1	ELIZABETH P. EWENS (SB #213046)	
2	elizabeth.ewens@stoel.com MICHAEL B. BROWN (SB #179222)	
3	michael.brown@stoel.com JANELLE S.H. KRATTIGER (SB #299076)	
4	janelle.krattiger@stoel.com STOEL RIVES LLP	
5	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814	
6	Telephone: 916.447.0700 Facsimile: 916.447.4781	
7	Attorneys for City of Ontario	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES PURSUANT TO GOV. CODE, § 6103
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	
11	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER	CASE NO. RCVRS 51010
12 13	DISTRICT, Plaintiff,	Assigned for all purposes to Honorable Stanford E. Reichert
13	V.	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN
15	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,	SUPPORT OF CITY OF ONTARIO'S COMBINED REPLY
16	Defendants.	RE: APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER TO
17		EXTEND TIME UNDER JUDGMENT, PARAGRAPH 31(c) TO CHALLENGE
18		WATERMASTER ACTION/DECISION ON NOVEMBER 18, 2021 TO APPROVE THE FY 2021/2022 ASSESSMENT
19 20		PACKAGE. IF SUCH REQUEST IS DENIED, THIS FILING IS THE CHALLENGE
20		Hearing:
22		Date: June 17, 2022 Time: 1:30 p.m.
23		Dept.: S35
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		_1_

STOEL RIVES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SACRAMENTO Pursuant to California Evidence Code sections 452 and 453, City of Ontario ("Ontario") respectfully submits this Request for Judicial Notice in Support of its Combined Reply Brief, filed concurrently herewith.

This Court may take judicial notice of the pleadings, court minutes, and court transcripts, as they are records of the Court. Cal. Evid. Code § 452(d) (allowing courts to judicially notice "[r]ecords of any court of this state"); see also In re Clark, 5 Cal. 4th 750, 798 fn. 35 (1993) (taking judicial notice of court's own records); see also Shine v. Williams-Sonoma, Inc., 23 Cal. App. 5th 1070, 1076-77 (2018) (the trial court properly exercising discretion to take judicial notice of prior case's pleading, settlement agreement, and stipulated judgment of dismissal for purposes of collateral estoppel).

Further, Evidence Code section 452 allows a court to take judicial notice of certain matters of law and fact, including the official acts of a public agencies and the state. (Evid. Code, § 452(c) *Estate of Will*, 170 Cal. App. 4th 902, 908 (2009); *Rodas v. Spiegel*, 87 Cal. App. 4th 513, 518 (2001) (noting that "official act" includes records, reports, and orders of governmental agencies).) Evidence Code section 452(h) allows a court to take judicial notice of facts and propositions that are not reasonably subject to dispute and are capable of immediate determination by resort to sources of reasonably indisputable accuracy.

Evidence Code section 453 requires a court to take judicial notice of any matter specified in Evidence Code section 452 if a party requests it, and if it gives the adverse party sufficient notice of the request and furnishes the court with sufficient information to enable it to take judicial notice of the matter. Accordingly, the Ontario respectfully requests that this Court take judicial notice of the documents listed below, pursuant to Evidence Code section 452(h) as follows:

- 1. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as <u>Exhibit 1</u> is a true and correct copy of the 2012 Chino Basin Watermaster Restated Judgment, No. 51010.
- 2. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as <u>Exhibit 2</u> is a true and correct copy of the Chino Basin Watermaster Rules and Regulations, updated 2019.
- 3. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as <u>Exhibit 3</u> is a true and correct copy of the Report and Recommendation of Special Referee to Court Regarding: (1) Motion for Order That

Audit Commissioned By Watermaster is Not a Watermaster Expense, and (2) Motion to Appoint a Nine-Member Watermaster Panel, dated December 12, 1997.

- 4. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as <u>Exhibit 4</u> is a true and correct copy of the Court's Ruling and Order, entered June 18, 2010.
- 5. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as <u>Exhibit 5</u> is a true and correct copy of the Opinion of Fourth Appellate District Court of Appeal in Case No. E051653, dated April 10, 2012.
- 6. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as <u>Exhibit 6</u> is a true and correct copy of the Order Post Appeal, entered June 29, 2012.
- 7. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of the Watermaster's Reply to Oppositions to Motion Regarding 2015 Safe Yield Reset Agreement, Amendment of Restated Judgment, Paragraph 6, filed February 1, 2016.
- 8. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as <u>Exhibit 8</u> is a true and correct copy of the Watermaster's Further Response to Order for Additional Briefing, filed April 11, 2016.
- 9. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 9 is a true and correct copy of the Orders for Watermaster's Motion Regarding 2015 Safe Yield Reset Agreement, Amendment of Restatement Judgment, Paragraph 6, entered on April 28, 2017.
- 10. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of the Order on the Motion to Approve Amendments to Appropriative Pool Pooling Plan, entered March 15, 2019.
- 11. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 11 is a true and correct copy of the Groundwater Storage Program Funding Agreement, Agreement No. 49960, dated March 1, 2003.
- 12. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 12 is a true and correct copy of the Order Concerning Groundwater Storage Program Funding Agreement Agreement No. 49960, entered June 5, 2003.
- 13. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 13 is a true and correct copy of the Local Agency Agreement by and between Inland Empire Utilities Agency ("IEUA") and Cucamonga County Water District, dated March 11, 2003

///

14.	Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 14 is a true and correct copy of the
Local Agency	Agreement by and between IEUA and the City of Ontario, dated April 15, 2003.

- 15. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 15 is a true and correct copy of the Local Agency Agreement by and between IEUA and the City of Ontario and Jurupa Community Services District, dated January 12, 2004.
- 16. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as <u>Exhibit 16</u> is a true and correct copy of Chino Basin Watermaster Staff Report re MWD/IEUA/TVMWD Groundwater Storage Account, dated March 11, 2004.
- 17. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 17 is a true and correct copy of Watermaster's Motion for Approval of Storage and Recovery Program Agreement (with Exhibit A only), filed May 12, 2004.
- 18. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 18 is a true and correct copy of the Order Approving Storage and Recovery Program Storage Agreement re Implementation of Dry Year Yield Storage Project, entered June 24, 2004.
- 19. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 19 is a true and correct copy of Amendment No. 8 to Groundwater Storage Program Funding Agreement No. 49960, dated January 23, 2015.
- 20. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 20 is a true and correct copy of the Agenda for the Chino Basin Watermaster Appropriative Pool Meeting held October 9, 2014.
- 21. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 21 is a true and correct copy of the Chino Basin Watermaster Staff Report regarding Amendment No. 8 to MWD Dry Year Yield Agreement, dated October 9, 2014.
- 22. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as <u>Exhibit 22</u> is a true and correct copy of the Agenda for the Chino Basin Watermaster Advisory Committee Meeting held on October 16, 2014.
- 23. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 23 is a true and correct copy of the Chino Basin Watermaster Staff Report regarding Amendment No. 8 to MWD Dry Year Yield Agreement, dated October 16, 2014.

///

- 24. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as <u>Exhibit 24</u> is a true and correct copy of the Agenda for the Chino Basin Watermaster Board Meeting held October 23, 2014.
- 25. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 25 is a true and correct copy of the Chino Basin Watermaster Staff Report regarding Amendment No. 8 to MWD Dry Year Yield Agreement, dated October 23, 2014.
- 26. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 26 is a true and correct copy of the City of Chino Ex Parte Application for an Order to Extend Time Under Judgment, Paragraph 31(c) to Challenge Watermaster Action/Decision on August 25, 2020 to Issue Invoices to Pay Ag Pool Legal Expenses to Appropriators Including the City of Chino, filed on or about October 15, 2020.
- 27. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 27 is a true and correct copy of the Chino Basin Watermaster *Ex Parte* Application to Continue October 23, 2020 Hearing on Motion of Appropriative Pool Member Agencies Re: Agricultural Pool Legal Expenses filed on or about October 20, 2020.
- 28. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 28 is a true and correct copy of the Order granting the Chino Basin Watermaster *Ex Parte* Application to Continue October 23, 2020 Hearing on Motion of Appropriative Pool Member Agencies Re: Agricultural Pool Legal Expenses, entered October 28, 2020.
- 29. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 29 is a true and correct copy of Chino Basin Watermaster Reply to Appropriative Pool Member Agencies' Opposition to Chino Basin Watermaster Ex Parte Application to Continue Hearing on Motion Re Agricultural Pool Legal Expenses, filed October 21, 2020.
- 30. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 30 is a true and correct copy of the Peace Agreement Chino Basin, dated June 29, 2000.
- 31. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 31 is a true and correct copy of the First Amendment to Peace Agreement, dated September 2, 2004.
- 32. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 32 is a true and correct copy of the Second Amendment to Peace Agreement, dated October 25, 2007.
 - 33. Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 33 is a true and correct copy of the

Attached to the Appendix of Evidence as Exhibit 45 is a true and correct copy of

1

45.

STOEL RIVES LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SACRAMENTO