulations. These documents do not constitute new evidence or new subject matter but rather are documents already in the Court's record in the adjudication or in Watermaster's records that were provided via RJN for the Court's convenience.

II. OBJECTIONS TO THE DECLARATION OF COURTNEY JONES

Declaration Testimony	General Objections	Ontario's Response to Objection
Paragraphs 1 - 67 (entire declaration)	The issue presented is whether Ontario should be granted relief from Watermaster's decision	Evidence is relevant if it has "any tendency in reason to prove or disprove any disputed fact
	not to extend Ontario's time to challenge the 2021/2022 assessment package. Nothing in the declaration addresses the issue before the court	that is of consequence to the determination of the matter." (Evid. Code, § 210.) "Relevance is a low bar." (<i>People v. Villa</i> (2020) 55 Cal.App.5th
	therefore the declaration, in its entirety, is comprised of irrelevant testimony (Evid. Code section 210).	1042, 1052, review denied.) Ms. Jones' declaration addresses the history and operation of the DYY Program and
	Sustained:Overruled:	assessments related thereto, which is the subject of Ontario's challenge to Watermaster's adoption of the FY 2021-22

1	Declaration Testimony	General Objections	Ontario's Response to Objection
2			Assessment Package. Ms.
3			Jones' declaration further
,			provides information and
4			evidence related to issues
5			raised by the IEUA, Watermaster, Cucamonga
6			Valley Water District
0			("CVWD") and Fontana
7			Water Company ("FWC")
8			(collectively, the "Opposing Parties") in
			their Opposition briefs,
9			including prior
10			amendments to the DYY
11			Program and cost shifting
11			and financial impact of 2019 Letter Agreement.
12	Paragraph 4, p.2, lines 15-	Calls for a legal conclusion	Declarant's statement is
13	17.	(Evid. Code 310); no	based on her personal
		foundation, declarant cannot	knowledge of events, and
14		opine on the legal effect of a document (Evid. Code	her position as Water Resources and Regulatory
15		section 702, 803).	Affairs Director for Ontario.
1.0			(Evid. Code, § 702; Jones
16		Sustained:Overruled:	Decl., ¶ 2.) Declarant's
17			statement is not a legal
18			opinion but, rather, an observation based on the
10			personal knowledge of the
19			witness and admissible as a
20			rationally based, helpful lay
			opinion. (Evid. Code, § 800.)
21	Paragraph 5, P. 2, lines 18 -	Calls for a legal conclusion	Declarant's statement is
22	22	(Evid. Code section 310);	based on her personal
23		no foundation (Evid. Code	knowledge of events, and
23		section 702); irrelevant	her position as Water Resources and Regulatory
24		(Evid. Code section 210).	Affairs Director for Ontario.
25		Sustained:Overruled:	(Evid. Code, § 702; Jones
			Decl., ¶ 2.) Declarant's
26			statement is not a legal
27			opinion but, rather, an observation based on the
			personal knowledge of the
28			1 1

1 2	Declaration Testimony	General Objections	Ontario's Response to Objection
3 4			witness and admissible as a rationally based, helpful lay opinion. (Evid. Code, § 800.)
5			Declarant established the
6			bases for her testimony as the Water Resources and
7			Regulatory Affairs Director for Ontario. (Evid. Code,
8			§ 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) In
9 10			that capacity, she has personal knowledge of DYY Program agreements and
11			Watermaster Approval Process.
12			Declarant's statement
13			regarding the operation of the DYY Program and
14			Watermaster Approval
15			Process relate to the Ontario's challenge to
16			Watermaster's adoption of the FY 2021-22
17			Assessment Package and are relevant. It is further
18			relevant to address issues
19			raised by the Objecting Parties in their Opposition
20	Paragraph 6, P. 3, lines 1-13	No foundation (Evid. Code	briefs. Declarant established the
21		section 702); irrelevant (Evid. Code section 210).	bases for her testimony as the Water Resources and
22		, ,	Regulatory Affairs Director
23		Sustained:Overruled:	for Ontario. (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) In
24			that capacity, she has personal knowledge of DYY
25			Program agreements and
26			Watermaster Approval Process.
27 28			Declarant's statement regarding prior amendments

Declaration Testimony	General Objections	Ontario's Response to Objection
		to the DYY Program vs.
		the 2019 Letter Agreement relate to the Ontario's
		challenge to Watermaster's adoption of the FY 2021-
		22 Assessment Package
		and are relevant. It is further relevant to address
		issues raised by the
		Objecting Parties in their Opposition briefs.
Paragraph 7, P. 3, lines 14-26	No foundation (Evid. Code section 702); the declarant	Declarant established the bases for her testimony for
	has not established a foundation of firsthand	matters prior to 2021 through her previous
	knowledge regarding documents dated before	positions of Senior Associate Civil Engineer
	2021; calls for hearsay	and Water Resources
	(Evid. Code section 1200). The declarant testifies from	Manager. (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) In
	watermaster staff report	that capacity, she has
	and is therefore based upon hearsay.	personal knowledge of prior amendments to the DYY
	Sustained: Overruled:	Program and Watermaster Approval Process.
		Declarant's reference to a statement in the
		Watermaster's staff report is a party admission and not
		hearsay. (Evid. Code, § 1220.)
Paragraph 11, P. 5, lines 2 -	Calls for a legal conclusion (Evid. Code section 310);	Declarant's statement is based on her personal
7	no foundation (Evid. Code	knowledge of events, and
	section 702).	her position as Water Resources and Regulatory
	Sustained:Overruled:	Affairs Director for Ontario.
		(Evid. Code, § 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) Declarant's
		statement is not a legal
		opinion but, rather, an
		observation based on the

Declaration Testimony	General Objections	Ontario's Response to Objection
		rationally based, helpful lay opinion. (Evid. Code, § 800.)
		Declarant established the bases for her testimony as the Water Resources and Regulatory Affairs Director for Ontario. (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) In that capacity, she has personal knowledge of Watermaster authority pursuant to the Judgment.
Paragraph 12, P. 5, lines 8 - 14	Calls for hearsay (Evid. Code section 1200). The declarant testifies from a hearsay document and therefore the testimony is based upon hearsay; no foundation for the documents referred to	The Judgment is properly admitted as an Official Record pursuant to Evidence Code section 1280.
	(Evid. Code section 702). Sustained:Overruled:	
Paragraph 13, P. 5, lines 26 27	(Evid. Code section 310); no foundation (Evid. Code section 702).	Declarant's statement is based on her personal knowledge of events, and her position as Water
	Sustained:Overruled:	Resources and Regulatory Affairs Director for Ontario (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones
		Decl., ¶ 2.) Declarant's statement is not a legal
		opinion but, rather, an observation based on the personal knowledge of the
		witness and admissible as a rationally based, helpful lay
		opinion. (Evid. Code, § 800.)
		Declarant established the
	4	bases for her testimony as

Declaration Testimony	General Objections	Ontario's Response to Objection
		the Water Resources and Regulatory Affairs Director for Ontario. (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) In that capacity, she has personal knowledge of the 2019 Letter Agreement, how it was noticed, and how it differs from prior agreements.
Paragraphs 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18	Calls for hearsay (Evid. Code section 1200). The declarant testifies from a hearsay document and therefore the testimony is based upon hearsay; no foundation for the documents referred to	These documents are properly admitted as Business Records, pursuant to Evidence Code section 1271, and Official Records, pursuant to Evidence Code section
	(Evid. Code section 702). Sustained:Overruled:	1280.
Paragraphs 20, 21, 22 and 23, p. 6-7, lines 25-18	Calls for hearsay (Evid. Code section 1200). The declarant testifies from a hearsay document, therefore the testimony is based upon hearsay; no	These documents are properly admitted as Business Records, pursuant to Evidence Code section 1271, and Official
	foundation for the documents referred to (Evid. Code section 702).	Records, pursuant to Evidence Code section 1280.
	Sustained:Overruled:	
Paragraph 24, P. 7, lines 19- 21	Calls for a legal conclusion (Evid. Code	Declarant's statement is based on her personal
	section 310); no foundation (Evid. Code section 702).	knowledge of events, and her position as Water Resources and Regulatory
	Sustained:Overruled:	Affairs Director for Ontario. (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) Declarant's
		statement is not a legal opinion but, rather, an observation based on the

-8-

1	Declaration Testimony	General Objections	Ontario's Response to Objection
3			personal knowledge of the witness and admissible as a rationally based, helpful lay
5			opinion. (Evid. Code, § 800.)
6			Declarant established the
7			bases for her testimony as the Water Resources and
8			Regulatory Affairs Director
9			for Ontario. (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) In
10			that capacity, she has personal knowledge of the
11			DYY Program.
12	Paragraph 31, P. 9, lines 14-17	Calls for a legal conclusion (Evid. Code section 310); no foundation	Declarant's statement is based on her personal knowledge of events, and
13		(Evid. Code section 702).	her position as Water Resources and Regulatory
14 15		Sustained:Overruled:	Affairs Director for Ontario. (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones
16			Decl., ¶ 2.) Declarant's statement is not a legal
17			opinion but, rather, an
18			observation based on the personal knowledge of the
19			witness and admissible as a rationally based, helpful lay
20			opinion. (Evid. Code, § 800.)
21			
22			Declarant established the bases for her testimony as
23			the Water Resources and
24			Regulatory Affairs Director for Ontario. (Evid. Code,
25			§ 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) In that capacity, she has
26			personal knowledge of the DYY Program.
27			

115992281.1 0077104-00001

1	Declaration Testimony	General Objections	Ontario's Response to
2	Paragraphs 32, 33, 34 and	No foundation (Evid. Code	Objection Declarant established the
3	35, P.9-10, lines 21-20	section 702) the declarant has not established a	bases for her testimony for matters prior to 2021
5		foundation of firsthand knowledge regarding	through her previous positions of Senior
6		documents dated before 2021; calls for hearsay	Associate Civil Engineer and Water Resources
7		(Evid. Code section 1200). The declarant testifies from	Manager. (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) In
8		a hearsay document and therefore the testimony is	that capacity, she has personal knowledge of prior amendments to the DYY
9		based upon hearsay. Sustained: Overruled:	Program and Watermaster Approval Process.
11			Declarant's reference to
12			transcripts is admissible as Business Records and/or a
13			party admission and not hearsay. (Evid. Code,
14			§§ 1220 1271.)
15	Paragraph 39, P. 11, lines	Calls for a legal conclusion (Evid. Code	Declarant's statement is based on her personal
16	21 -23	section 310); no foundation (Evid. Code section 702).	knowledge of events, and her position as Water
17			Resources and Regulatory
18		Sustained:Overruled:	Affairs Director for Ontario. (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones
19			Decl., ¶ 2.) Declarant's statement is not a legal
20			opinion but, rather, an
21			observation based on the personal knowledge of the
22			witness and admissible as a
23			rationally based, helpful lay opinion. (Evid. Code,
24			§ 800.)
25			Declarant established the
26			bases for her testimony as the Water Resources and
27			Regulatory Affairs Director
28			for Ontario. (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) In
LLP		-10-	1 0 / ,

Declaration Testimony	General Objections	Ontario's Response to Objection
		that capacity, she has personal knowledge of the
		DYY Program and requirements for changing the DYY Program.
Paragraph 45, P. 12, lines	Calls for a legal	Declarant's statement is
18- 22	conclusion (Evid. Code section 310); no foundation	based on her personal knowledge of events, and
	(Evid. Code section 702).	her position as Water
	Sustained:Overruled:	Resources and Regulatory Affairs Director for Ontario
		(Evid. Code, § 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) Declarant's
		statement is not a legal
		opinion but, rather, an observation based on the
		personal knowledge of the witness and admissible as a
		rationally based, helpful lay
		opinion. (Evid. Code, § 800.)
		Declarant established the bases for her testimony as
		the Water Resources and
		Regulatory Affairs Director for Ontario. (Evid. Code,
		§ 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) In that capacity, she has
		personal knowledge of the DYY Program and
		assessments under the DYY
D 1.40 D 10.11		Program.
Paragraph 48, P. 13, lines	Calls for a legal conclusion (Evid. Code	Declarant's statement is based on her personal
11-17	section 310); no foundation (Evid. Code section 702).	knowledge of events, and her position as Water
		Resources and Regulatory
	Sustained:Overruled:	Affairs Director for Ontario (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones
		Decl., ¶ 2.) Declarant's statement is not a legal

1	Declaration Testimony	General Objections	Ontario's Response to Objection
2			opinion but, rather, an observation based on the
4			personal knowledge of the witness and admissible as a
5 6			rationally based, helpful lay opinion. (Evid. Code, § 800.)
7			Declarant established the
8			bases for her testimony as the Water Resources and Regulatory Affairs Director
9			for Ontario. (Evid. Code, § 702; Jones Decl., ¶ 2.) In
10			that capacity, she has personal knowledge of the
11 12			DYY Program and assessments under the DYY
13			Program.

OBJECTIONS TO THE DECLARATION OF SCOTT BURTON III.

Declaration Testimony:	Objections:	Ontario's Response to Objection:
Paragraph 4, P. 2, lines 18 - 22 "Under the 1978 Chino Basin Judgment ("Judgment"), this production should have been assessed. The Watermaster waived assessments for 2 Parties of the Chino Groundwater Basin, the Cucamonga Valley Water District ("CV WD") and the Fontana Water Company ("FWC") inconsistent with the	Calls for a legal conclusion (Evid. Code section 310); no foundation (Evid. Code section 702). Sustained:Overruled:	Objection: Declarant established the bases for his testimony as the Utilities General Manager for Ontario. (Evid. Code, § 702; Burton Decl., ¶ 2.) In that capacity, he has personal knowledge of the Judgment and assessments under the DYY Program. Declarant's statement is not a legal opinion but, rather, an observation based on the
judgment.		personal knowledge of the witness and admissible as a rationally based, helpful lay opinion. (Evid. Code, § 800.)

1 2	Paragraph 8, P. 3, lines 9 to 13	No foundation (Evid. Code section 702; calls for	Declarant established the bases for his testimony as
3		hearsay (Evid. Code section 1200). The	the Utilities General Manager for Ontario. (Evid.
4		declarant is testifying on behalf of "Ontario" with no	Code, § 702; Burton Decl., ¶ 2.) In that capacity, he has
5		indication of the declarant's	personal knowledge of the
6		involvement or personal knowledge of the matter	meetings between Ontario, CVWD, and FWC.
		stated.	
7		Sustained:Overruled:	
8	Paragraph 15, P. 4, lines 19	Calls for a legal conclusion	Declarant established the
9	-21	(Evid. Code section 310); no foundation (Evid.	bases for his testimony as the Utilities General
10		Code section 702); irrelevant (Evid. Code	Manager for Ontario. (Evid. Code, § 702; Burton Decl.,
11		section 210).	¶ 2.) In that capacity, he has
12		Sustained:Overruled:	personal knowledge of the Judgment and assessments
13			under the DYY Program.
14			Declarant's statement is not
15			a legal opinion but, rather, an observation based on the
16			personal knowledge of the witness and admissible as a
17			rationally based, helpful lay
18			opinion. (Evid. Code, § 800.)
19			Declarant's statement
20			regarding notice or, more
21			precisely, lack of notice, that Ontario received
22			regarding Watermaster Board meetings
23			immediately preceding
24			Ontario's Application are relevant and relate to
25			matters raised therein.
26			
27			
28		-13-	

1 2	Paragraphs 16, 17,18, 19, 20 and 21 P. 5, lines 3-16	Irrelevant (Evid. Code section 210). This testimony exceeds the scope of the original	Declarant's statements regarding Ontario's efforts to secure new counsel are relevant and relate to (1)
3		motion filed by Ontario	Ontario's bases for
4		herein. The declarant's efforts to secure counsel	applying for an order to extend time under the
5		are not relevant to the subject challenge to	judgment to file its challenge to the 2021-2022
6		Watermaster's discretionary action.	Assessment Package; (2) good cause for raising
7			additional information in
8		Sustained:Overruled:	Ontario's Reply; and (3) to respond to issues raised by
9			Objecting Parties in their Oppositions.
10	g		у орровисия.
11	Dated: July 1, 2022	STOEL RI	VES LLP
12	24003 . 33.37 x, = 1 = 1		4.
13		By:	
14		ELIZA	BETH P. EWENS AEL B. BROWN
15		JANEL	LLE S.H. KRATTIGER
16		Attorne	
17		City of	Ontario
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

-14-

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Case No. RCVRS 51010

Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

<u>/ X /</u>

correct.

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730; telephone (909) 484-3888.

1. RESPONSE TO INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY'S OBJECTIONS TO CITY OF

On July 1, 2022 I served the following:

	TIME
<u>'X</u> /	BY MAIL: in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed with postage thereon fully prepaid, for delivery by United States Postal Service mail at Rancho Cucamonga, California, addresses as follows: See attached service list: Master Email Distribution List
/	BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee.
/	BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted said document by fax transmission from (909) 484-3890 to the fax number(s) indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting fax machine.

transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting electronic mail device.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I transmitted notice of availability of electronic documents by electronic transmission to the email address indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the

Executed on July 1, 2022 in Rancho Cucamonga, California.

By: Janine Wilson

Chino Basin Watermaster

li boer

PAUL HOFER 11248 S TURNER AVE ONTARIO, CA 91761

JEFF PIERSON 2 HEXAM IRVINE, CA 92603

Members:

Agnes Cheng Al Lopez

Alan Frost Alberto Mendoza Alejandro R. Reyes Alexis Mascarinas Alfonso Ruiz

Allen Hubsch Alma Heustis

Alonso Jurado Amanda Coker Amer Jakher Amy Bonczewski Andrew Gagen Andy Campbell Andy Malone Angelica Todd

Angelo Simoes Anna Nelson April Robitaille Armando Martinez

Art Bennett Arthur Kidman Ashok Dhingra Ben Lewis Ben Peralta

Benjamin M. Weink Beth.McHenry

Betty Anderson Betty Folsom Bill Schwartz **Bob Bowcock Bob DiPrimio** Bob Feenstra Bob Kuhn Bob Kuhn

Bob Page Brad Herrema Braden Yu Bradley Jensen

Brandi Goodman-Decoud

Brandon Howard Brenda Fowler Brent Yamasaki Brian Dickinson Brian Geye Brian Lee Bryan Smith

Carmen Sierra Carol Boyd Carolina Sanchez agnes.cheng@cc.sbcounty.gov

alopez@wmwd.com

Alan.Frost@dpw.sbcounty.gov Alberto.Mendoza@cmc.com arreyes@sqvwater.com AMascarinas@ontarioca.gov alfonso.ruiz@cmc.com ahubsch@hubschlaw.com alma.heustis@californiasteel.com

ajurado@cbwm.org amandac@cvwdwater.com AJakher@cityofchino.org ABonczewski@ontarioca.gov agagen@kidmanlaw.com acampbell@ieua.org amalone@westyost.com angelica.todd@ge.com Angelo.Simoes@linde.com

atruongnelson@cbwm.org arobitaille@bhfs.com amartinez@fontana.org citycouncil@chinohills.org akidman@kidmanlaw.com ash@akdconsulting.com benjamin.lewis@gswater.com bperalta@tvmwd.com

ben.weink@tetratech.com Beth.McHenry@hoferranch.com

banderson@jcsd.us bfolsom@jcsd.us bschwartz@mvwd.org bbowcock@irmwater.com ridiprimio@sgvwater.com bobfeenstra@gmail.com bkuhn@tvmwd.com bgkuhn@aol.com

Bob.Page@rov.sbcounty.gov

bherrema@bhfs.com Byu@ci.upland.ca.us

bradley.jensen@cao.sbcounty.gov

bgdecoud@mvwd.org

brahoward@niagarawater.com balee@fontanawater.com byamasaki@mwdh2o.com bdickinson65@gmail.com bgeye@autoclubspeedway.com

blee@sawaterco.com bsmith@icsd.us

carmens@cvwdwater.com Carol.Boyd@doj.ca.gov csanchez@westyost.com

Casey Costa
Cassandra Hooks
Catharine Irvine
Chad Blais
Chander Letulle
Charles Field
Charles Moorrees
Chino Hills City Council

Chris Berch
Chris Diggs
Christiana Daisy
Christofer Coppinger
Christopher M. Sanders
Christopher Quach
Christopher R. Guillen
Cindy Cisneros

Cindy Li
Courtney Jones
Craig Miller
Craig Stewart
Cris Fealy
Dan Arrighi
Dan McKinney

Daniel Bobadilla
Danny Kim
Dave Argo
Dave Crosley
David Aladjem
David De Jesus
David Huynh
Dawn Forgeur
Dawn Martin
Denise Garzaro
Dennis Mejia
Dennis Williams
Diana Frederick

Ed Means

Edgar Tellez Foster
Eduardo Espinoza
Edward Kolodziej
Elizabeth M. Calciano
Elizabeth P. Ewens
Elizabeth Skrzat
Eric Fordham
Eric Garner
Eric Grubb
Eric Papathakis
Eric Tarango
Erika Clement

Frank Brommenschenkel

Frank Yoo

Eunice Ulloa

Evette Ounanian

ccosta@chinodesalter.org chooks@niagarawater.com cirvine@DowneyBrand.com cblais@ci.norco.ca.us cletulle@jcsd.us cdfield@att.net

cmoorrees@sawaterco.com citycouncil@chinohills.org

cberch@jcsd.us

Chris_Diggs@ci.pomona.ca.us

cdaisy@ieua.org

ccoppinger@geoscience-water.com

cms@eslawfirm.com cquach@ontarioca.gov cguillen@bhfs.com cindyc@cvwdwater.com Cindy.li@waterboards.ca.gov cjjones@ontarioca.gov CMiller@wmwd.com

craig.stewart@woodplc.com cifealy@fontanawater.com darrighi@sgvwater.com

dmckinney@douglascountylaw.com

dbobadilla@chinohills.org dkim@linklogistics.com daveargo46@icloud.com DCrosley@cityofchino.org daladjem@downeybrand.com

ddejesus@tvmwd.com dhuynh@cbwm.org dawn.forgeur@stoel.com Dawn.Martin@cc.sbcounty.gov

dgarzaro@ieua.org dmejia@ontarioca.gov

dwilliams@geoscience-water.com diana.frederick@cdcr.ca.gov edmeans@roadrunner.com etellezfoster@cbwm.org EduardoE@cvwdwater.com edward.kolodziej@ge.com ecalciano@hensleylawgroup.com elizabeth.ewens@stoel.com

ESkrzat@cbwcd.org

eric_fordham@geopentech.com

eric.garner@bbklaw.com ericg@cvwdwater.com Eric.Papathakis@cdcr.ca.gov edtarango@fontanawater.com

Erika.clement@sce.com eulloa@cityofchino.org EvetteO@cvwdwater.com frank.brommen@verizon.net

FrankY@cbwm.org

Fred Fudacz Fred Galante Garrett Rapp Gene Tanaka Geoffrey Kamansky Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel

Gerald Yahr
Gina Gomez
Gina Nicholls
Gino L. Filippi
Gracie Torres
Grant Mann
Greg Woodside
Gregor Larabee
Ha T. Nguyen
Henry DeHaan
Irene Islas
James Curatalo
James Jenkins
James McKenzie
Jane Anderson

Janelle S.H. Krattiger, Esq

Janine Wilson Jasmin A. Hall Jason Marseilles Jason Pivovaroff

Jayne Joy

Jean Cihigoyenetche

Jeff Evers
Jeff Mosher
Jeffrey L. Pierson
Jennifer Hy-Luk
Jeremy N. Jungries
Jessie Ruedas
Jim Markman
Jim W. Bowman

Jimmy Gutierrez - Law Offices of Jimmy Gutierrez

Jimmy L. Gutierrez Jimmy Medrano Jiwon Seung Joanne Chan Joao Feitoza Jody Roberto Joe Graziano Joe Joswiak Joel Ignacio John Abusham John Bosler John Harper John Huitsing

John Lopez

John Lopez and Nathan Cole

ffudacz@nossaman.com fgalante@awattorneys.com grapp@westyost.com Gene.Tanaka@bbklaw.com gkamansky@niagarawater.com geoffreyvh60@gmail.com

yahrj@koll.com

ggomez@ontarioca.gov gnicholls@nossaman.com Ginoffvine@aol.com gtorres@wmwd.com GMann@dpw.sbcounty.gov gwoodside@ocwd.com Gregor.Larabee@cdcr.ca.gov ha.nguyen@stoel.com Hdehaan1950@gmail.com irene.islas@bbklaw.com jamesc@cvwdwater.com

cnomgr@airports.sbcounty.gov jmckenzie@dpw.sbcounty.gov

janderson@jcsd.us

janelle.krattiger@stoel.com

JWilson@cbwm.org jhall@ieua.org jmarseilles@ieua.org JPivovaroff@wmwd.com Jayne.Joy@waterboards.ca.gov

Jean@thejclawfirm.com jevers@niagarawater.com jmosher@sawpa.org jpierson@intexcorp.com

jhyluk@ieua.org jjungreis@rutan.com Jessie@thejclawfirm.com jmarkman@rwglaw.com jbowman@ontarioca.gov

jimmylaredo@gmail.com
Jimmy@City-Attorney.com
Jaime.medrano2@cdcr.ca.gov
JiwonS@cvwdwater.com
jchan@wvwd.org
joao.feitoza@cmc.com
jroberto@tvmwd.com
jgraz4077@aol.com
JJoswiak@cbwm.org
jignacio@ieua.org
john.abusham@nrg.com
johnb@cvwdwater.com
jrharper@harperburns.com
johnhuitsing@gmail.com
jlopez@sarwc.com

customerservice@sarwc.com

John Mendoza
John Partridge
John Schatz
John Thornton
Jose A Galindo
Josh Swift
Joshua Aguilar
Justin Brokaw
Justin Nakano

Justin Scott-Coe Ph. D.

Karen Williams Kathleen Brundage

Kati Parker
Keith Person
Ken Waring
Kevin O'Toole
Kevin Sage
Kristina Robb
Kurt Berchtold
Kyle Brochard
Kyle Snay
Larry Cain
Laura Mantilla
Laura Roughton
Laura Yraceburu

Lauren V. Neuhaus, Esq.

Lee McElhaney Linda Jadeski Lisa Lemoine Liz Hurst

Manny Martinez Marcella Correa Marco Tule Maria Ayala

Maria Insixiengmay Maria Mendoza Maribel Sosa Marilyn Levin Mark D. Hensley

Mark Wildermuth

Mark Wiley

Martin Cihigoyenetche

Martin Rauch Martin Zvirbulis Mathew C. Ballantyne Matthew H. Litchfield

May Atencio Melanie Trevino Michael A. Blazevic Michael Adler

Michael B. Brown, Esq.

Michael Fam

Michael P. Thornton

jmendoza@tvmwd.com jpartridge@angelica.com jschatz13@cox.net

JThorntonPE@H2OExpert.net Jose.A.Galindo@linde.com jmswift@fontanawater.com

jaguilar@ieua.org

jbrokaw@marygoldmutualwater.com

JNakano@cbwm.org jscottcoe@mvwd.org kwilliams@sawpa.org

kathleen.brundage@californiasteel.com

katiandcraig@verizon.net

keith.person@waterboards.ca.gov

kwaring@jcsd.us
kotoole@ocwd.com
Ksage@IRMwater.com
KRobb@cc.sbcounty.gov
kberchtold@gmail.com
KBrochard@rwglaw.com
kylesnay@gswater.com
larry.cain@cdcr.ca.gov
lmantilla@ieua.org
lroughton@wmwd.com
lyraceburu@bhfs.com
lauren.neuhaus@stoel.com
lmcelhaney@bmklawplc.com

ljadeski@wvwd.org LLemoine@wmwd.com ehurst@ieua.org

directormartinez@mvwd.org MCorrea@rwglaw.com

mtule@ieua.org mayala@jcsd.us

Maria.Insixiengmay@cc.sbcounty.gov

mmendoza@westyost.com msosa@ci.pomona.ca.us marilyn.levin@doj.ca.gov

mhensley@hensleylawgroup.com mwildermuth@westyost.com

mwiley@chinohills.org
marty@thejclawfirm.com
martin@rauchcc.com
mezvirbulis@sgvwater.com
mballantyne@cityofchino.org
mlitchfield@tvmwd.com
matencio@fontana.org
Mtrevino@jcsd.us

mblazevic@westyost.com michael.adler@mcmcnet.net michael.brown@stoel.com mfam@dpw.sbcounty.gov

mthornton@tkeengineering.com

Michelle Licea Michelle Staples Mike Gardner Mike Maestas Miriam Garcia Moore, Toby **MWDProgram** Nadia Aguirre Natalie Costaglio Nathan deBoom Neetu Gupta Nichole Horton Nick Jacobs Nicole deMoet Nicole Escalante Noah Golden-Krasner

Parker Simon
Paul Deutsch
Paul Hofer
Paul Hofer
Paul S. Leon
Pete Hall
Pete Hall
Pete Vicario
Peter Hettinga
Peter Kavounas
Peter Rogers
Rachel Avila
Randy Visser
Richard Anderson

Rick Rees

Rickey S. Manbahal

Rita Pro

Robert C. Hawkins Robert DeLoach Robert E. Donlan Robert Neufeld Robert Wagner Ron Craig

Ron LaBrucherie, Jr. Ronald C. Pietersma

Ruben Llamas
Ruby Favela
Ryan Shaw
Sally H. Lee
Sam Nelson
Sam Rubenstein
Sandra S. Rose
Sarah Foley
Scott Burton
Scott Slater
Seth J. Zielke

Shawnda M. Grady

mlicea@mvwd.org

mstaples@jacksontidus.law mgardner@wmwd.com mikem@cvwdwater.com mgarcia@ieua.org

TobyMoore@gswater.com MWDProgram@sdcwa.org naguirre@tvmwd.com

natalie.costaglio@mcmcnet.net

n8deboom@gmail.com ngupta@ieua.org

Nichole.Horton@pomonaca.gov njacobs@somachlaw.com ndemoet@ci.upland.ca.us NEscalante@ontarioca.gov Noah.goldenkrasner@doj.ca.gov

psimon@bhfs.com

paul.deutsch@woodplc.com farmerhofer@aol.com farmwatchtoo@aol.com pleon@ontarioca.gov rpetehall@gmail.com pete.hall@cdcr.ca.gov PVicario@cityofchino.org peterhettinga@yahoo.com PKavounas@cbwm.org progers@chinohills.org R.Avila@MPGLAW.com RVisser@sheppardmullin.com

horsfly1@yahoo.com richard.rees@woodplc.com smanbahal@wvwd.org rpro@cityofchino.org RHawkins@earthlink.net robertadeloach1@gmail.com

red@eslawfirm.com
robneu1@yahoo.com
rwagner@wbecorp.com
Rcraig21@icloud.com
ronLaBrucherie@gmail.com
rcpietersma@aol.com
rllamas71@yahoo.com
rfavela@cbwm.org
RShaw@wmwd.com
shlee@ieua.org
snelson@ci.norco.ca.us

sneison@ci.norco.ca.us srubenstein@wpcarey.com directorrose@mvwd.org Sarah.Foley@bbklaw.com sburton@ontarioca.gov sslater@bhfs.com

sjzielke@fontanawater.com sgrady@eslawfirm.com Sheila D. Brown Shivaji Deshmukh Skylar Stephens slee@tvmwd.com Sonya Barber Sonya Zite Stephanie Reimer

Stephanie Viveros Stephen Deitsch Steve Kennedy Steve M. Anderson

Steve Nix Steve Riboli Steve Smith

Steve W. Ledbetter, PE Steven Andrews Engineering

Steven Flower Steven J. Elie Steven J. Elie Steven Popelar Steven Raughley Susan Palmer Tammi Ford Tariq Awan Tarren Torres

Taya Victorino
Teri Layton
Terry Catlin
Tim Barr
Tim Kellett
Tim Moore
Timothy Ryan
Toby Moore
Todd Minten

Tom Barnes

Tom O'Neill

Toni Medell

Tom Bunn Tom Cruikshank Tom Harder Tom McPeters

Tony Long Toyasha Sebbag Tracy J. Egoscue

Van Jew

Veronica Tristan Veva Weamer Victor Preciado Vivian Castro Wade Fultz

WestWater Research, LLC

William J Brunick William McDonnell sheila.brown@stoel.com sdeshmukh@ieua.org SStephens@sdcwa.org slee@tvmwd.com sbarber@ci.upland.ca.us szite@wmwd.com SReimer@mvwd.org sviveros@cbwm.org

stephen.deitsch@bbklaw.com skennedy@bmklawplc.com steve.anderson@bbklaw.com

snix@ci.upland.ca.us

steve.riboli@sanantoniowinery.com

ssmith@ieua.org

sledbetter@tkeengineering.com sandrews@sandrewsengineering.com

sflower@rwglaw.com selie@ieua.org s.elie@mpglaw.com spopelar@jcsd.us

Steven.Raughley@cao.sbcounty.gov

spalmer@kidmanlaw.com

tford@wmwd.com
Tariq.Awan@cdcr.ca.gov
tarren@egoscuelaw.com
tayav@cvwdwater.com
tlayton@sawaterco.com
tlcatlin@wfajpa.org
tbarr@wmwd.com
tkellett@tvmwd.com
tmoore@westyost.com
tjryan@sgvwater.com
TobyMoore@gswater.com
tminten@sbcglobal.net
tbarnes@esassoc.com
TomBunn@Lagerlof.com
tcruikshank@linklogistics.com

tharder@thomashardercompany.com

THMcP@aol.com

toneill@chinodesalter.org mmedel@mbakerintl.com tlong@angelica.com tsebbag@cbwcd.org tracy@egoscuelaw.com

vjew@wvwd.org vtristan@jcsd.us

vweamer@westyost.com

Victor_Preciado@ci.pomona.ca.us

vcastro@cityofchino.org Wade.Fultz@cmc.com

research@waterexchange.com bbrunick@bmblawoffice.com

wmcdonnell@ieua.org