1	SCOTT S. SLATER (State Bar No. 117317)	FEE EXEMPT	
2	sslater@bhfs.com BRADLEY J. HERREMA (State Bar No. 228976)		
3	bherrema@bhfs.com KIMBERLY E. LEEFATT (State Bar No. 325332)		
4	kleefatt@bhfs.com BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP		
5	1021 Anacapa Street, 2nd Floor Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2102 Talanhanar 205 062 7000		
6	Telephone: 805.963.7000 Facsimile: 805.965.4333		
7	Attorneys for CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER		
8	CHINO DASHA WATENMASTEN		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO		
11	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT,	Case No. RCV RS 51010	
12	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for All Purposes to the Honorable Stanford E. Reichert]	
13	V.	NOTICE OF ORDERS	
14	CITY OF CHINO, ET AL.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17 18			
18 19			
20	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORN		
20		ay 28, 2021, at 1:30 p.m., in Department S35 of the	
22		tive Pool Member Agencies Re: Agricultural Pool	
23	Legal and Other Expenses came on for hearing in the above-captioned matter. The Court entered		
24	its Order on Motion of Appropriative Pool Member Agencies Re: Agricultural Pool Legal and Other Expenses, a, copy of which is attached to this Notice as Exhibit A .		
25			
26	At that time, the Court additionally received a report from Chino Basin Watermaster ("Watermaster") legal counsel regarding the status of the Local Storage Limitation Solution and		
27	Watermaster's Motion Regarding Implementation of the Local Storage Limitation Solution,		
28		-	
	I NOTICI	E OF ORDERS	

NOTICE OF ORDERS

which is on calendar to be heard by this Court on June 25, 2021, at 1:30 p.m., in Department S35 of the above-entitled Court. BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER Dated: June 1, 2021 SCHRECK, LLP By: SCOTT S. SLATER BRADLEY J. HERREMA KIMBERLY E. LEEFATT Attorneys for CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER 22731639.1 NOTICE OF ORDERS

Exhibit A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT MAY 2.8 2021 BYMMER BOUCHARD, DEPUTY AMBER BOUCHARD, DEPUTY HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OF SAN BERNARDINO CASE NO. RCVRS 51010 ORDER on MOTION of APPROPRIATIVE POOL MEMBER AGENCIES RE: AGRICULTURAL POOL LEGAL AND OTHER EXPENSES Date: May 28, 2021 Time: 1:30 PM Department: S35/S3 [Hearing Location]	
19 20	Regarding the motion of the Appropriative Pool Member Agencies re: Agricultural		
21 22	Pool legal and other expenses, filed September 18, 2020, the court finds and orders as follow:		
23			
24 25	 The court concludes that the word "all" in paragraph 5.4(a) of the Peace Agreement cannot mean "all" in the dictionary sense of the whole amount 		
26	without qualification or limitation. The court must look at the context and use of		
27	the word "all" to interpret the word from the Peace Agreement (aka Peace I)		
28	made 20 years ago in relation to the Judgment entered more than 40 years ago.		
	A. The court concludes that to interpret the word "all" in the way that the Appropriative Pool Agencies Motion Re: Agricultural Pool Legal and Other Expenses Rulings and Orders Page 1 of 8		

AgPool proposes would defeat the reasonable expectations of the parties to the
 Peace Agreement.

B. No reasonable person would make a contract that would obligate that person
to pay another party's expenses without limit and without knowledge of the nature of
the expenses, including the expenses of a lawsuit against the paying person, *i.e.*, no
reasonable person would pay to finance a lawsuit against himself or herself. (As
pointed out in the Appropriative Pool member agencies response to the Agricultural
Pool's briefing filed May 24, 2021.)

9 C. It is fundamentally unfair to compel a party to pay expenses over which the
10 party has no control and no specific, detailed knowledge.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

I. The court notes that the AgPool has consistently refused to provide the Appropriative Pool with the actual attorney fee bills for the AgPool's attorney. In its last briefing, the AgPool again offered for the court to review the bills in camera. The court refuses this offer because there is no legal basis for the court to do so. If the parties cannot come to an agreement themselves (as the court states they may do in paragraph 7 below), then the court defines the procedure for the court to rule on the legal expenses, and any other expenses, as set forth in paragraph 8 below.

19 D. The court's ruling has nothing to do with the separation of powers among the 20 three pools, the Advisory Committee, and the Watermaster. It applies strictly to the 21 issue of the attorney fee and expense dispute between the AgPool and the 22 Appropriative Pool pursuant of Section 5.4(a) of the 2000 Peace Agreement. 23 2. The court concludes that its previous tentative ruling also does not provide a 24 solution to the dispute because the court now concludes that the previous 25 tentative did not contain the proper legal basis for the ruling, that being, an 26 analysis of the Judgment and the 2000 Peace agreement, as set forth herein. 27 A. The court appreciates the Appropriative Pool's argument that the resolution of 28 the dispute in 2009 could be a precedent for the court's resolution of the current

> Appropriative Pool Agencies Motion Re: Agricultural Pool Legal and Other Expenses Rulings and Orders Page 2 of 8

attorney fee dispute, but the court has concluded that a specific tailored decision for 2 the attorney fee dispute based on the Judgment and the 2000 Peace Agreement is the 3 proper remedy.

I. The 2009 dispute over Section 5.4(a) involved the Appropriative Pool's dispute regarding the payment of costs assessed to the AgPool for a State of California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region requirement. Specifically, the dispute was over an invoice for the Pathogen Total Maximum Daily Loads Task Force Study (TMDL Study) for the Middle Santa Ana River watershed. The issue was whether the TMDL study constituted a Special Project Expense subject to payment by the Appropriative Pool under section 5.4(a) of the Peace Agreement. That issue is completely different than the instant issue.

II. That resolution was for a one-time problem, not a recurring issue which the court concludes the instant issue is.

The court also appreciates the briefing by the AgPool concerning Judge Gunn's 3. 1998 order and Special Referee Schneider's report of 1997, but the court finds that neither res judicata nor collateral estoppel applies here for the reasons set forth in the Appropriative Pool's response.

A. In short, neither res judicata nor collateral estopped applies because:

I. Judge Gunn's 1998 order and Special Referee Schneider's report of 1997 predate the 2000 Peace Agreement.

II. Judge Gunn's order also addressed a specific problem not related to the current dispute, even though Judge Gunn's order addresses issues beyond the dispute.

a) The impetus for Judge Gunn's 1998 order was fraudulent checks drawn on the account of the Chino Basin Municipal Water District (then the Watermaster). The District's Board of Directors had ordered a special audit of the District's account. The issue at the time was whether the cost of the audit could be considered a "Watermaster expense." The

Appropriative Pool Agencies Motion Re: Agricultural Pool Legal and Other Expenses Rulings and Orders Page 3 of 8

1

4

5

6

7

8

instant issue is completely different. III. The "Tragedy of Commons" argument in the AgPool's briefing the court found intriguing, but not relevant to the issue in dispute. IV. Again even though Judge Gunn's ruling addressed a number of areas, the issue for Judge Gunn's resolution was for a one-time problem, not a recurring issue which the court concludes the instant issue is. 4. Furthermore, the court notes that the AgPool Storage Contests, which form the basis of the attorney fees at issue, were the first of their kind, representing the first time the contest procedure has been used. (Burton declaration filed September 13, 2020, ¶3.) 5. The ruling of the court on the instant motion for attorney fees is intended to apply only to the specific attorney fee dispute between the AgPool and the Appropriative Pool. It is not intended to have any general effect on any other party or pool, or to give the Appropriative Pool any legal basis to object to any other aspect or any other budget item. A. The court notes this in response to the brief of the Non-Agricultural Pool (NAP). 6. So, in interpreting Peace Agreement §5.4(a), the court turns to the Judgment and to the 2000 Peace Agreement (Peace I). A. Peace I, Paragraph 5.4(a) states in pertinent part: I. 5.4 Assessments, Credits, and Reimbursements. After the Effective Date and until the termination of this Agreement, the Parties expressly consent to Watermaster's performance of the following actions, programs or procedures regarding Assessments. a) (a) During the term of this Agreement, all assessments and expenses of the Agricultural Pool including those of the Agricultural Pool Committee shall be paid by the Appropriative Pool. This includes but is not limited to OBMP Assessments, assessments pursuant to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Appropriative Pool Agencies Motion Re: Agricultural Pool Legal and Other Expenses Rulings and Orders Page 4 of 8

1	Paragraphs 20, 21, 22, 30, 42, 51, 53, 54 both General Administrative		
2	Expenses and Special Project Expenses, 55, and Exhibit F (Overlying		
3	Agricultural Pool Pooling Plan) of the Judgment except however in the		
4	event the total Agricultural Pool Production exceeds 414,000 acre-feet		
5	in any five consecutive year period as defined in the Judgment, the		
6	Agricultural Pool shall be responsible for its Replenishment obligation		
7	pursuant to Paragraph 45 of the Judgment."		
8	B. In the Judgment, the only section that deals with attorney fees is Paragraph		
9	54(b) which states:		
10	I. 54. <u>Administrative Expenses</u> . The expenses of administration of this		
11	Physical Solution shall be categorized as either (a) general Watermaster		
12	administrative expense, or (b) special project expense.		
13	a) (a) General Watermaster Administrative Expense shall include office		
14	rental, general personnel expense, supplies and office equipment, and		
15	related incidental expense and general overhead.		
16	b) (b) Special Project Expense shall consist of special engineering,		
17	economic or other studies, litigation expense, meter testing or other		
18	major operating expenses. Each such project shall be assigned a Task		
19	Order number and shall be separately budgeted and accounted for.		
20	c) General Watermaster administrative expense shall be allocated and		
21	assessed against the respective pool based upon allocation made by the		
22	Watermaster, who shall make such allocations based upon generally		
23	accepted cost accounting methods. Special Project Expense shall be		
24	allocated to a specific pool, or any portion thereof, only upon the basis		
25	of prior express assent and find of benefit by the Pool Committee, or		
26	pursuant to written order of the court.		
27	C. So, when the court reads Peace I Section 5.4(a) with Judgment Paragraph 54,		
28	the court initially concludes that attorney fees for storage contests would be included		

•

.

i

1	in the definition of "Special Project Expense" as a "litigation expense."		
2	I. So, the first step would be for the AgPool to approve the attorney fee		
3	upon an express finding that it benefits the AgPool.		
4	II. Then, pursuant to Peace I, the attorney fee as a Special Project Expense		
5	would go to the Appropriative Pool for payment.		
6	a) The court interprets the Judgment ¶54 and Peace I §5.4(a) to mean that		
7	the litigation expense at least must not be adverse to the Appropriative		
8	Pool as a matter of fundamental fairness and not to defeat the		
9	reasonable expectations of the parties to Peace I.		
10	7. Judgement ¶54 and Peace I §5.4(a) mean that, of course, the Ag Pool and the		
11	Appropriative Pool can agree to a determination to about payment of "litigation		
12	expense." The court concludes that they have been doing this up until the instant		
13	motion. The court will only add that now the dispute has arisen, the procedure		
14	should include the AgPool providing the Appropriative Pool with the AgPool's		
15	attorney fee bills. Otherwise, there will be no way for the Appropriative Pool to		
16	determine whether the bills fit within the court's interpretation.		
17	8. The alternative in the Judgment is for the court to order the Special Project		
18	Expense attorney fee or expense for the AgPool upon motion.		
19	A. This is consistent with California Civil Code §1717 regarding a contract		
20	provision for attorney fees and costs.		
21	I. The 2000 Peace Agreement (Peace I) is a contract, and therefore, CC		
22	§1717 should apply by analogy, even though the Peace I does not have a		
23	requirement of "prevailing party."		
24	II. California Rules of Court, Rule 1702, which requires a motion for		
25	attorney fees, should also should apply by analogy.		
26	B. There is no procedure in either the Judgement or Peace I (or Peace II for that		
27	matter) for the court to hear this unique kind of motion concerning for attorney fees		
28	and expenses set forth in the Judgment ¶54. So, the court indicates that for such a		

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

motion the court requires:

I. Service and filing of a noticed motion with a hearing set for Friday at 1:30 PM, with the date cleared by the court's judicial assistant.

II. Notice of the motion pursuant to CCP §§1010 to 1020.

III. All supporting documents for the motion to be included, including the fee bills themselves. It is a denial of due process, as well as fundamentally unfair, for a party to be forced to pay a bill that the party has not seen. In order for a party to contest a bill, the party must be able to see and examine it first.

a) The court would consider this requirement to be not only a matter of fundamental fairness, but also for the court and the Appropriative Pool to determine whether the fees for actions benefitting the AgPool (as required by ¶54 of the Judgment) and at least not adverse to the Appropriative Pool.

 i) The court requires this to be not only a matter of fundamental fairness but also not to defeat the reasonable expectations of the parties to Peace I.

 b) The bills may be redacted, but the court must admonish the parties that the redactions cannot be so extensive as to make the bills meaningless for review by opposing counsel and determination by the court.

C. If the AgPool so choses, it may file a motion for attorney's fees using the procedure the court has set forth above. This will protect the due process rights of the AgPool as well as serve what the court determines to be the issues of fundamental fairness surrounding the issue of the AgPool's attorney fees. It will also give the court a factual basis to rule upon the amount of the fees.¹

25

I.

In order for the court to bring the current issue of the AgPool's

27 1 The court notes that the Appropriative Pool points out that Watermaster Regulations ¶10.26(a) requires that "each party to the [Contest] proceeding shall bear its own costs and expenses associated with the proceeding." (Memorandum of points and authorities in support of motion of Appropriative Pool member agencies re: Agricultural Pool legal and other expenses, filed September 18, 2020, page 16, lines 1-7.) However, the court finds that this issue should be governed by the Judgment and the 2000 Peace Agreement only.

²⁶

attorney fees and expenses to a close the court orders that the AgPool serve and file its motion for attorney fees and expenses by 2:00 PM (when the clerk's office now closes) on July 25, 2021, with a hearing date to be set by the court.

II. If the AgPool does not file its motion on or before July 25, 2021, as ordered, then the court will consider the AgPool to have waived its current claims for attorney fees and expenses, and the court will order vacated the assessments subject to the current dispute, and any party's payment of the assessments subject to the current dispute reimbursed to the paying party.

 a) The court notes the Exhibit A to the Declaration of John Schatz filed May 24, 2021, "Appropriative Pool Special Assessment of \$165,694.75" which appears to the court to itemize the assessments to Appropriative Pool members, and the court would use that list as the basis of the reimbursements.

Dated: May 28, 2021

Stanford E. Reichert, Judge

<u>CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER</u> Case No. RCVRS 51010 Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730; telephone (909) 484-3888.

On June 1, 2021 I served the following:

- 1. NOTICE OF ORDERS
- /X / BY MAIL: in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed with postage thereon fully prepaid, for delivery by United States Postal Service mail at Rancho Cucamonga, California, addresses as follows: See attached service list: Mailing List 1
- /___/ BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee.
- /___/ BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted said document by fax transmission from (909) 484-3890 to the fax number(s) indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting fax machine.
- <u>/X</u>/ BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I transmitted notice of availability of electronic documents by electronic transmission to the email address indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting electronic mail device.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on June 1, 2021 in Rancho Cucamonga, California.

Wilson

By: Janine Wilson Chino Basin Watermaster

PAUL HOFER CBWM BOARD MEMBER 11248 S TURNER AVE ONTARIO, CA 91761

JEFF PIERSON 2 HEXAM IRVINE, CA 92603

ALLEN HUBSCH LOEB & LOEB LLP 10100 SANTA MONICA BLVD. SUITE 2200 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

Members:

Agnes Cheng Al Lopez Alan Frost Alberto Mendoza Alfonso Ruiz Allen W. Hubsch Alma Heustis Alonso Jurado Amanda Coker Amanda Meere Amer Jakher Amy Bonczewski Andrew Gagen Andy Campbell Andy Malone Angelica Todd Angelo Simoes Anna Nelson April Robitaille Armando Martinez Art Bennett Arthur Kidman Ashok Dhingra Ben Lewis Ben Peralta Benjamin M. Weink **Betty Anderson Betty Folsom Bill Schwartz Bob Bowcock Bob DiPrimio** Bob Feenstra Bob Kuhn Bob Kuhn Bob Page Brad Herrema Braden Yu Braden Yu Brandon Howard Brenda Fowler Brent Yamasaki Brian Dickinson Brian Geve Brian Lee Cameron Andreasen Carmen Sierra Carol Boyd Carolina Sanchez Casey Costa Cassandra Hooks Catharine Irvine

agnes.cheng@cc.sbcounty.gov alopez@wmwd.com Alan.Frost@dpw.sbcounty.gov Alberto.Mendoza@cmc.com alfonso.ruiz@cmc.com ahubsch@loeb.com alma.heustis@californiasteel.com ajurado@cbwm.org acoker@cityofchino.org Amanda.Meere@cao.sbcounty.gov AJakher@cityofchino.org ABonczewski@ontarioca.gov agagen@kidmanlaw.com acampbell@ieua.org amalone@westyost.com angelica.todd@ge.com Angelo.Simoes@linde.com atruongnelson@cbwm.org arobitaille@bhfs.com armartinez@fontana.org citycouncil@chinohills.org akidman@kidmanlaw.com ash@akdconsulting.com benjamin.lewis@gswater.com bperalta@tvmwd.com ben.weink@tetratech.com banderson@icsd.us bfolsom@jcsd.us bschwartz@mvwd.org bbowcock@irmwater.com rjdiprimio@sgvwater.com bobfeenstra@gmail.com bkuhn@tvmwd.com bgkuhn@aol.com Bob.Page@rov.sbcounty.gov bherrema@bhfs.com bradeny@cvwdwater.com Byu@ci.upland.ca.us brahoward@niagarawater.com balee@fontanawater.com byamasaki@mwdh2o.com bdickinson65@gmail.com bgeye@autoclubspeedway.com blee@sawaterco.com memphisbelle38@outlook.com carmens@cvwdwater.com Carol.Boyd@doj.ca.gov csanchez@westyost.com ccosta@chinodesalter.org chooks@niagarawater.com cirvine@DowneyBrand.com

Chad Blais Chander Letulle **Charles Field Charles Linder Charles Moorrees** Chino Hills City Council Chris Berch Chris Diggs Christiana Daisy Christofer Coppinger Christopher M. Sanders Christopher Quach Christopher R. Guillen **Cindy Cisneros** Cindy Li **Cinthia Heredia Clarence Mansell Courtney Jones** Craig Miller **Craig Stewart** Cris Fealy Dan Arrighi Dan McKinney Daniel Bobadilla Danny Kim Dave Argo Dave Crosley David Aladiem David De Jesus David Doublet David Huvnh **David Penrice** Dawn Martin Denise Garzaro **Dennis Dooley** Dennis Mejia **Dennis Williams** Diana Frederick Don Galleano Ed Means Edgar Tellez Foster Eduardo Espinoza Edward Kolodziej Elizabeth M. Calciano Elizabeth Skrzat Eric Fordham Eric Garner Eric Grubb **Eric Papathakis** Eric Tarango Erika Clement Eunice Ulloa **Evette Ounanian**

cblais@ci.norco.ca.us cletulle@jcsd.us cdfield@att.net Charles.Linder@nrgenergy.com cmoorrees@sawaterco.com citycouncil@chinohills.org cberch@jcsd.us Chris Diggs@ci.pomona.ca.us cdaisy@ieua.org ccoppinger@geoscience-water.com cms@eslawfirm.com cquach@ontarioca.gov cquillen@bhfs.com cindyc@cvwdwater.com Cindy.li@waterboards.ca.gov Cinthia.Heredia@cmc.com cmansell@wvwd.org cijones@ontarioca.gov CMiller@wmwd.com craig.stewart@woodplc.com cifealy@fontanawater.com darrighi@sqvwater.com dmckinney@douglascountylaw.com dbobadilla@chinohills.org dkim@linklogistics.com daveargo46@icloud.com DCrosley@cityofchino.org daladjem@downeybrand.com ddejesus@tvmwd.com ddoublet@dpw.sbcounty.gov dhuynh@cbwm.org dpenrice@acmwater.com Dawn.Martin@cc.sbcounty.gov dgarzaro@ieua.org ddooley@angelica.com dmejia@ontarioca.gov dwilliams@geoscience-water.com diana.frederick@cdcr.ca.gov dongalleano@icloud.com edmeans@roadrunner.com etellezfoster@cbwm.org EduardoE@cvwdwater.com edward.kolodziej@ge.com ecalciano@hensleylawgroup.com ESkrzat@cbwcd.org eric_fordham@geopentech.com eric.garner@bbklaw.com ericg@cvwdwater.com Eric.Papathakis@cdcr.ca.gov edtarango@fontanawater.com Erika.clement@sce.com eulloa@cityofchino.org EvetteO@cvwdwater.com

Frank Brommenschenkel Frank Yoo Fred Fudacz Fred Galante Gabby Garcia Garrett Rapp Gene Tanaka Geoffrey Kamansky Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel Gerald Yahr Gina Nicholls Gino L. Filippi Greg Woodside **Gregor Larabee** Henry DeHaan Hope Smythe Irene Islas James Curatalo James Jenkins James McKenzie Jane Anderson Janine Wilson Jasmin A. Hall Jason Marseilles Jason Pivovaroff Jean Cihigovenetche Jeff Evers Jeff Mosher Jeffrey L. Pierson Jennifer Hy-Luk Jessie Ruedas Jim Markman Jim W. Bowman Jimmy Gutierrez - Law Offices of Jimmy Gutierrez Jimmy Medrano jimmy@city-attorney.com Joanne Chan Joao Feitoza Joe Graziano Joe Joswiak Joel Ignacio John Abusham John Bosler John Harper John Huitsing

John Lopez John Lopez and Nathan Cole John Mendoza John Partridge John Schatz John Thornton

Jose A Galindo

frank.brommen@verizon.net FrankY@cbwm.org ffudacz@nossaman.com fgalante@awattorneys.com ggarcia@mvwd.org grapp@westyost.com Gene.Tanaka@bbklaw.com gkamansky@niagarawater.com geoffreyvh60@gmail.com yahrj@koll.com gnicholls@nossaman.com Ginoffvine@aol.com gwoodside@ocwd.com Gregor.Larabee@cdcr.ca.gov Hdehaan1950@gmail.com hsmythe@waterboards.ca.gov irene.islas@bbklaw.com jamesc@cvwdwater.com cnomgr@airports.sbcounty.gov jmckenzie@dpw.sbcounty.gov janderson@jcsd.us JWilson@cbwm.org jhall@ieua.org imarseilles@ieua.org JPivovaroff@wmwd.com Jean@theiclawfirm.com jevers@niagarawater.com jmosher@sawpa.org jpierson@intexcorp.com jhyluk@ieua.org Jessie@thejclawfirm.com jmarkman@rwglaw.com jbowman@ontarioca.gov

jimmylaredo@gmail.com Jaime.medrano2@cdcr.ca.gov jimmy@city-attorney.com jchan@wvwd.org joao.feitoza@cmc.com jgraz4077@aol.com JJoswiak@cbwm.org jignacio@ieua.org john.abusham@nrg.com johnb@cvwdwater.com jrharper@harperburns.com johnhuitsing@gmail.com ilopez@sarwc.com customerservice@sarwc.com jmendoza@tvmwd.com jpartridge@angelica.com jschatz13@cox.net JThorntonPE@H2OExpert.net Jose.A.Galindo@linde.com

Josh Swift Joshua Aquilar Justin Brokaw Justin Nakano Justin Scott-Coe Ph. D. Karen Williams Kathleen Brundage Keith Kramer Keith Person Ken Waring Kevin O'Toole Kevin Sage Kimberly E. Leefatt Kristina Robb Kurt Berchtold Kyle Brochard Kyle Snay Larry Cain Laura Mantilla Lauren Harold Linda Jadeski Lisa Lemoine Liz Hurst Marco Tule Maria Ayala Maria Mendoza Maribel Sosa Marilyn Levin Mark D. Hensley Mark Wildermuth Mark Wiley Martin Cihigoyenetche Martin Rauch Martin Zvirbulis Mathew C. Ballantyne Matthew H. Litchfield May Atencio Melissa L. Walker mgarcia@ieua.org Michael A. Blazevic Michael Adler Michael Camacho Michael Camacho Michael P. Thornton Michelle Licea **Michelle Staples** Mike Gardner Mike Maestas Moore, Toby **MWDProgram** Nadia Aquirre Natalie Costaglio Nathan deBoom

jmswift@fontanawater.com jaguilar@ieua.org jbrokaw@marygoldmutualwater.com JNakano@cbwm.org jscottcoe@mvwd.org kwilliams@sawpa.org kathleen.brundage@californiasteel.com kkramer@fontana.org keith.person@waterboards.ca.gov kwaring@jcsd.us kotoole@ocwd.com Ksage@IRMwater.com kleefatt@bhfs.com KRobb@cc.sbcounty.gov kberchtold@gmail.com KBrochard@rwglaw.com kylesnay@gswater.com larry.cain@cdcr.ca.gov Imantilla@ieua.org lharold@linklogistics.com ljadeski@wvwd.org LLemoine@wmwd.com ehurst@ieua.org marco.tule@nrg.com mayala@jcsd.us mmendoza@westyost.com msosa@ci.pomona.ca.us marilyn.levin@doj.ca.gov mhensley@hensleylawgroup.com mwildermuth@westyost.com mwiley@chinohills.org marty@thejclawfirm.com martin@rauchcc.com mezvirbulis@sqvwater.com mballantyne@cityofchino.org mlitchfield@tvmwd.com matencio@fontana.org mwalker@dpw.sbcounty.gov mgarcia@ieua.org mblazevic@westyost.com michael.adler@mcmcnet.net MCamacho@pacificaservices.com mcamacho@ieua.org mthornton@tkeengineering.com mlicea@mvwd.org mstaples@jacksontidus.law mgardner@wmwd.com mikem@cvwdwater.com TobyMoore@gswater.com MWDProgram@sdcwa.org naguirre@tvmwd.com natalie.costaglio@mcmcnet.net n8deboom@gmail.com

Neetu Gupta Nichole Horton Nick Jacobs Nicole deMoet Nicole Escalante Noah Golden-Krasner Parker Simon Paul Deutsch Paul Hofer Paul Hofer Paul S. Leon Penny Alexander-Kelley Pete Hall Pete Hall Pete Vicario Peter Hettinga Peter Kavounas Peter Rogers Praseetha Krishnan **Rachel Avila Rachel Ortiz Randy Visser Richard Anderson Rick Darnell Rick Rees** Rickey S. Manbahal Rita Pro Robert C. Hawkins Robert DeLoach Robert E. Donlan Robert Neufeld **Robert Wagner** Ron Craig Ron LaBrucherie, Jr. Ronald C. Pietersma **Ruben Llamas** Ryan Shaw Sally H. Lee Sam Nelson Sam Rubenstein Sandra S. Rose Sarah Foley Sarah Schneider Scott Burton Scott Slater Seth J. Zielke Shawnda M. Grady Shivaji Deshmukh Skylar Stephens Sonya Barber Sonya Zite **Stephanie Reimer** Stephen Deitsch

ngupta@ieua.org Nichole_Horton@ci.pomona.ca.us njacobs@somachlaw.com ndemoet@ci.upland.ca.us NEscalante@ontarioca.gov Noah.goldenkrasner@doj.ca.gov psimon@bhfs.com Paul.deutsch@tetratech.com farmerhofer@aol.com farmwatchtoo@aol.com pleon@ontarioca.gov Palexander-kelley@cc.sbcounty.gov rpetehall@gmail.com pete.hall@cdcr.ca.gov PVicario@cityofchino.org peterhettinga@yahoo.com PKavounas@cbwm.org progers@chinohills.org praseethak@cvwdwater.com R.Avila@MPGLAW.com rortiz@nossaman.com RVisser@sheppardmullin.com horsfly1@yahoo.com Richard.Darnell@nrgenergy.com richard.rees@woodplc.com smanbahal@wvwd.org rpro@cityofchino.org RHawkins@earthlink.net robertadeloach1@gmail.com red@eslawfirm.com robneu1@yahoo.com rwagner@wbecorp.com Rcraig21@icloud.com ronLaBrucherie@gmail.com rcpietersma@aol.com rllamas71@yahoo.com RShaw@wmwd.com shlee@ieua.org snelson@ci.norco.ca.us srubenstein@wpcarey.com directorrose@mvwd.org Sarah.Foley@bbklaw.com sarah.schneider@amec.com sburton@ontarioca.gov sslater@bhfs.com sjzielke@fontanawater.com sgrady@eslawfirm.com sdeshmukh@ieua.org SStephens@sdcwa.org sbarber@ci.upland.ca.us szite@wmwd.com SReimer@mvwd.org stephen.deitsch@bbklaw.com

Steve Kennedy Steve M. Anderson Steve Nix Steve Riboli Steve Smith Steve W. Ledbetter, PE Steven Andrews Engineering Steven Flower Steven J. Elie Steven J. Elie Steven Popelar Steven Raughley Susan Palmer Sylvie Lee Tamer Ahmed Tammi Ford Taya Victorino Teri Layton **Terry Bettencourt** Terry Catlin Tim Barr Tim Kellett **Timothy Ryan** Toby Moore Todd Minten Tom Barnes Tom Bunn Tom Cruikshank Tom Harder Tom McPeters Tom O'Neill Toni Medell Tony Long Toyasha Sebbag Tracy J. Egoscue Van Jew Vanessa Aldaz Vanessa Campos Veronica Tristan Veva Weamer Victor Preciado Vivian Castro Wade Fultz WestWater Research, LLC William J Brunick William Urena

skennedy@bmklawplc.com steve.anderson@bbklaw.com snix@ci.upland.ca.us steve.riboli@sanantoniowinery.com ssmith@ieua.org sledbetter@tkeengineering.com sandrews@sandrewsengineering.com sflower@rwglaw.com selie@ieua.org s.elie@mpglaw.com spopelar@jcsd.us Steven.Raughley@cao.sbcounty.gov spalmer@kidmanlaw.com slee@ieua.org tamer.ahmed@cdcr.ca.gov tford@wmwd.com tayav@cvwdwater.com tlayton@sawaterco.com miles.bettencourt@cdcr.ca.gov tlcatlin@wfajpa.org tbarr@wmwd.com tkellett@tvmwd.com tjryan@sqvwater.com TobyMoore@gswater.com tminten@sbcglobal.net tbarnes@esassoc.com TomBunn@Lagerlof.com tcruikshank@linklogistics.com tharder@thomashardercompany.com THMcP@aol.com toneill@chinodesalter.org mmedel@mbakerintl.com tlong@angelica.com tsebbag@cbwcd.org tracy@egoscuelaw.com vjew@wvwd.org valdaz@cbwm.org VCampos@ontarioca.gov vtristan@jcsd.us vweamer@westyost.com Victor_Preciado@ci.pomona.ca.us vcastro@cityofchino.org Wade.Fultz@cmc.com research@waterexchange.com bbrunick@bmblawoffice.com wurena@angelica.com