1 2	NOSSAMAN LLP FREDERIC A. FUDACZ (SBN 50546)	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEE PER GOV. CODE, § 6103	
3	ffudacz@nossaman.com GINA R. NICHOLLS (SBN 270174)		
4	gnicholls@nossaman.com 777 S. Figueroa Street, 34th Floor		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: 213.612.7800		
6	Facsimile: 213.612.7801		
	Attorneys for CITY OF ONTARIO		
7	[Additional Parties on Following Pages]		
8			
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	FOR THE COUNTY O	OF SAN BERNARDINO	
12			
13	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER	Case No: RCVRS 51010	
14	DISTRICT,	Assigned for All Purposes to:	
15	Plaintiff,	Honorable Stanford E. Reichert	
16	vs.	APPROPRIATIVE POOL MEMBER AGENCIES' RESPONSE TO	
17	CITY OF CHINO, ET AL.,	AGRICULTURAL POOL'S BRIEFING RE: 1998 RULING AND SEPARATION	
18	Defendants.	OF POWERS, ETC.	
19		Date: May 28, 2021 Time: 1:30 p.m.	
20		Department: S35	
21		Motion Filed: October 23, 2020 Motion Heard: November 13, 2020	
22		Motion Heard. November 13, 2020	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

APPROPRIATIVE POOL MEMBER AGENCIES' RESPONSE TO AGRICULTURAL POOL'S BRIEFING RE: 1998 RULING, ETC.

1 2 3 4	Thomas S. Bunn III (CSB #89502) Lagerlof, LLP 155 N. Lake Ave., 11th Floor Pasadena, CA 91101 (626) 793-9400 Attorneys for CITY OF POMONA
5 6 7 8	Thomas H. McPeters, Esq. (SBN 034300) THMcp@aol.com 700 E. Redlands Blvd., Suite U-297 Redlands, CA 92373
9 10	Telephone: (909) 253-7730 Facsimile: (909) 253-7731 Attorney for SAN ANTONIO WATER COMPANY and FONTANA UNION WATER
11 12	COMPANY
13141516	ARTHUR G. KIDMAN, CAL. BAR NO. 61719 ANDREW B. GAGEN, CAL. BAR NO. 212257 KIDMAN GAGEN LAW LLP 2030 Main Street, Suite 1300 Irvine, CA 92614 Telephone: (714) 755-3100 agagen@kidmanlaw.com
17 18	Attorneys for MONTE VISTA WATER DISTRICT and MONTE VISTA IRRIGATION COMPANY
19 20 21 22 23 24	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP GENE TANAKA, Bar No. 101423 Gene.Tanaka@bbklaw.com STEVE ANDERSON, Bar No. 186700 Steve.Anderson@bbklaw.com 2001 North Main St., Ste. 390 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 977-3301
25	Attorneys for CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT
26	[Additional Parties on Following Pages]
27 28	-2-
	ADDRODDIATIVE DOOL MEMBER AGENCIES' DESDONSE TO AGRICUI TURAL POOL'S RRIEFING RE

1998 RULING, ETC.

1	ELLISON SCHNEIDER HARRIS & DONLAN LLP
2	ROBERT E. DONLAN (SNB 186185)
3	red@eslawfirm.com SHAWNDA M. GRADY (SBN 289060)
	sgrady@eslawfirm.com
4	2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400 Sacramento, CA 95816
5	Telephone: (916) 447-2166
6	Attorneys for JURUPA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT
7	Audileys for JOROLA COMMONTEL SERVICES DISTRICT
8	
9	MARK D. HENSLEY, State Bar No. 142653 CITY ATTORNEY, CITY OF CHINO HILLS
	mhensley@hensleylawgroup.com
10	ELIZABETH M. CALCIANO, State Bar No. 161080 ecalciano@hensleylawgroup.com
11	HENSLEY LAW GROUP 2600 W. Olive Avenue, Suite 500
12	Burbank, CA 91505 Tel: (818) 333-5120; Fax: (818) 333-5121
13	
14	Attorneys for CITY OF CHINO HILLS
15	
16	Jimmy L. Gutierrez Jimmy L. Gutierrez Law Corporation
17	12616 Central Avenue Chino, CA 91710
	909 591 6336 Office
18	909 717 1100 Mobile <u>Jimmy@City-Attorney.com</u>
19	Attorneys for CITY OF CHINO
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 3 - APPROPRIATIVE POOL MEMBER AGENCIES' RESPONSE TO AGRICULTURAL POOL'S BRIEFING RE:
- 1	APPROPRIATIVE POOL MEMBER AGENCIES RESPONSE TO AGRICULTURAL POOL'S BRIEFING RE:

1998 RULING, ETC.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nothing in the Agricultural Pool's briefing dated May 14, 2021 ("Ag Pool Brief") precludes the Court's tentative ruling (stated below) on the Motion of the Appropriative Pool Member Agencies Re: Agricultural Pool Legal and Other Expenses ("Motion"):

[T]o be payable by the Appropriative Pool under Section 5.4(a) of the Peace Agreement, expenses incurred by the Agricultural Pool must be:

(1) for actions, programs, or projects initiated by Watermaster; and

(2) within a budget pre-approved following review through the Pool process including submission to and approval by the Advisory Committee to the Watermaster; and

(3) consistent with the Peace Agreement and legitimate Ag Pool functions pursuant to Section 38 of the Restated Judgement; and

(4) reasonable[.]

The court also recognizes a certain fundamental unfairness in charging Appropriative Pool Member Agencies for bills they have not seen because the Agricultural Pool members claim they are privileged.

The court would order reimbursements to parties who paid assessments above the budget previously approved by the Advisory Committee to the Watermaster. . . .

(Minute Order, Apr. 5, 2021.) The Court's tentative ruling correctly addresses only the specific issue presented by the Motion, i.e., the appropriate interpretation of Section 5.4(a) of the Peace Agreement. The Ag Pool Brief continues to protest this interpretation while failing to present any argument that would compel a different conclusion.

The Ag Pool's submittal goes well beyond the identified scope of the additional briefing. The Court requested briefing "regarding the Order made by the Court on 2/19/98 [the "1998 Ruling"]." (Minute Order, Apr. 30, 2021.) Throughout 15 pages of briefing, the Ag Pool Brief fails to explain any importance of the 1998 Ruling to the Court's tentative ruling. While ranging far afield from the Court's requested scope of briefing in an effort to relitigate its opposition to the Motion, the Ag Pool Brief fails to address many of the arguments presented in the Motion. For example, the Ag Pool continues to ignore that unlimited fee-shifting ("all' means 'all'" according to the Ag Pool) violates fundamental principles of contract interpretation and public policy.

Contrary to sweeping assertions made in the Ag Pool Brief, the Court's tentative ruling poses no threat to good governance of the Chino Basin. The issue at hand is not so dramatic.

Members of the Appropriative Pool ("AP Member Agencies") filed the Motion to resolve a

///

payment dispute arising from the Ag Pool's interpretation of Section 5.4(a) of the Peace Agreement. This is a narrow contractual dispute. The Chino Basin Restated Judgment ("Judgment") does not contemplate one Pool paying another Pool's expenses. If the Ag Pool paid its own expenses like the other Pools, there would be no occasion for this Motion.

This unique mechanism whereby the AP is responsible for payment of certain Ag Pool expenses is rife with potential for abuse. In recent years, it has been abused by the Ag Pool. The Ag Pool Brief admits that it used AP funds to prosecute Storage Contests against AP members. (Ag Pool Brief, 14:10-22.) The Ag Pool used AP funding in contravention of the specific and express requirement of the Watermaster Regulations that each party bears its own costs for Storage Contests. (Regulations, § 10.26.) Also, the Ag Pool has sought to require the AP to pay its mediation and other expenses in connection with the instant Motion. Unless restrained by this Court's reasonable interpretation of Section 5.4(a), the Ag Pool will continue to insist on an insupportably broad reading of that section to prosecute the Storage Contests and other adversarial proceedings against the AP, at the AP's expense, all under the veil of asserted privilege. It is difficult to imagine a greater imbalance of power and abuse of Section 5.4(a).

The Motion has nothing to do with whether the Ag Pool can hire an attorney to represent it. The Motion does not challenge the Ag Pool's ability to retain counsel. The Ag Pool has the same authority as the other Pools to hire an attorney and pay legal fees via assessments.

The Ag Pool is not the Watermaster and does not serve as the guardian of the "health and stability of the Basin itself." (Ag Pool Brief, 14:19.) They are stakeholders just like the other Pools and their members. The Ag Pool has the highest priority water rights under the Judgment, which will continue to be protected. The Ag Pool will continue to exist and function as part of the governance structure of the Basin, even as the amount of their pumping continues to diminish due to changing agricultural circumstances. To continue their important role in Basin governance, the Ag Pool does not need (and cannot lawfully contract for) an unaccountable war chest for legal expenses, funded by the AP.

The Court's tentative ruling appropriately interprets Section 5.4(a) as having reasonable and legally appropriate limits on fee-shifting, and would uphold the Peace Agreement and its interpretation by the Pools in the 2009 Memorandum of the Joint Special Pool Committee ("2009 Memo").

II. THE 1998 RULING IS IRRELEVANT TO THE MEANING OF THE PEACE AGREEMENT, § 5.4(A)

The Ag Pool Brief struggles to explain the importance of the 1998 Ruling and the 1997 Report of Special Referee Schneider, referenced and incorporated therein ("Report"). The difficulty is understandable, because there is no importance to the Motion. To the extent the Ruling and Report address Basin governance, they support the 2009 Memo and the Court's tentative ruling regarding the role of the Advisory Committee.

A. The 1998 Ruling Does Not Explain Section 5.4(a).

The 1998 Ruling predates the execution of the Peace Agreement by more than a year. The issues resolved by the Ruling are even older. The Ruling and the Report focus generally on the composition of the Watermaster and the relationship between the Watermaster and the Advisory Committee. The specific issues addressed by the Ruling include a 1997 motion to appoint a ninemember Watermaster Board and to determine whether the cost of an audit constituted a Watermaster expense. These issues have nothing to do with the meaning of Section 5.4(a) of the Peace Agreement.

The 1998 Ruling cannot and does not address the meaning of the Peace Agreement, which was entered in 2000. The Ruling could not have interpreted the terms of an agreement that did not exist at the time. Accordingly, the Court need not consider the Ruling. ¹

- -

(Report, 16:10-13.)

¹ Even if the Court were to consider the 1998 Ruling, the Court's tentative ruling on the Motion is

not inconsistent with the Report's description of the respective roles and duties of the Pools, the Advisory Committee, and Watermaster Board. As also noted in the Report, "any disputed

direction from a Pool to the Watermaster would be made through the Advisory Committee."

As the Court appropriately concluded, the meaning of section 5.4(a) is informed by the 2009 Memo, which articulates the process the Pools agreed upon for payment of certain Ag Pool expenses in resolving a similar, prior dispute between the Ag Pool and the AP. The joint resolution of the Pools set forth in the 2009 Memo states that the annual proposed budget must be (1) reviewed through the Pool process and (2) "approved and submitted by the Advisory Committee to the Watermaster." The Court's tentative ruling correctly would adopt this process for Ag Pool expenses payable by the AP under Section 5.4(a), as outlined by the Pools in the 2009 Memo.

The requirement for budget pre-approval by the Advisory Committee of the Ag Pool budget, to the extent payable by the AP, preserves the Watermaster's asserted role as "mailman." It does not give the AP a "veto" over the Ag Pool's budget. The Ag Pool has the same authority as the other Pools to pay its own expenses via assessments. Also, the Ag Pool has recourse under the Judgment by seeking relief from this Court.

B. Res Judicata and Collateral Estoppel Do Not Apply.

The Ag Pool Brief stretches the related doctrines of res judicata ("claim preclusion") and collateral estoppel ("issue preclusion") beyond all recognition, in an effort to tie the hands of this Court and the Watermaster. The Ag Pool Brief asserts both doctrines in vague terms, making it difficult to ascertain how either doctrine applies. In any event, the Ag Pool's argument depends on a faulty premise that the 1998 Ruling, which confirmed that the cost of an audit constituted a valid Watermaster expense in the era pre-Peace Agreement, somehow prevents the Court from reasonably interpreting Section 5.4(a) of the Peace Agreement today.

The doctrine of collateral estoppel is an aspect of the concept of res judicata that "precludes relitigation of issues argued and decided in prior proceedings." (*Lucido v. Superior Court* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 335, 341.) The doctrine applies "only if several threshold requirements are fulfilled. [1] First, the issue sought to be precluded from relitigation must be identical to that decided in a former proceeding. [2] Second, this issue must have been actually litigated in the former proceeding. [3] Third, it must have been necessarily decided in the former proceeding. [4]

1 | Fo 2 | pa 3 | fo 4 | Ca 5 | red 6 | sa 7 | (S

Fourth, the decision in the former proceeding must be final and on the merits. [5] Finally, the party against whom preclusion is sought must be the same as, or in privity with, the party to the former proceeding." (*Ibid.*; *Pacific Lumber Co. v. State Water Resources Control Bd.* (2006) 37 Cal. 4th 921.) The party asserting estoppel bears the burden of establishing all these requirements. (*Lucido, supra*, 51 Cal.3d at p. 341.) Even if all the elements of estoppel were satisfied, and they are not, the Court still could exercise its discretion not to apply the doctrine. (See *Owens v. Cnty. of Los Angeles* (2013) 220 Cal.App.4th 107, 121.)

The Ag Pool Brief conflates the issues presented in the Motion with Watermaster's 1990sera effort to confirm that a particular audit expense was proper and payable as a valid Watermaster expense. In doing so, the Ag Pool Brief incorrectly over-simplifies both matters as an "attempt to prevent a non-discretionary assessment by the Watermaster." (12:22-23.) The two matters are completely different. It is obvious that the audit expense for which the Chino Basin Municipal Water District (then-serving as Watermaster) sought reimbursement had nothing to do with the Peace Agreement. The Peace Agreement – a contractual agreement among the parties – did not exist at the time. The audit expense arose in a unique context of restructuring the governance of the Chino Basin in the 1990s. It had nothing to do with Watermaster's performance or implementation of the Peace Agreement, nor any interpretation of the Peace Agreement as between the Ag Pool and the AP Member Agencies. The parties are different. The two matters, and the issues and positions taken, are different. Neither res judicata nor collateral estoppel applies here.

III. AG POOL HAD AMPLE DUE PROCESS

Due process principles call for notice and opportunity to be heard before governmental deprivation of a significant property interest. (*City of Santa Monica v. Gonzalez* (2008) 43 Cal.4th 905, 927.) The Ag Pool has been given ample due process in connection with the reimbursement of funds paid by AP members. Reimbursement is not a new issue. Reimbursements were requested in the Motion and the proposed order lodged therewith. (Motion, filed 9/18/20, at 20:14-15; Proposed Order, lodged on 9/18/20 [proposing an order that

- 1			
1	the "AP is entitled to a refund of any such expenses already paid."].) The relevant amounts for		
2	Fiscal Year 2019-2020 were presented to within a few hundred dollars in the moving papers.		
3	(Motion, filed 9/18/20, at 11:19-21 and fn.2; Declaration of S. Burton, filed 9/18/20 and re-filed		
4	9/21/20, at ¶¶ 5, 13.) The requested reimbursement amounts were confirmed to the penny during		
5	the hearing conducted by the Court on April 30, 2021. The same exact amounts are reiterated in		
6	the Declaration of John Schatz filed herewith. The Motion has been fully briefed, sent to		
7	mediation, rebriefed by the Ag Pool, and will have been heard by the Court on at least three		
8	occasions. The Ag Pool has had ample due process.		
9	IV. CONCLUSION		
10	The Court's tentative ruling will not destroy the role of the Ag Pool or upset the		
11	governance of Chino Basin. Only a small portion of the Ag Pool's budget is at issue, and that		
12	represents only a tiny fraction of Watermaster's overall budget. The Motion does not challenge		
13	the Ag Pool's ability to retain counsel; the Ag Pool has the same authority as the other Pools to		
14	hire an attorney and pay legal fees via assessments. Contract law, public policy, and fundamenta		
15	principles of fairness prevent public water suppliers and the ratepaying public from paying		
16	unlimited legal expenses with no accountability.		
17			
18	Dated: May 21, 2021 NOSSAMAN LLP		
19	FREDERIC A. FUDACZ GINA R. NICHOLLS		
20	Down To a A		
21	By: Frederic A. Fudacz		
22	Attorneys for CITY OF ONTARIO		
23			
24	[SIGNATURES CONTINUE ON FOLLOWING PAGES]		
25			
26			
27			
ا م.			

1	Dated: May 21, 2021	Lagerlof, LLP	
2		11 17 7	
3		By: Thomas S. Bum-III	
4		Thomas S. Bunn III Attorneys for CITY OF POMONA	
5			
6	Dated: May 21, 2021	Thomas H. McPeters, Esq.	
7		By: Thom O Moods	
8		Thomas H. McPeters	
9		Attorney for SAN ANTONIO WATER COMPANY and FONTANA	
10		UNION WATER COMPANY	
11		WYDY CAN GENERALLED	
12	Dated: May 21, 2021	KIDMAN GAGEN LAW LLP	
13		By: Andrew B. Cagen 164 GRN	
14		Arthur G. Kidman Andrew B. Gagen	
15 16		Attorneys for MONTE VISTA WATER DISTRICT and MONTE VISTA IRRIGATION COMPANY	
17		VISTA INDIVIDUALITATA	
18	Dated: May 21, 2021	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP	
19	Batod: Way 21, 2021		
20		By: Steve anderson / by GRAV	
21		Gene Tanaka Steve Anderson	
22		Attorneys for CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT	
23			
24			
25	[SIGN	ATURES CONTINUE ON FOLLOWING PAGE]	
26			
27			
28		- 10 -	
	APPROPRIATIVE POOL MEMBER AGENCIES' RESPONSE TO AGRICULTURAL POOL'S BRIEFING RE:		

1998 RULING, ETC.

1		
2	Dated: May 21, 2021	ELLISON SCHNEIDER HARRIS & DONLAN LLP
3		By: Shawada M. Gardy Jey Car
4		Shawnda M. Grady
5		Attorneys for JURUPA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT
6		
7	Dated: May 21, 2021	HENSLEY LAW GROUP
8		By: Elyated M. Caloimo
9		By: Elizabeth M. Calciano
10		Attorneys for CITY OF CHINO HILLS
11		
12	Dated: May 21, 2021	JIMMY L. GUTIERREZ LAW CORPORATION
13		D. 1. 14 (+. 11
14		By: June L. Gutierrez
15		Attorneys for CITY OF CHINO
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		X
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		11

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Case No. RCVRS 51010

Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

correct.

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730; telephone (909) 484-3888.

On May 21, 2021 I served the following:

		1. APPROPRIATIVE POOL MEMBER AGENCIES' RESPONSE TO AGRICULTURAL POOL'S BRIEFING RE: 1998 RULING AND SEPARATION OF POWERS, ETC.
/ <u>X</u> /		BY MAIL: in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed with postage thereon fully prepaid, for delivery by United States Postal Service mail at Rancho Cucamonga, California, addresses as follows: See attached service list: Mailing List 1
//		BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee.
/ <u></u> /		BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted said document by fax transmission from (909) 484-3890 to the fax number(s) indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting fax machine.
<u>/ X _</u> /		BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I transmitted notice of availability of electronic documents by electronic transmission to the email address indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting electronic mail device.
I decla	ar	e under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and

Executed on May 21, 2021 in Rancho Cucamonga, California.

By: Janine Wilson

Chino Basin Watermaster

PAUL HOFER CBWM BOARD MEMBER 11248 S TURNER AVE ONTARIO, CA 91761

JEFF PIERSON 2 HEXAM IRVINE, CA 92603

ALLEN HUBSCH LOEB & LOEB LLP 10100 SANTA MONICA BLVD. SUITE 2200 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

Members:

Agnes Cheng Al Lopez

Alan Frost

Alberto Mendoza Alfonso Ruiz Allen W. Hubsch

Alma Heustis

Alonso Jurado Amanda Coker

Amanda Meere

Amer Jakher

Amy Bonczewski Andrew Gagen

Andy Campbell

Andy Malone Angelica Todd

Angelo Simoes Anna Nelson

April Robitaille

Armando Martinez Art Bennett

Arthur Kidman Ashok Dhingra

Ben Lewis Ben Peralta

Benjamin M. Weink

Betty Anderson Betty Folsom

Bill Schwartz Bob Bowcock

Bob DiPrimio Bob Feenstra

Bob Kuhn

Bob Kuhn

Bob Page Brad Herrema

Braden Yu

Braden Yu

Brandon Howard Brenda Fowler Brent Yamasaki

Brian Dickinson

Brian Geye Brian Lee

Cameron Andreasen

Carmen Sierra Carol Boyd Carolina Sanchez

Casey Costa
Cassandra Hooks
Catharine Irvine

agnes.cheng@cc.sbcounty.gov

alopez@wmwd.com

Alan.Frost@dpw.sbcounty.gov Alberto.Mendoza@cmc.com alfonso.ruiz@cmc.com

ahubsch@loeb.com

alma.heustis@californiasteel.com

ajurado@cbwm.org acoker@cityofchino.org

Amanda. Meere@cao. sbcounty. gov

AJakher@cityofchino.org ABonczewski@ontarioca.gov agagen@kidmanlaw.com acampbell@ieua.org amalone@westyost.com

amaione@westyost.com angelica.todd@ge.com Angelo.Simoes@linde.com atruongnelson@cbwm.org arobitaille@bhfs.com

armartinez@fontana.org citycouncil@chinohills.org akidman@kidmanlaw.com ash@akdconsulting.com

benjamin.lewis@gswater.com

bperalta@tvmwd.com ben.weink@tetratech.com banderson@jcsd.us bfolsom@jcsd.us

bschwartz@mvwd.org bbowcock@irmwater.com rjdiprimio@sgvwater.com bobfeenstra@gmail.com

bkuhn@tvmwd.com bgkuhn@aol.com

Bob.Page@rov.sbcounty.gov

bherrema@bhfs.com bradeny@cvwdwater.com Byu@ci.upland.ca.us

brahoward@niagarawater.com balee@fontanawater.com byamasaki@mwdh2o.com bdickinson65@gmail.com bgeye@autoclubspeedway.com

blee@sawaterco.com

memphisbelle38@outlook.com carmens@cvwdwater.com Carol.Boyd@doj.ca.gov csanchez@westyost.com ccosta@chinodesalter.org chooks@niagarawater.com

cirvine@DowneyBrand.com

Chad Blais
Chander Letulle
Charles Field
Charles Linder
Charles Moorrees
Chino Hills City Council

Chris Berch
Chris Diggs
Christiana Daisy
Christofer Coppinger
Christopher M. Sanders
Christopher Quach
Christopher R. Guillen
Cindy Cisneros

Cindy Li
Cinthia Heredia
Clarence Mansell
Courtney Jones
Craig Miller
Craig Stewart
Cris Fealy
Dan Arrighi
Dan McKinney
Daniel Bobadilla

Dave Crosley
David Aladjem
David De Jesus
David Doublet
David Huynh
David Penrice
Dawn Martin
Denise Garzaro
Dennis Dooley
Dennis Mejia
Dennis Williams
Diana Frederick

Don Galleano

Ed Means

Eunice Ulloa

Evette Ounanian

Danny Kim

Dave Argo

Edgar Tellez Foster
Eduardo Espinoza
Edward Kolodziej
Elizabeth M. Calciano
Elizabeth Skrzat
Eric Fordham
Eric Garner
Eric Grubb
Eric Papathakis
Eric Tarango
Erika Clement

cblais@ci.norco.ca.us cletulle@jcsd.us cdfield@att.net

Charles.Linder@nrgenergy.com cmoorrees@sawaterco.com citycouncil@chinohills.org

cberch@jcsd.us

Chris_Diggs@ci.pomona.ca.us

cdaisy@ieua.org

ccoppinger@geoscience-water.com

cms@eslawfirm.com cquach@ontarioca.gov cguillen@bhfs.com cindyc@cvwdwater.com Cindy.li@waterboards.ca.gov Cinthia.Heredia@cmc.com cmansell@wvwd.org cjjones@ontarioca.gov CMiller@wmwd.com

craig.stewart@woodplc.com cifealy@fontanawater.com darrighi@sgvwater.com

dmckinney@douglascountylaw.com

dbobadilla@chinohills.org dkim@linklogistics.com daveargo46@icloud.com DCrosley@cityofchino.org daladjem@downeybrand.com

dde.ublat@daw.shcounty

ddoublet@dpw.sbcounty.gov

dhuynh@cbwm.org dpenrice@acmwater.com Dawn.Martin@cc.sbcounty.gov

dgarzaro@ieua.org ddooley@angelica.com dmejia@ontarioca.gov

dwilliams@geoscience-water.com diana.frederick@cdcr.ca.gov dongalleano@icloud.com edmeans@roadrunner.com etellezfoster@cbwm.org EduardoE@cvwdwater.com edward.kolodziej@ge.com ecalciano@hensleylawgroup.com

ecalciano@nensieyiawgroup.co

ESkrzat@cbwcd.org

eric_fordham@geopentech.com

eric.garner@bbklaw.com ericg@cvwdwater.com Eric.Papathakis@cdcr.ca.gov edtarango@fontanawater.com

Erika.clement@sce.com eulloa@cityofchino.org EvetteO@cvwdwater.com Frank Brommenschenkel

Frank Yoo Fred Fudacz Fred Galante Gabby Garcia Garrett Rapp Gene Tanaka

Geoffrey Kamansky Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel

Gerald Yahr
Gina Nicholls
Gino L. Filippi
Greg Woodside
Gregor Larabee
Henry DeHaan
Hope Smythe
Irene Islas
James Curatalo
James Jenkins
James McKenzie
Jane Anderson
Janine Wilson
Jasmin A. Hall
Jason Marseilles

Jeff Evers
Jeff Mosher
Jeffrey L. Pierson
Jennifer Hy-Luk
Jessie Ruedas
Jim Markman
Jim W. Bowman

Jason Pivovaroff Jean Cihigoyenetche

Jimmy Gutierrez - Law Offices of Jimmy Gutierrez

Jimmy Medrano

jimmy@city-attorney.com

Joanne Chan
Joao Feitoza
Joe Graziano
Joe Joswiak
Joel Ignacio
John Abusham
John Bosler
John Harper
John Huitsing

John Lopez and Nathan Cole

John Mendoza John Partridge John Schatz John Thornton Jose A Galindo

John Lopez

frank.brommen@verizon.net

FrankY@cbwm.org ffudacz@nossaman.com fgalante@awattorneys.com

ggarcia@mvwd.org grapp@westyost.com Gene.Tanaka@bbklaw.com gkamansky@niagarawater.com geoffreyvh60@gmail.com

yahrj@koll.com

gnicholls@nossaman.com Ginoffvine@aol.com gwoodside@ocwd.com Gregor.Larabee@cdcr.ca.gov Hdehaan1950@gmail.com hsmythe@waterboards.ca.gov irene.islas@bbklaw.com jamesc@cvwdwater.com cnomgr@airports.sbcounty.gov

cnomgr@airports.sbcounty.gov jmckenzie@dpw.sbcounty.gov

janderson@jcsd.us JWilson@cbwm.org jhall@ieua.org jmarseilles@ieua.org JPivovaroff@wmwd.com Jean@thejclawfirm.com jevers@niagarawater.com jmosher@sawpa.org jpierson@intexcorp.com

jhyluk@ieua.org

Jessie@thejclawfirm.com jmarkman@rwglaw.com jbowman@ontarioca.gov

jimmylaredo@gmail.com Jaime.medrano2@cdcr.ca.gov jimmy@city-attorney.com

jchan@wvwd.org
joao.feitoza@cmc.com
jgraz4077@aol.com
JJoswiak@cbwm.org
jignacio@ieua.org
john.abusham@nrg.com
johnb@cvwdwater.com
jrharper@harperburns.com
johnhuitsing@gmail.com
jlopez@sarwc.com

customerservice@sarwc.com jmendoza@tvmwd.com jpartridge@angelica.com jschatz13@cox.net

JThorntonPE@H2OExpert.net Jose.A.Galindo@linde.com Josh Swift Joshua Aguilar Justin Brokaw Justin Nakano

Justin Scott-Coe Ph. D.

Karen Williams Kathleen Brundage Keith Kramer

Keith Person Ken Waring Kevin O'Toole Kevin Sage

Kimberly E. Leefatt
Kristina Robb
Kurt Berchtold
Kyle Brochard
Kyle Snay
Larry Cain
Laura Mantilla
Lauren Harold
Linda Jadeski
Lisa Lemoine
Liz Hurst
Marco Tule
Maria Ayala
Maria Mendoza

Mark Wildermuth Mark Wiley

Maribel Sosa Marilyn Levin

Mark D. Henslev

Martin Cihigoyenetche

Martin Rauch
Martin Zvirbulis
Mathew C. Ballantyne
Matthew H. Litchfield

May Atencio
Melissa L. Walker
mgarcia@ieua.org
Michael A. Blazevic
Michael Adler
Michael Camacho
Michael Camacho
Michael P. Thornton

Michelle Licea
Michelle Staples
Mike Gardner
Mike Maestas
Moore, Toby
MWDProgram
Nadia Aguirre
Natalie Costaglio
Nathan deBoom

jmswift@fontanawater.com

jaguilar@ieua.org

jbrokaw@marygoldmutualwater.com

JNakano@cbwm.org jscottcoe@mvwd.org kwilliams@sawpa.org

kathleen.brundage@californiasteel.com

kkramer@fontana.org

keith.person@waterboards.ca.gov

kwaring@jcsd.us
kotoole@ocwd.com
Ksage@IRMwater.com
kleefatt@bhfs.com
KRobb@cc.sbcounty.gov
kberchtold@gmail.com
KBrochard@rwglaw.com
kylesnay@gswater.com
larry.cain@cdcr.ca.gov
Imantilla@ieua.org
lharold@linklogistics.com
liadeski@wvwd.org

LLemoine@wmwd.com ehurst@ieua.org marco.tule@nrg.com mayala@icsd.us

mmendoza@westyost.com msosa@ci.pomona.ca.us marilyn.levin@doj.ca.gov

mhensley@hensleylawgroup.com mwildermuth@westyost.com mwiley@chinohills.org marty@thejclawfirm.com martin@rauchcc.com mezvirbulis@sgvwater.com

mballantyne@cityofchino.org mlitchfield@tvmwd.com matencio@fontana.org mwalker@dpw.sbcounty.gov

mgarcia@ieua.org

mblazevic@westyost.com michael.adler@mcmcnet.net MCamacho@pacificaservices.com

mcamacho@ieua.org

mthornton@tkeengineering.com

mlicea@mvwd.org

mstaples@jacksontidus.law mgardner@wmwd.com mikem@cvwdwater.com TobyMoore@gswater.com MWDProgram@sdcwa.org naguirre@tvmwd.com

natalie.costaglio@mcmcnet.net

n8deboom@gmail.com

Neetu Gupta Nichole Horton Nick Jacobs Nicole deMoet Nicole Escalante Noah Golden-Krasner

Parker Simon Paul Deutsch Paul Hofer Paul Hofer Paul S. Leon

Penny Alexander-Kelley

Pete Hall Pete Hall Pete Vicario Peter Hettinga Peter Kavounas Peter Rogers Praseetha Krishnan

Rachel Avila Rachel Ortiz Randy Visser Richard Anderson Rick Darnell

Rick Rees Rickey S. Manbahal

Rita Pro

Robert C. Hawkins Robert DeLoach Robert E. Donlan Robert Neufeld Robert Wagner Ron Craig

Ron LaBrucherie, Jr. Ronald C. Pietersma

Ruben Llamas Ryan Shaw Sally H. Lee Sam Nelson Sam Rubenstein Sandra S. Rose Sarah Foley Sarah Schneider Scott Burton Scott Slater

Seth J. Zielke Shawnda M. Grady Shivaji Deshmukh Skylar Stephens Sonya Barber Sonya Zite

Stephanie Reimer Stephen Deitsch

ngupta@ieua.org

Nichole Horton@ci.pomona.ca.us

njacobs@somachlaw.com ndemoet@ci.upland.ca.us NEscalante@ontarioca.gov Noah.goldenkrasner@doj.ca.gov

psimon@bhfs.com

Paul.deutsch@tetratech.com farmerhofer@aol.com farmwatchtoo@aol.com pleon@ontarioca.gov

Palexander-kelley@cc.sbcounty.gov

rpetehall@gmail.com pete.hall@cdcr.ca.gov PVicario@cityofchino.org peterhettinga@yahoo.com PKavounas@cbwm.org progers@chinohills.org praseethak@cvwdwater.com R.Avila@MPGLAW.com rortiz@nossaman.com

RVisser@sheppardmullin.com

horsfly1@yahoo.com

Richard.Darnell@nrgenergy.com richard.rees@woodplc.com smanbahal@wvwd.org rpro@cityofchino.org RHawkins@earthlink.net robertadeloach1@gmail.com

red@eslawfirm.com robneu1@yahoo.com rwagner@wbecorp.com Rcraig21@icloud.com ronLaBrucherie@gmail.com rcpietersma@aol.com rllamas71@yahoo.com RShaw@wmwd.com shlee@ieua.org

snelson@ci.norco.ca.us srubenstein@wpcarey.com directorrose@mvwd.org Sarah.Foley@bbklaw.com sarah.schneider@amec.com sburton@ontarioca.gov sslater@bhfs.com

sjzielke@fontanawater.com sgrady@eslawfirm.com sdeshmukh@ieua.org SStephens@sdcwa.org sbarber@ci.upland.ca.us szite@wmwd.com

SReimer@mvwd.org

stephen.deitsch@bbklaw.com

Steve Kennedy Steve M. Anderson

Steve Nix Steve Riboli Steve Smith

Steve W. Ledbetter, PE

Steven Andrews Engineering

Steven Flower Steven J. Elie Steven J. Elie Steven Popelar Steven Raughley Susan Palmer

Sylvie Lee Tamer Ahmed Tammi Ford Taya Victorino Teri Layton

Terry Bettencourt

Terry Bettencoo Terry Catlin Tim Barr Tim Kellett Timothy Ryan Toby Moore Todd Minten Tom Barnes Tom Bunn

Tom Cruikshank Tom Harder

Tom McPeters

Tom O'Neill Toni Medell Tony Long

Toyasha Sebbag Tracy J. Egoscue

Van Jew

Vanessa Aldaz Vanessa Campos Veronica Tristan Veva Weamer

Victor Preciado Vivian Castro

Wade Fultz

WestWater Research, LLC

William J Brunick William Urena skennedy@bmklawplc.com steve.anderson@bbklaw.com

snix@ci.upland.ca.us

steve.riboli@sanantoniowinery.com

ssmith@ieua.org

sledbetter@tkeengineering.com sandrews@sandrewsengineering.com

sflower@rwglaw.com selie@ieua.org s.elie@mpglaw.com spopelar@jcsd.us

Steven.Raughley@cao.sbcounty.gov

spalmer@kidmanlaw.com

slee@ieua.org

tamer.ahmed@cdcr.ca.gov

tford@wmwd.com tayav@cvwdwater.com tlayton@sawaterco.com

miles.bettencourt@cdcr.ca.gov

tlcatlin@wfajpa.org
tbarr@wmwd.com
tkellett@tvmwd.com
tjryan@sgvwater.com
TobyMoore@gswater.com
tminten@sbcglobal.net
tbarnes@esassoc.com
TomBunn@Lagerlof.com
tcruikshank@linklogistics.com

tharder@thomashardercompany.com

THMcP@aol.com

toneill@chinodesalter.org mmedel@mbakerintl.com tlong@angelica.com tsebbag@cbwcd.org tracy@egoscuelaw.com

vjew@wvwd.org valdaz@cbwm.org

VCampos@ontarioca.gov

vtristan@jcsd.us

vweamer@westyost.com

Victor_Preciado@ci.pomona.ca.us

vcastro@cityofchino.org Wade.Fultz@cmc.com

research@waterexchange.com bbrunick@bmblawoffice.com

wurena@angelica.com