FEE EXEMPT

NOSSAMAN LLP FREDERIC A. FUDACZ (SBN 50546) ffudacz@nossaman.com GINA R. NICHOLLS (SBN 270174) gnicholls@nossaman.com 777 S. Figueroa Street, 34th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: 213.612.7800 Facsimile: 213.612.7801

Attorneys for CITY OF ONTARIO

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CITY OF CHINO, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No: RCV 51010

Assigned for All Purposes to the Honorable Stanford E. Reichert

CITY OF ONTARIO'S RESPONSE REGARDING ISSUE FOR FURTHER BRIEFING

Date:

April 28, 2017

Time:

1:30 P.M.

Dept.:

S-35

Pursuant to the "Notice of Hearing and Revised Proposed Order Re: SYRA and Response to Questions; Issue for Further Briefing" (the "Revised Proposed Order") that was issued by the Court on February 22, 2017, the City of Ontario ("Ontario") hereby submits this response to the briefs that were filed on March 10, 2017.

I. Introduction

The Court's Revised Proposed Order presents the following question for further briefing: whether the 135,000 acre-feet ("AF") Safe Yield reset is inclusive of 20,000 acre-feet per year ("AFY") of desalter-induced recharge. (See Revised Proposed Order, at 5:15-26.)

-1-

CITY OF ONTARIO'S RESPONSE REGARDING ISSUE FOR FURTHER BRIEFING

27

Chino Basin Watermaster ("Watermaster") responds to the Court's question by laying out a workable understanding of the relationship between Safe Yield and desalter-induced recharge. In contrast, Monte Vista Water District, Cucamonga Valley Water District, the City of Pomona, and the City of Upland (collectively, the "Four Agencies") seek to explain the relationship between Safe Yield and desalter-induced recharge in a manner that is legally defective and that calls for discarding all the efforts that lead to the Revised Proposed Order. The City of Chino's ("Chino's") brief does not address the question of the relationship between Safe Yield and desalter-induced recharge. Accordingly Ontario does not respond to Chino's brief, but reserves its rights should any of Chino's arguments become relevant.

The sharply divided views expressed by Watermaster and the Four Agencies arise from a perceived conflict between the Judgment's definition of "Safe Yield" and paragraph 7.1 to Peace II. Watermaster explains that the parties can agree to reserve or "sequester" a portion of Safe Yield for a specific purpose, and they did so by contractually sequestering desalter-induced recharge for desalter replenishment under the Peace Agreements. (See Watermaster brief, at 3:17-27, citing Peace I at ¶7.5, and Peace II at ¶7.1; see also Watermaster brief, at 4:14-16.) In contrast, the Four Agencies attempt to deal with this issue by insisting – for the first time in this proceeding – that Safe Yield cannot include desalter-induced recharge and Safe Yield must be reduced to 115,000 AFY.

Watermaster's approach also addresses the key issue of backfill under Exhibit "H" to the Restated Judgment ("Appropriative Pool Plan") at paragraph 10(a)(1), which provides for backfill only "to compensate for any reduction in the Safe Yield by reason of recalculation" Watermaster's brief makes it clear that sequestering desalter-induced recharge to offset desalter production is not a "recalculation" of Safe Yield that triggers backfill (see Watermaster's brief, at p.5); whereas the Four Agencies do not address this important issue and instead leave it open for debate.

In short, Watermaster's approach of sequestering the portion of Safe Yield attributable to desalter-induced recharge can be harmonized with the Judgment and the Peace Agreements, and

it also offers a degree of clarity regarding the implementation of the Revised Proposed Order. Watermaster's response shows that this proceeding can be concluded by reducing the Safe Yield to 135,000 AFY based upon the record before the Court, and Watermaster can reasonably continue to administer the Judgment on that basis.

II. Watermaster's Approach Can Be Harmonized With the Judgment and the Peace Agreements, and It Can Be Effectuated Without Further Proceedings

Watermaster's brief explains that uncertainty regarding the relationship between Safe Yield and desalter-induced recharge arises from a perceived conflict between Peace II and the Judgment's definition of "Safe Yield." Specifically, the Judgment defines "Safe Yield," consistent with physical reality, to be inclusive of all water coming into the Chino Basin from whatever source, including desalter-induced recharge to the extent it contributes to the "longterm average annual quantity of ground water . . . which can be produced from the Basin under cultural conditions of a particular year without causing an undesirable result." (See Watermaster brief, at 3:3-7, quoting Restated Judgment, at $\P 4(x)$.) This definition of "Safe Yield" is a key Basin management tool that was not amended by the Peace Agreements. However, Paragraph 7.1 of Peace II prohibits Watermaster and the Parties from "request[ing] that Safe Yield be recalculated in a manner that incorporates New Yield attributable to the Desalters into the determination of Safe Yield so that this source of supply will be available for Desalter Production rather than for use by individual parties to the Judgment" for "the initial term of [Peace I]." (Peace II, at ¶7.1, emphasis in original.) Watermaster navigates this perceived conflict by explaining how the Judgment's definition of "Safe Yield" and paragraph 7.1 of Peace II can be harmonized. (See Watermaster Brief, at 3:11-3:27.)

Watermaster's approach harmonizes the Judgment and the Peace Agreements by recognizing that the parties can agree to reserve or "sequester" a portion of Safe Yield for a specific purpose, and that they did so through the Peace Agreements by reserving desalter-induced recharge for desalter replenishment. (See Watermaster brief, at 3:17-27, citing Peace I, at ¶7.5, and Peace II, at ¶7.1; see also Watermaster brief, at 4:14-16.) Specifically, paragraph 7.1

27

25

of Peace II requires Watermaster to "make an annual finding as to the quantity of New Yield made available by . . . the [desalters]," and this annually-determined quantity is reserved as an offset to desalter production. (Peace I, ¶7.5.)

Importantly, Watermaster's brief clearly shows, consistent with the Court's tentative ruling, that its proposed sequestering of the annually determined amount of desalter-induced recharge does not trigger backfill under paragraph 10(a)(1) of the Appropriative Pool Plan. (See Revised Proposed Order, at pp. 28, 30.) According to the Revised Proposed Order, "backfilling Safe Yield from unproduced Ag Pool water . . . is an unacceptable circumvention of the court's orders based on Peace I and Peace II. (Id., at 56:17-20.) Backfill is triggered only "to compensate for any reduction in the Safe Yield by reason of recalculation" (Appropriative Pool Plan, ¶10(a)(1)), and Watermaster's sequestering of the portion of Safe Yield attributable to desalter-induced recharge is not the same as a "reduction in the Safe Yield by reason of recalculation." Thus, the sequestering does not trigger backfill, and accordingly it does not reduce the amount of unproduced Agricultural Pool water that otherwise is available for land use conversions under paragraph 10 of the Appropriative Pool Plan. To illustrate, under paragraph 10 of the Appropriative Pool Plan, the reduction in Safe Yield from 140,000 AFY to 135,000 AFY triggers the following allocation of unproduced Agricultural Pool water: first the 5,000 AFY is backfilled; then land use conversions are satisfied; and any remaining water is available for early transfer and as a supplement to Operating Safe Yield. (See Revised Proposed Order, at 64:3-9, 67:5-6.) This result is consistent with the policy of encouraging and facilitating land use conversions that has been firmly embedded in the Judgment (see Exhibit "H" to Restated Judgment, at ¶10), and affirmed by the Court. (See, e.g., Revised Proposed Order, at 21:27-24:11; 48:24-49:1; 51:11-13; 64:4-7.)

In summary, Watermaster's approach comports with the Judgment's definition of "Safe Yield," and it is consistent with physical reality and the requirement under the Peace Agreements to reserve desalter-induced recharge to offset desalter replenishment. Additionally, Watermaster's brief clarifies that its approach does not trigger backfill (beyond that attributable

26

to the reduction in Safe Yield from 140,000 AFY to 135,000 AFY) consistent with the Court's tentative ruling, and it describes a workable resolution for the last remaining issue currently pending before the Court.

III. The Four Agencies' Approach Is Defective as a Matter of Law, and It Unnecessarily Seeks to Complicate and Prolong This Proceeding

The brief submitted by the Four Agencies proposes to discard all the efforts that lead to the Revised Proposed Order, in favor of advocating now for a technically unsupported Safe Yield reset to a much lower amount and the appointment of a special referee. If this unnecessarily complicated approach is adopted, this Safe Yield reset might not be completed before the initiation of the next reset for 2020. Additionally, this approach suffers from numerous fatal defects.

First, as discussed in more detail above, the Four Agencies' insistence that Safe Yield must be reset to a lower amount to exclude desalter-induced recharge cannot be reconciled with the Judgment's definition of "Safe Yield." (See Restated Judgment, at ¶ 4(x).) The "Safe Yield" definition serves as a fundamental Basin management tool that was not amended by the Peace Agreements, and accordingly Safe Yield cannot be calculated in a manner that is inconsistent with that definition.

Contrary to the assertion of the Four Agencies, resetting the Safe Yield to 135,000 AFY will not authorize production of 155,000 AFY and a corresponding uncontrolled overdraft of 20,000 AFY. (See Four Agencies' brief, 3:23-4:8.) Instead, the portion of the 135,000 AFY that is determined by Watermaster to be the "annual . . . quantity of New Yield made available by . . . the [desalters]" (see Peace II, at ¶7.1) will be sequestered for designated purposes, as described in more detail above and in Watermaster's brief.

Second, as discussed above, the Four Agencies' brief fails to address the important issue of backfill under the Appropriative Pool Plan. The Safe Yield reset from 140,000 AFY to 135,000 AFY presumably will trigger backfill in the relatively modest amount of 5,000 AFY. (See Appropriative Pool Plan, at ¶10(a)(1).) However, the Four Agencies' approach could

trigger an unprecedented additional 20,000 AFY of backfill – all from unproduced Agricultural Pool water that otherwise would be available to satisfy land use conversion claims. (*See id.*, at ¶10.)

Third, this request to reduce Safe Yield to 115,000 AFY is not supported by the technical record before the Court that the Court has accepted as the basis for the Safe Yield reset. (*See, e.g.*, Revised Proposed Order, at 13:3-14:7.) The Four Agencies want to simply deduct 20,000 AFY from the Safe Yield amount of 135,000 AFY, but that proposed deduction is meaningless, except that it would serve to reallocate water that is currently available for land use conversions away from the municipalities that provide water service to redeveloped agricultural land, in a manner that is inconsistent with the Judgement. The 20,000 AFY was calculated as a component of Safe Yield (*see* Exhibit "1" to Wildermuth Decl. in Support of Watermaster's Motion re: SYRA); it was not calculated based on the definition of "New Yield" (Peace I, ¶1.1(aa)); nor was it calculated based on paragraph 7.1 of Peace II, which requires Watermaster to "make an annual finding as to the quantity of New Yield made available by . . . the [desalters]."

Fourth, the Four Agencies previously did not object to the Safe Yield reset to 135,000 AFY (see Revised Proposed Order, at 4:3-5), and presumably they concurred with that Safe Yield calculation. They should not be permitted to reopen the technical portion of this proceeding at this late stage.

Finally, none of the Court's preconditions for the appointment of a special referee have been met. The Court stated that it would consider engaging a special referee if (1) "Watermaster and the parties wish to engage the court on specific physical consequences of the court's order"; or (2) "Watermaster wants the court to start engaging in making predictions on the practical effect of its rulings." (Revised Proposed Order, at 3:22-25; 4:19-23; 5:6-7.) The Four Agencies have not identified any such issues that would be appropriate for the appointment of a referee. The mere fact that the case is complex and "affects the public interest, the rights of the parties, and their investment-backed expectations" (Four Agencies' brief, 4:26-28), whatever those may be, does not justify the lengthy delay such an appointment inevitably would cause nor the

1		
2		-
3		-
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	l	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		-
24		
25		
26		
07	١	١

substantial additional commitment of time and resources that would be required of all involved. While Ontario does not support the unnecessary appointment of a special referee in order to conclude this Safe Yield reset proceeding, Ontario reserves its right to advocate for the appointment of a special referee in the future if circumstances warrant.

In summary, the Four Agencies' approach is fundamentally defective as a matter of law because it cannot be reconciled with the Judgment's definition of "Safe Yield." It also is defective because it fails to address the important issue of backfill; it is not adequately supported by the record before the Court; it raises significant new issues that are inconsistent with positions previously taken by the Four Agencies' in this proceeding; and it unnecessarily seeks to complicate and prolong this proceeding. For all of these reasons, the Four Agencies' approach should be rejected.

IV. Conclusion

For all the reasons set forth above, Ontario respectfully requests that the Court finalize its Revised Proposed Order in light of Watermaster's answer to the question presented by the Court for further briefing, and that the Court disregard the Four Agencies' answer to that question and deny their request for the appointment of a special referee.

Dated: March 24, 2017 NOSSAMAN LLP FREDERIC A. FUDACZ GINA R. NICHOLLS

Attorneys for CITY OF ONTARIO

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Case No. RCV 51010 Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. The City of Chino

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730; telephone (909) 484-3888.

On March 24, 2017 I served the following:

	1. CITY OF ONTARIO'S RESPONSE REGARDING ISSUE FOR FURTHER BRIEFING
/ <u>X</u> /	BY MAIL: in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed with postage thereon fully prepaid, for delivery by United States Postal Service mail at Rancho Cucamonga, California, addresses as follows: See attached service list: Mailing List 1
/	BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee.
/ <u></u> /	BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted said document by fax transmission from (909) 484-3890 to the fax number(s) indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting fax machine.
<u>/ X _</u> /	BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I transmitted notice of availability of electronic documents by electronic transmission to the email address indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting electronic mail device.
l decla correct	re under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and

Executed on March 24, 2017 in Rancho Cucamonga, California.

By: Janine Wilson

Chino Basin Watermaster

BRIAN GEYE AUTO CLUB SPEEDWAY 9300 CHERRY AVE FONTANA, CA 92335

STEVE ELIE IEUA 17017 ESTORIL STREET CHINO HILLS, CA 91709

DON GALLEANO WMWD 4220 WINEVILLE ROAD MIRA LOMA, CA 91752

JEFF PIERSON PO BOX 1440 LONG BEACH, CA 90801-1440 BOB KÜHN THREE VALLEYS MWD 669 HUNTERS TRAIL GLENDORA, CA 91740

GINO L. FILIPPI CBWM BOARD MEMBER 305 N. 2ND AVE., PMB #101 UPLAND, CA 91786

BOB DIPRIMIO CBWM BOARD MEMBER 11142 GARVEY AVENUE EL MONTE, CA 91733

ALLEN HUBSCH LOEB & LOEB LLP 10100 SANTA MONICA BLVD. SUITE 2200 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 ROBERT BOWCOCK INTEGRATED RESOURCES MGMNT 405 N. INDIAN HILL BLVD CLAREMONT, CA 91711-4724

PAUL HOFER 11248 S TURNER AVE ONTARIO, CA 91761

JAMES CURATALO CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DIST PO BOX 638 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91729

BOB FEENSTRA 2720 SPRINGFIELD ST, ORANGE, CA 92867

Members:

Allen W. Hubsch Andrew Gagen Arthur Kidman Catharine Irvine Dan McKinney David Aladjem Eddy Beltran Fred Fudacz Jean Cihigoyenetche

Jill Willis Jim Markman

jimmy@city-attorney.com

Joel Kuperberg John Harper John Schatz Mark D. Hensley Martin Cihigoyenetche Michelle Staples Nick Jacobs Paeter E. Garcia Paige H. Gosney Randy Visser

Robert E. Donlan Rodney Baker Steve Kennedy

Timothy Ryan Tom Bunn Tom McPeters

Tracy J. Egoscue Trish Geren William J Brunick

ahubsch@loeb.com agagen@kidmanlaw.com akidman@kidmanlaw.com cirvine@DowneyBrand.com

dmckinney@douglascountylaw.com

daladjem@downeybrand.com ebeltran@kidmanlaw.com ffudacz@nossaman.com Jean@theiclawfirm.com inwillis@bbklaw.com jmarkman@rwglaw.com jimmy@city-attorney.com ikuperberg@rutan.com irharper@harperburns.com

jschatz13@cox.net

mhensley@hensleylawgroup.com

marty@thejclawfirm.com mstaples@idtplaw.com njacobs@somachlaw.com paeter.garcia@bbklaw.com pgosney@jdtplaw.com

RVisser@sheppardmullin.com

red@eslawfirm.com rodbaker03@yahoo.com skennedy@bmklawplc.com tjryan@sgvwater.com

TomBunn@Lagerlof.com THMcP@aol.com

tracy@egoscuelaw.com tgeren@sheppardmullin.com bbrunick@bmblawoffice.com

Members:

Agnes Cheng Al Lopez Alfonso Ruiz Jr. Amanda Coker Andrea Olivas Andrew Silva Andy Campbell Andy Malone Ankita Patel Anna Truong April Robitaille

April Woodruff
Arnold "AJ" Gerber
Arnold Rodriguez
Art Bennett
Ashok Dhingra
Ben Lewis

Ben Peralta Bill Thompson Bob Bowcock Bob DiPrimio

Bob Feenstra Bob Kuhn Bob Kuhn Bob Page

Brad Herrema Brandon Howard Brenda Fowler

Brenda Trujillo Brent Yamasaki

Brian Geye Brian Hess

Brian Thomas Camille Gregory Carol Bennett

Carol Boyd

Carolina Sanchez

Casey Costa
Chad Blais
Charles Field
Charles Linder
Charles Moorrees

Chino Hills City Council Chris Berch

Christopher R. Guillen

Chuck Hays Cindy Cisneros Cindy LaCamera

Cindy Li
Craig Miller
Craig Stewart
Cris Fealy
Curtis Paxton
Curtis Stubbings
Dan Arrighi
Dan Chadwick
Danielle Soto

Danielle Soto
Darron Poulsen
Daryl Grigsby
Dave Argo
Dave Crosley

David D DeJesus

agnes.cheng@cc.sbcounty.gov

alopez@wmwd.com

Alfonso.Ruiz@gerdau.com acoker@cityofchino.org

aolivas@jcsd.us

Andrew.Silva@cao.sbcounty.gov

acampbell@ieua.org
amalone@weiwater.com
apatel@niagarawater.com
ATruong@cbwm.org
arobitaille@bhfs.com
awoodruff@ieua.org

agerber@parks.sbcounty.gov jarodriguez@sarwc.com citycouncil@chinohills.org ash@akdconsulting.com benjamin.lewis@gswater.com

bperalta@tvmwd.com bthompson@ci.norco.ca.us bbowcock@irmwater.com rjdiprimio@sgvwater.com bobfeenstra@gmail.com bgkuhn@aol.com

bgkuhn@aol.com bkuhn@tvmwd.com bpage@cao.sbcounty.gov bherrema@bhfs.com

brahoward@niagarawater.com balee@fontanawater.com brendatrujillo@chinohills.org byamasaki@mwdh2o.com bgeye@autoclubspeedway.com

bhess@niagarawater.com bkthomas@jcsd.us

cgregory@cbwm.org cbennett@tkeengineering.com

Carol.Boyd@doj.ca.gov csanchez@weiwater.com ccosta@chinodesalter.org cblais@ci.norco.ca.us

cdfield@att.net

Charles.Linder@nrgenergy.com cmoorrees@sawaterco.com citycouncil@chinohills.org

CBerch@ieua.org
cguillen@bhfs.com
chays@fontana.org
cindyc@cvwdwater.com
clacamera@mwdh2o.com
Cindy.li@waterboards.ca.gov
CMiller@wmwd.com

Civilier@wmwd.com Craig.Stewart@amec.com cifealy@fontanawater.com cpaxton@chinodesalter.org Curtis_Stubbings@praxair.com

darrighi@sgvwater.com dchadwick@fontana.org

danielle_soto@CI.POMONA.CA.US darron_poulsen@ci.pomona.ca.us daryl_gribsby@ci.pomona.ca.us

daveargo46@icloud.com DCrosley@cityofchino.org tvmwddiv2rep@gmail.com David De Jesus
David Huskey
David Lovell
David Penrice
David Ringel
David Starnes
Dennis Dooley
Dennis Mejia
Dennis Williams
Diana Frederick
Don Galleano
Earl Elrod

Edgar Tellez Foster Eric Fordham Eric Garner Eric Leuze

Eric Leuze
Eric Tarango
Erika Clement
Eunice Ulloa
Felix Hamilton

Frank Brommenschenkel

Frank Yoo Gabby Garcia Gailyn Watson Geoffrey Kamansky Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel

Gerald Yahr Giannina Espinoza Gina Nicholls Gino L. Filippi Gloria Rivera Grace Cabrera Greg Woodside Henry DeHaan James Curatalo James Jenkins James McKenzie Jane Anderson Janine Wilson Jasmin A. Hall Jason Marseilles Jason Pivovaroff

Jean Perry
Jeanina M. Romero
Jeannette Vagnozzi
Jeffrey L. Pierson
Jesse White
Jessie Ruedas

Jesus Placentia Jill Willis Jim Bowman Jim Taylor

Jo Lynne Russo-Pereyra

Joanne Chan
Joe Graziano
Joe Grindstaff
Joe Joswiak
Joe P LeClaire
Joel Ignacio
John Abusham
John Bosler

John Huitsing
John Lopez and Nathan Cole

John V. Rossi

ddejesus@tvmwd.com
David.Huskey@cdcr.ca.gov
dlovell@dpw.sbcounty.gov
dpenrice@acmwater.com
david.j.ringel@us.mwhglobal.com

david.starnes@mcmcnet.net ddooley@angelica.com dmejia@ci.ontario.ca.us

dwilliams@geoscience-water.com diana.frederick@cdcr.ca.gov donald@galleanowinery.com earl.elrod@verizon.net etellezfoster@cbwm.org

eric_fordham@geopentech.com eric.garner@bbklaw.com

Eric.Leuze@nrgenergy.com edtarango@fontanawater.com Erika.clement@sce.com

eulloa@cbwcd.org

felixhamilton.chino@yahoo.com frank.brommen@verizon.net

FrankY@cbwm.org ggarcia@mvwd.org

gwatson@airports.sbcounty.gov gkamansky@niagarawater.com geoffreyvh60@gmail.com

yahrj@koll.com

gia.espinoza@gerdau.com gnicholls@nossaman.com Ginoffvine@aol.com

gloriar@cvwdwater.com

grace_cabrera@ci.pomona.ca.us

gwoodside@ocwd.com
hpdehaan@verizon.net
jamesc@cvwdwater.com
cnomgr@airports.sbcounty.gov
jmckenzie@dpw.sbcounty.gov
janderson@jcsd.us

JWilson@cbwm.org
jhall@ieua.org
jmarseilles@ieua.org
jpivovaroff@ieua.org
JPerry@wmwd.com
jromero@ci.ontario.ca.us
jvagnozzi@ci.upland.ca.us
jpierson@intexcorp.com
jesse.white@gerdau.com
Jessie@thejclawfirm.com
jplasencia@cityofchino.org
jnwillis@bbklaw.com
jbowman@ci.ontario.ca.us
jim_taylor@ci.pomona.ca.us
jolynner@cvwdwater.com

jchan@wvwd.org jgraz4077@aol.com jgrindstaff@ieua.org JJoswiak@cbwm.org leclairejp@cdmsmith.com

jignacio@ieua.org john.abusham@nrg.com johnb@cvwdwater.com johnhuitsing@gmail.com customerservice@sarwc.com

irossi@wmwd.com

Jon Lambeck
Jose Alire
Jose Galindo
Josh Swift
Julie Cavender
Julie Saba
Justin Brokaw
Justin Nakano
Justin Scott Coe
Karen Johnson
Kathleen Brundage
Kathy Kunysz

Kathy Kunysz
Kathy Tiegs
Kati Parker
Katie Gienger
Keith Person
Kelly Berry
Ken Jeske
Ken Waring
Kevin Blakeslee
Kevin Sage
Krystn Bradbury
Kurt Berchtold
Kyle Snay
Landon Kern
Laura Mantilla

Lawrence Dimock Lee Moore Linda Jadeski Linda Minky Lisa Hamilton Lisa Lemoine Lisa Snider Marco Tule Maribel Sosa Mark Wiley Marsha Westropp

Martin Zvirbulis
Mathew C. Ballantyne
Matthew H. Litchfield
Michael Sigsbee
Mike Blazevic
Mike Maestas

jlambeck@mwdh2o.com jalire@cityofchino.org

jose_a_galindo@praxair.com jmswift@fontanawater.com julie.cavender@cdcr.ca.gov

jsaba@jcsd.us

jbrokaw@marygoldmutualwater.com

JNakano@cbwm.org jscottcoe@mvwd.org kejwater@aol.com

kathleen.brundage@californiasteel.com

kkunysz@mwdh2o.com Kathyt@cvwdwater.com kparker@ieua.org kgienger@ontarioca.gov

keith.person@waterboards.ca.gov

KBerry@sawpa.org kjeske1@gmail.com kwaring@jcsd.us

kblakeslee@dpw.sbcounty.gov

Ksage@IRMwater.com kbradbury@ontarioca.gov kberchtold@waterboards.ca.gov

kylesnay@gswater.com lkern@cityofchino.org lmantilla@ieua.org

lawrence.dimock@cdcr.ca.gov Lee.Moore@nrgenergy.com

ljadeski@wvwd.org LMinky@BHFS.com lisa.hamilton@amecfw.com LLemoine@wmwd.com lsnider@ieua.org marco.tule@nrg.com

Maribel Sosa@ci.pomona.ca.us

mwiley@chinohills.org
MWestropp@ocwd.com
martinz@cvwdwater.com
mballantyne@cityofchino.org
mlitchfield@wvwd.org
msigsbee@ci.ontario.ca.us
mblazevic@weiwater.com

mikem@cvwdwater.com

Members:

Maria Flores

Maria Mendoza-Tellez

Marilyn Levin Mario Garcia Mark Kinsey

Mark Wildermuth Marla Doyle Martha Davis Martin Rauch Meg McWade

Melanie Otero

Melissa L. Walker Michael Adler Michael Camacho Michael Cruikshank Michael P. Thornton

Michael T Fife
Michael Thompson

Mike Sigsbee Monica Heredia Moore, Toby Nadeem Majaj Nadia Picon-Aguirre

Nathan deBoom Neetu Gupta Nicole Escalante

Noah Golden-Krasner Pam Sharp

Pam Wilson
Patty Jett
Paul Deutsch
Paul Hofer
Paul Hofer
Paul Leon
Paula Lantz

Penny Alexander-Kelley

Petrify AlexanderPete Hall
Pete Hall
Pete Vicario
Peter Hettinga
Peter Kavounas
Peter Rogers
Peter Thyberg
Rachel Avila
Rachel Ortiz

Ramsey Haddad Randall McAlister Raul Garibay Ray Wilkings Rene Salas

Richard Zuniga Rick Darnell Rick Hansen Rick Rees Rick Zapien

Rita Pro Robert C. Hawkins

Robert DeLoach Robert Neufeld Robert Stockton Robert Tock

Robert Wagner

mflores@ieua.org

MMendoza@weiwater.com marilyn.levin@doj.ca.gov mgarcia@tvmwd.com mkinsey@mvwd.org

mwildermuth@weiwater.com marla_doyle@ci.pomona.ca.us

mdavis@ieua.org martin@rauchcc.com

meg_mcwade@ci.pomona.ca.us melanie_otero@ci.pomona.ca.us mwalker@dpw.sbcounty.gov michael.adler@mcmcnet.net MCamacho@pacificaservices.com MCruikshank@DBStephens.com mthornton@tkeengineering.com

MFife@bhfs.com

michael.thompson@cdcr.ca.gov msigsbee@ci.ontario.ca.us mheredia@chinohills.org TobyMoore@gswater.com nmajaj@chinohills.org naguirre@wvwd.org n8deboom@gmail.com ngupta@ieua.org

NEscalante@ci.ontario.ca.us Noah.goldenkrasner@doj.ca.gov

PSharp@chinohills.org pwilson@bhfs.com

pjett@spacecenterinc.com paul.deutsch@amec.com farmwatchtoo@aol.com farmerhofer@aol.com pleon@ci.ontario.ca.us

paula_lantz@ci.pomona.ca.us Palexander-kelley@cc.sbcounty.gov

pete.hall@cdcr.ca.gov rpetehall@gmail.com PVicario@cityofchino.org peterhettinga@yahoo.com PKavounas@cbwm.org progers@chinohills.org Peter.Thyberg@cdcr.ca.gov R.Avila@MPGLAW.com rortiz@nossaman.com

ramsey.haddad@californiasteel.com

randall.mcalister@ge.com
raul_garibay@ci.pomona.ca.us
rwilkings@autoclubspeedway.com
Rene_Salas@ci.pomona.ca.us
richard.zuniga@nov.com

Richard.Darnell@nrgenergy.com

rhansen@tvmwd.com Richard.Rees@amec.com rzapien@cbwm.org rpro@cityofchino.org

rpro@cityofchino.org RHawkins@earthlink.net robertadeloach1@gmail.com

robneu1@yahoo.com bstockton@wmwd.com

rtock@jcsd.us

rwagner@wbecorp.com

Rogelio Matta Roger Florio Roger Han Ron Craig

Ron LaBrucherie, Jr. Ronald C. Pietersma Rosemary Hoerning

Ryan Shaw
Sandra S. Rose
Sarah Schneider
Scott Burton
Scott Runyan
Scott Slater
Seth J. Zielke
Shaun Stone
Sheri Rojo

Sonya Barber Sonya Bloodworth Sophie Akins Steve Riboli Steve Smith Steven J. Elie

Steven J. Elle Steven J. Elle Suki Chhokar Susan Collet Sylvie Lee Tava Victorino

Taya Victorino Teri Layton Terry Catlin Tim Barr

Todd Corbin Todd Minten Tom Cruikshank

Tom Harder Tom Haughey Tom O'Neill

Toni Medel Van Jew Veva Weamer

Vicki Hahn Vicky Rodriguez Vivian Castro W. C. "Bill" Kruger

William Urena

rmatta@fontana.org roger.florio@ge.com roger_han@praxair.com ronc@mbakerintl.com ronLaBrucherie@gmail.com

rcpietersma@aol.com rhoerning@ci.upland.ca.us RShaw@wmwd.com

directorrose@mvwd.org
sarah.schneider@amec.com
sburton@ci.ontario.ca.us
srunyan@cc.sbcounty.gov

sslater@bhfs.com

sjzielke@fontanawater.com

sstone@ieua.org smrojo@aol.com

sbarber@ci.upland.ca.us sbloodworth@wmwd.com Sophie.Akins@cc.sbcounty.gov steve.riboli@sanantoniowinery.com

ssmith@ieua.org selie@ieua.org s.elie@mpglaw.com schhokar@sdcwa.org scollett@jcsd.us slee@ieua.org

tayav@cvwdwater.com tlayton@sawaterco.com tlcatlin@wfajpa.org tbarr@wmwd.com tcorbin@jcsd.us

tminten@chinodesalter.org

tcruikshank@spacecenterinc.com tharder@thomashardercompany.com

tom@haugheyinsurance.com toneill@ci.ontario.ca.us mmedel@rbf.com vjew@mvwd.org

vweamer@weiwater.com vhahn@tvmwd.com vrodrigu@ci.ontario.ca.us VCastro@cbwcd.org citycouncil@chinohills.org wurena@angelica.com