| 1 2  | John G. Michael       #106107         Lauren D. Layne       #273627         Diane E. Coderniz       #279458   |   |
|--|---|---|
| 3  | BAKER MANOCK & JENSEN, PC<br>5260 North Palm Avenue, Fourth Floor   |   |
| 4  | Fresno, California 93704 Telephone: 559.432.5400 Facsimile: 559.432.5620  |   |
| 5  | 1   |   |
| 6  | Attorneys for Petitioner CCG Ontario, LLC   |   |
| 7  | ·   |   |
| 8  |   |   |
| 9  | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA   |   |
| 10   | COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, RANCHO CUCAMONGA  |   |
| 11   |   |   |
| 12   | CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER<br>DISTRICT,  | CASE No. RCVRS 51010  |
| 13   | Plaintiff,  | MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND<br>AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF CCG   |
| 14   | V.  | ONTARIO, LLC'S MOTION FOR LEAVE<br>TO SUE THE CHINO BASIN<br>WATERMASTER  |
| 15   | CITY OF CHINO, ET AL.   | WATERWASTER   |
|  |   |   |
| 16   | Defendants.   | Date: August 30, 2013 Time: 1:30 p.m.   |
| 16<br>17   | Defendants.   |   |
|  | Defendants.  AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS  | Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: R6  Action Filed:  |
| 17   |   | Time: 1:30 p.m.<br>Dept.: R6  |
| 17<br>18   | AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS   | Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: R6  Action Filed:  |
| 17<br>18<br>19   | AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS  Cross-Defendant and Cross-Comp   | Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: R6  Action Filed: Trial Date:  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20                                     | AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS  Cross-Defendant and Cross-Comp   | Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: R6  Action Filed: Trial Date:  claimant CCG ONTARIO, LLC ("CCG")  and authorities in support of its Motion for Leave   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                               | AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS  Cross-Defendant and Cross-Comprespectfully submits this memorandum of points to Sue the Chino Basin Watermaster ("Motion").  | Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: R6  Action Filed: Trial Date:  claimant CCG ONTARIO, LLC ("CCG")  and authorities in support of its Motion for Leave   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22                         | AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS  Cross-Defendant and Cross-Comprespectfully submits this memorandum of points to Sue the Chino Basin Watermaster ("Motion").  | Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: R6  Action Filed: Trial Date:  claimant CCG ONTARIO, LLC ("CCG")  and authorities in support of its Motion for Leave   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23                   | AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS  Cross-Defendant and Cross-Comprespectfully submits this memorandum of points to Sue the Chino Basin Watermaster ("Motion").  INTROI  | Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: R6  Action Filed: Trial Date:  claimant CCG ONTARIO, LLC ("CCG")  and authorities in support of its Motion for Leave   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24             | AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS  Cross-Defendant and Cross-Comprespectfully submits this memorandum of points to Sue the Chino Basin Watermaster ("Motion").  INTROI  | Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: R6  Action Filed: Trial Date:  claimant CCG ONTARIO, LLC ("CCG")  and authorities in support of its Motion for Leave  I. DUCTION  equesting the court allow CCG to sue the Chino   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25       | AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS  Cross-Defendant and Cross-Comprespectfully submits this memorandum of points to Sue the Chino Basin Watermaster ("Motion").  INTROI  CCG brings the current Motion re  | Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: R6  Action Filed: Trial Date:  claimant CCG ONTARIO, LLC ("CCG")  and authorities in support of its Motion for Leave  I.  DUCTION  equesting the court allow CCG to sue the Chino igence, negligent misrepresentation, and tort of   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26 | AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS  Cross-Defendant and Cross-Comprespectfully submits this memorandum of points to Sue the Chino Basin Watermaster ("Motion").  INTROI  CCG brings the current Motion respectively another for its failure to prepare, monitor, and mownership of water rights by CCG and CALIFO 1321161v1/15802.0005  MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES I | Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: R6  Action Filed: Trial Date:  Date:  Date:  Action Filed: Trial Date:  Date:  Action Filed: Trial Date:  Date: |

Because the Watermaster is an agent of the court, CCG believes that leave of the court may be required, as set forth below and in CCG's proposed Second Amended Cross-Complaint ("SACC"), to sue the Watermaster. CCG's SACC is attached as Exhibit "A" to the Declaration of John G. Michael, filed herewith (the "Michael Decl."). Good cause exists for allowing CCG to bring an action against the Watermaster based upon the negligent acts of the Watermaster.

#### II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

# A. Historical Background.

### 1. <u>Creation of the Watermaster.</u>

In the 1970s, the Chino Basin water users became concerned with increasing water use, a decreasing water supply and declining water quality in the Chino Basin. By 1975, several major Chino Basin water users and the State of California initiated studies of groundwater rights allocation conflicts within the Chino Basin, and began to negotiate a solution. During negotiations, three pools of Chino Basin water users with similar interests in the allocation of the Chino Basin emerged: (i) agricultural users, including dairy farmers and the State of California (the "Agricultural Pool"), (ii) industrial users (the "Non-Agricultural Pool"), and (iii) water municipalities (the "Appropriative Pool").

On January 2, 1975, several Chino Basin water users filed suit in California State Superior Court for San Bernardino County (the "Court") to settle the problem of allocating water rights in the Chino Basin. On January 27, 1978, the Court entered a judgment in Case No. 51010<sup>1</sup>, Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al., adjudicating water rights in the Chino Basin and establishing the Watermaster (the "Judgment"). The Watermaster is a Court created entity established pursuant to the Judgment and an extension of the court. (Watermaster Response to Special Referee's Preliminary Comments and Recommendations on Motion for Approval of Peace II Documents ("Watermaster Response"), attached as Exhibit "B" to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et. al, San Bernardino Superior Court, may be referred to Case No. 51010, RCV 51010, or RCVRS 51010, as the prefix has changed over the years of the case.

Michael Decl.) The Judgment adjudicated all groundwater rights in the Chino Basin and contains a physical solution to meet the requirements of water users having rights in or dependent upon the Chino Basin. The Judgment also appointed the Watermaster to account for and implement the

The Watermaster consists of various entities pumping water from the Basin including cities, water districts, water companies, agricultural, commercial and other private concerns. Chino Basin Watermaster's mission is "To manage the Chino Groundwater Basin in the most beneficial manner and to equitably administer and enforce the provisions of the Chino Basin Watermaster Judgment", Case No. RCV 51010 (formerly Case No. SCV 164327).

(See Chino Basin Watermaster Website, "About Us,"

http://www.cbwm.org/about\_us.htm)

## 2. The Water Rights At Issue.

management of the Chino Basin. The Watermaster's website provides:

The specific annual safe yield water rights and year-end storage rights in question are part of the adjudicated overlying Non-Agricultural Pool. As part of the original judgment, these water rights were held by the Kaiser Steel Corporation. Kaiser Steel Corporation became Kaiser Resources, and thereafter, Kaiser Ventures, Inc. In or about 1991, Kaiser Ventures, Inc. sold portions of its property to CSI and The California Speedway Corporation, which is not a party to this litigation. Kaiser Ventures, Inc.'s remaining property holdings and water rights were sold to CCG on August 16, 2000.

Pursuant to the 1978 Judgment in the above captioned case, Case No. 51010, *Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al.*, Kaiser Steel Corporation was entitled to 3,743 acre-feet of total overlying Non-Agricultural Pool rights and 2,930.274 acre-feet of the share of safe yield. In addition to the 1978 Judgment, there have been numerous post-Judgment Orders that are easily found when accessing the files for Case No. 51010. (See Request for Judicial Notice, ¶ 1-4, Ex. "A", "B", "C" & "D".)

On December 22, 1995, the Superior Court of San Bernardino County issued a Notice of Order for a Stipulation and Order for Approval of Water Rights Agreement and Stay of Proceedings (Case No. 51010). (Request for Judicial Notice, ¶ 3, Ex. "C".) In the Stipulation, Kaiser Ventures, Inc. (a successor to Kaiser Steel Corporation) ("Kaiser") and CSI stipulate to

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF CCG ONTARIO, LLC'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUE THE CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

11

12 13

14

16

17 18

19

22

21

23

24 25

27

28

their "mutual rights to the beneficial use of the Joint Water Rights as defined and provided in the Water Rights Acknowledgment." (Ibid.) The Order further states that Kaiser and CSI have this mutual right. (Ibid.) The attorney for the Chino Basin Watermaster at that time, Frederic Fudacz, signed the Stipulation. (Ibid.) Although the Water Rights Acknowledgment referenced in this Stipulation and Order was never recorded, the Court approved the Water Rights Agreement and Water Rights Acknowledgment in its Order.

Filed with this Motion is a Request for Judicial Notice of the "Nineteenth Annual Report Of The Chino Basin Watermaster Fiscal Year 1995-1996" ("19th Annual Report") from the records of the Chino Basin Watermaster and the records of the above-captioned case. (Request for Judicial Notice, ¶ 1, Ex. "A".) This 19th Annual Report was filed with the Court in the abovecaptioned case, Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. City of Chino, et al., San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. RCVRS 51010, on September 9, 1998. The Water Rights Agreement and Water Rights Acknowledgement are attached as Appendix L-1 to the 19<sup>th</sup> Annual Report and are part of both the Watermaster's records and the Court's record. (Request for Judicial Notice, ¶ 1, Ex. "A", Appendix L-1.)

CCG also filed a Petition in Intervention to the Court in the above-captioned case. The Petition in Intervention specifically requests the Court to recognize that CCG holds "630.274" acre-feet as tenants in common with California Steel Industries, Inc. ("CSI"), with CSI having the right of use, with payment to CCG Ontario, LLC, through June 30, 2004, and CCG Ontario, LLC having the right of first use thereafter." (Emphasis added.) (Request for Judicial Notice, ¶ 2, Ex. "B".) The Chino Basin Watermaster joined in this Petition in Intervention. (Ibid.) On July 19, 2001, the Court issued an "Order Granting Final Approval of Watermaster Rules and Regulations; Approving Intervention of CCG Ontario, LLC; Continuance of Hearing Re Status Report; Filing of Motions to Amend Judgment," in which the Court approved CCG's Petition in Intervention and recognized the tenancy in common water right between CCG and CSI. (Request for Judicial 26 Notice, ¶ 4, Ex. "D".) This Order also lists CCG's interest in the water rights as a tenancy in common interest with CSI. (Request for Judicial Notice, ¶ 4, Ex. "D", 3:7-14.)

28 |

# B. The Watermaster's and CSI's Representations of CCG's Ownership In 630.274 Acre-Feet of Safe-yield.

At some point between 2000 and 2007, the Watermaster began referencing the water rights transferred to CCG by Kaiser Ventures, Inc. as the right to "safe yield of 630.274 acre-feet" without mention of the tenancy in common interest that California Steel Industries, Inc. ("CSI") held in such safe yield. CSI was aware of the Watermaster's representation that CCG had the right to 630.274 acre-feet of safe-yield because it is a holder of rights in the Non-agricultural Pool. CSI received notifications of all interventions and intended transfers of water rights in the Non-agricultural pool.

In or about March, 2007, the Watermaster sent notification to all of its "Watermaster interested parties" that "CCG Ontario, LLC ("Transferor") hereby applies to permanently transfer to Aqua Capital Management LP ("Transferee") the quantity of 630.27 acrefect of Corresponding Safe Yield, . . . " (See Correspondence from Watermaster attached as Exhibit "C" to the Michael Decl.) Additionally, in or about February 2007, CCG asked the Watermaster numerous questions regarding CCG's rights and interests in the Non-agricultural pool. The Watermaster responded that, "CCG Ontario's right to Safe Yield is 630.274 acre-feet per year." (See Watermaster's Notice of Meeting Packet, March 2007, at p. 89 attached as Exhibit "D" to the Michael Decl.) Moreover, each year in its annual reports the Watermaster erroneously stated that CCG had 630.274 acre-feet of safe yield. Based upon this information presented by the Watermaster, CCG sold its interest in 630.274 acre-feet of safe yield to Aqua Capital Management LP ("Aqua") in December 2008.

# C. Procedural History of Aqua v. CSI, et al.

The dispute into which CCG is moving to have the Watermaster included as a party, is over the nature and extent of water rights sold by CCG to Aqua in December 2008. On October 7, 2011, Aqua filed a Complaint against CSI to quiet title to the water rights in San

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based upon information received from the Watermaster, "Watermaster interested parties" are those holders of pool allocation receiving notices of meeting information, including interventions and transfers.

2

3

5

10

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Bernardino County Superior Court, Case Number CIVRS 1108911 ("Aqua's Case") alleging it was unaware of CSI's tenancy in common interest in the 630.274 acre-feet of safe yield. On February 2, 2012, CSI filed a Cross-Complaint against Aqua and CCG. CSI alleges slander of title and fraud and concealment, through the tort of another doctrine, against CCG. Aqua filed a First Amended Cross-Complaint against CCG almost ten months later, at the end of November 2012 ("Aqua's FAC"). Despite the facts that CSI's tenancy in common interest is a matter of public record in both the real property records of San Bernardino County and the Court's own records, Aqua claims it had no knowledge or notice of CSI's tenancy in common interest in the water rights, and has alleged fraud and concealment and negligent misrepresentation against CCG during the sale of the water rights. On January 15, 2013, CCG filed a Cross-Complaint against Aqua for fraud, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract, and indemnity. On February 6, 2013, CCG filed a First Amended Cross-Complaint. Several motions, including a Motion for Summary Judgment against Aqua, a Motion for Summary Adjudication against CSI, and motions regarding the inadvertent production of privileged documents, are currently pending in Aqua's Case.

Based upon information revealed during the course of the discovery in Aqua's Case, specifically the Watermaster's failure to maintain accurate records and misrepresentation regarding quantity of CCG's water rights and CSI's negligent failure to correct the records or object to the sale from CCG to Aqua, CCG has brought a motion in Aqua's Case for leave to amend its Second Amended Cross-Complaint to bring claims of negligence, negligent misrepresentation, and indemnity against CSI and the Watermaster. That motion is set to be heard on September 17, 2013.

#### Ш. LAW AND ARGUMENT

#### A. The Watermaster Is an Agent Of The Court.

The court has the power to appoint entities or individuals to perform specific duties. Examples of this include, receivers and guardian ad litems. (McCarthy v. Poulse (1985) 173 Cal.App.3d 1212, 1219; Code Civ. Proc. § 373.) The Watermaster is also an example of a court appointed entity. (See the Judgment; Watermaster's Response, Exhibit "B" to the Michael

1321161v1 / 15802.0005

Decl.) The Watermaster was created to oversee the Chino Basin adjudication and work as an extension of the court to ensure the Judgment is enforced. (Ibid.) The Watermaster's duties include preparing, monitoring and maintaining records to show the ownership interests of those holding water rights in the Chino Basin. (See Chino Basin Watermaster Rules and Regulations, attached as Exhibit "E" to the Michael Decl.)

These Watermaster's duties of overseeing and allocating the water rights and records of others are most similar to that of the court appointed receiver. A receiver is an officer of the court appointed to accept and possess property on behalf of the court. (*McCarthy, supra,* 173 Cal.App.3d at 1219.) Both receivers and the Watermaster act at the direction of the court, as officers of the court. (See *Ostrowski v. Miller* (1964) 226 Cal.App.2d 79, 83; Judgment; Watermaster's Response, at 2:24-3:10.) Their appointment requires them to oversee property interests. (*Ibid.*) Receivers and the Watermaster are substantially similar in their creation and duties. As such, with regard to potential liability, the Watermaster should be treated similarly to a receiver.

Because a receiver is an officer of the court, he is liable in tort solely in his official capacity. (*Ibid.*) As the court stated in *Cheisur v. Superior Court in and For Los Angeles County* (1946) 76 Cal.App.2d 198, 202-03, where a receiver has been negligent and caused injury to a party, such party shall have the right to have her case tried before a jury and recover against the receiver in his official capacity. Anything less would be a denial of due process. The same is true of the Watermaster. By not allowing suit against the Watermaster in this case, CCG would be unable to pursue its claims for negligence, negligent misrepresentation and indemnity against the Watermaster. Due to their substantial similarities in creation and purpose, the Watermaster should be treated like a receiver.

### B. Leave Of Court Is Necessary For Suit Against An Agent Of The Court.

It is contrary to the established doctrines of the court to permit a receiver to be made a defendant to litigation unless by consent of the court appointing him. (Ostrowski, supra, 226 Cal.App.2d at 83, citing Murray v. Etchepare (1901) 132 Cal. 286, 287-88.) In Ostrowski, following the dissolution of the Defendant P.S.R. & Associates, an action was brought against 1321161v1/15802.0005

13

14

15 16

17

18

. 19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

several defendants including the receiver. (Id. at 83.) The court held that the plaintiff could bring suit against the receiver in an independent proceeding because relief could not be obtained without such independent action. (Id. at 85.) "The rule is established for the protection of receivers against unnecessary litigation, and because, in most cases, ample relief can be obtained by application, on motion to the court making the appointment." (Ibid, internal citation omitted.) The same would be true for the Watermaster. Relief cannot be obtained by CCG against the Watermaster unless leave is granted to make the Watermaster a party to the current case.

The court has wide discretion to allow suit against a receiver in an independent action when intervention would not afford the plaintiff the same relief as independent suit. (Ostrowski, supra, 226 Cal.App.2d at 83, citing 42 Cal.Jur.2d, Receivers, § 92, p. 387.) Similarly, the court should have wide discretion to allow suit against the Watermaster in an independent action.

#### C. Leave Should Be Granted To CCG To Sue The Watermaster.

CCG wishes to sue the Watermaster in the above-captioned case because intervention in the Judgment would not afford CCG the monetary relief it desires. (See Ostrowski, supra, 226 Cal.App.2d at 83-85.) Furthermore, arguably, issue preclusion would prevent CCG from later seeking such relief from the Watermaster. (See Bernhard v. Bank of America (1942) 19 Cal.2d 807 [collateral estoppel may be used as defense against any party who has fully and fairly litigated an issue in a previous action].) As evident in CCG's Second Amended Cross-Complaint, CCG's claims rest upon the issue of the Watermaster's negligence and its omission of CSI's tenancy in common interest. (See CCG's Proposed Second Amended Cross-Complaint attached as Exhibit "A" to the Michael Decl.) CCG believes it has valid causes of action for negligence, negligent misrepresentation and tort of another against the Watermaster that must be adjudicated in the above-captioned case. (Michael Decl., ¶ 2.)

As described in CCG's proposed Second Amended Cross-Complaint, it is due to the negligence of the Watermaster that CCG has been forced to defend itself against claims from both CSI and Aqua Capital Management, LP ("Aqua"). (See CCG's Proposed Second Amended Cross-Complaint attached as Exhibit "A" to the Michael Decl.) The Watermaster wrongfully described 1321161v1 / 15802.0005

.

Michael Decl.)

9

11

10

13

15

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

Watermaster. 1321161v1/15802.0005

Following the Watermaster's representation that it had the right to 630.274 acre-feet

of safe yield, CCG sought intervention and approval of the Watermaster for the transfer of this

safe yield to Aqua. In doing so, CCG submitted numerous documents disclosing what it intended

to transfer, how the transfer would work, and the identity of the transferee. (See Watermaster's

Notice of Meeting Packet, November 2008, at p. 43-76, attached as Exhibit "F" to the Michael

documents to its "Watermaster interested parties." (Ibid. at p. 65.) Included in this submission,

was the Watermaster's recommendation that the transfer to Aqua occur. (Ibid.) Then, in or about

November 2008, a meeting took place in which the intervention and transfer were approved for the

sale of the 630.274 acre-feet of safe yield to Aqua. Based upon the Watermaster's assertions and

approval, CCG transferred the 630.274 acre-feet of safe yield to Aqua in exchange for valuable

intervention the same relief as the applicant is entitled to in an independent action, . . ."

"[The court] may not properly refuse leave to sue when it cannot afford in

(Ostrowski, supra, 226 Cal.App.2d at 83, citing 42 Cal.Jur.2d, Receivers, § 92, p. 387.) Denial of

approval of suit against the Watermaster would cause substantial prejudice to CCG and force CCG

to bear any and all damages incurred in the above captioned case without proper placement of

fault where it is due. Intervention in the Judgment by CCG would not allow for the monetary

CSI's action against CCG. As such, CCG should be able to pursue its claims against the

relief CCG requires as damages for the Watermaster's negligence and the defense of Aqua's and

consideration. CCG has now been sued as a result of that transaction.

Decl.) Assumedly, as part of its duties to manage the allocation of the Chino Basin, the

Watermaster reviewed these documents in detail. The Watermaster also submitted these



Based upon the foregoing, CCG requests that it be granted leave to sue the Watermaster in the above-captioned case.

DATED: July 12, 2013 /

15.

BAKER MANOCK & JENSEN, PC

John & Michael
Lauren D. Layne
Diane E. Coderniz

Attorneys for Petitioner CCG Ontario, LLC

1321161v1/15802.0005

# PROOF OF SERVICE

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF FRESNO

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and **not a party to this action**. I am employed in the County of Fresno, State of California. My business address is 5260 North Palm Avenue, Fourth Floor, Fresno, CA 93704.

On July 12, 2013, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF CCG ONTARIO, LLC'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUE THE CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER** on the interested parties in this action as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Baker Manock & Jensen, PC's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 12, 2013, at Fresno, California.



| 1                    | SERVICE LIST  |   |
|----------------------|---|---|
| 2                    |   |   |
| 3                    | Cihigoyenetche Grossberg & Clouse<br>8038 Haven Ave., Suite E<br>Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730<br>(909) 483-1850   | Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP<br>21 East Carrillo St<br>Santa Barbara, A 93101<br>(805) 963-7000   |
| 5<br>6               | Attorneys for Chino Basin Municipal Water<br>District   | Attorneys for Chino Basin Watermaster   |
| 7<br>8<br>9          | Arnold M. Alvarez-Glasman<br>505 S. Garey Ave<br>Box 660<br>Pomona, CA 91769<br>(909) 620-2071  | Lagerlof Senecal Gosney &Kruse LLP<br>301 N. Lake Ave, 10 <sup>th</sup> Flr<br>Pasadena, CA 91101-4108<br>(626) 793-9400  |
| 10                   | Attorneys for City of Pomona  | Attorneys for City of Pomona  |
| 11<br>12<br>13       | Alvarez-Glasman & Colvin<br>13181 Crossroads Pkwy North<br>Suite 400 – West Tower<br>City of Industry, CA 91756<br>(562) 699-5500                                   | Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hapton<br>501 W. Broadway, Suite 1900<br>San Diego, CA 92101<br>(619) 338-6500  |
| 14                   |   | Attorneys for California Steel Industries, Inc.   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18 | Hogan Lovells US LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 15 <sup>th</sup> Flr Los Angeles, CA 90067 (310) 785-4600  Attorneys for Non-Agricultural (Overlying) Pool Committee | Christopher M. Pisano Paeter E. Garcia BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, 25 <sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 617-8100 Facsimile No. (213) 617-7480 |
| 19                   |   | Attorneys for California Steel Industries, Inc  |
| 20<br>21<br>22       | David S. McLeod<br>McLEOD, MOSCARINO, WITHAM &<br>FLYNN LLP<br>707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 5000<br>Los Angeles,+ California 90017<br>(213) 627-3600               | City of Chino<br>P O Box 667<br>Chino, CA 91708-0067  |
| 23                   | Facsimile No. (213) 627-6290  Attorneys for Aqua Capital Management LP  |   |

# CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Case No. RCV 51010 Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. The City of Chino

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

#### I declare that:

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730; telephone (909) 484-3888.

On July 16, 2013 I served the following:

LLC'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUE THE CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER

/ BY MAIL: in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed with postage thereon fully

1. MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF CCG ONTARIO,

| ' <u>-X.</u> ' | prepaid, for delivery by United States Postal Service mail at Rancho Cucamonga, California, addresses as follows:  See attached service list: Mailing List 1  |
|----------------|---|
| //             | BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee.   |
| <i>II</i>      | BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted said document by fax transmission from (909) 484-3890 to the fax number(s) indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting fax machine.                                      |
| <u>/ X _</u> / | BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I transmitted notice of availability of electronic documents by electronic transmission to the email address indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting electronic mail device. |
|                |   |

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 16, 2013 in Rancho Cucamonga, California.

By: Janine Wilson

Chino Basin Watermaster

BRIAN GEYE AUTO CLUB SPEEDWAY 9300 CHERRY AVE FONTANA, CA 92335 JAMES CURATALO P.O. BOX 638 RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CA 91729-0638

ROBERT BOWCOCK INTEGRATED RESOURCES MGMNT 405 N. INDIAN HILL BLVD CLAREMONT, CA 91711-4724

STEVE ELIE IEUA 16405 DOMANI TERRACE CHINO HILLS, CA 91709 GEOFFREY VANDEN HEUVEL CBWM BOARD MEMBER 8315 MERRILL AVENUE CHINO, CA 91710

PAUL HOFER 11248 S TURNER AVE ONTARIO, CA 91761

BOB KUHN 669 HUNTERS TRAIL GLENDORA, CA 91740 CHARLES FIELD 4415 FIFTH STREET RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

PETER ROGERS 14000 CITY CENTER DRIVE CHINO HILLS, CA 91709

JEFF PIERSON PO BOX 1440 LONG BEACH, CA 90801-1440 GLEN DURRINGTON 5512 FRANCIS ST CHINO, CA 91710 BOB FEENSTRA 2720 SPRINGFIELD ST, ORANGE, CA 92867

#### Members:

Allen W. Hubsch Andrew Lazenby Arthur Kidman Barbara Swanson Beth Barry Carol Davis Chris Swanberg Dan McKinney Eddy Beltran Fred Fudacz

Jean Cihigoyenetche jeeinc@aol.com

Jill Willis Jim Markman

Jim@city-attornev.com jimmy@city-attorney.com

John Cotti John Schatz Joseph S. Aklufi Karin Voqel

Kimberly Hall Barlow Kuperberg, Joel Marguerite P Battersby

Mark Hensley Michelle Staples Nick Jacobs Randy Visser Rodney Baker Steve Kennedy Steven R. Orr Tom Bunn Tom McPeters

Tracy J. Egoscue William J Brunick William P. Curley

allen.hubsch@hoganlovells.com

lazenbyag@bv.com

akidman@kidmanlaw.com Barbara\_Swanson@yahoo.com

bethb@cvwdwater.com cdavis@lagerlof.com

chris.swanberg@corr.ca.gov

dmckinney@douglascountylaw.com

ebeltran@kidmanlaw.com ffudacz@nossaman.com Jean CGC@hotmail.com

ieeinc@aol.com jnwillis@bbklaw.com jmarkman@rwglaw.com Jim@city-attorney.com jimmy@city-attorney.com jcotti@localgovlaw.com ischatz13@cox.net AandWLaw@aol.com

KVogel@sheppardmullin.com khb@iones-maver.com

ikuperberg@rutan.com

pbattersby@sheppardmullin.com mhensley@localgovlaw.com

mstaples@idplaw.com niacobs@somachlaw.com RVisser@sheppardmullin.com

rodbaker03@yahoo.com skennedy@bmblawoffice.com

sorr@rwglaw.com

TomBunn@Lagerlof.com

THMcP@aol.com

tracy@egoscuelaw.com bbrunick@bmblawoffice.com

wcurley@rwglaw.com

#### Members:

Al Lopez
Alice Shiozawa
Andy Campbell
Andy Malone
Annette Gonzales
April Robitaille
April Woodruff
Arnold Rodriguez
Art Bennett

Art Bennett
Ashok Dhingra
Ben Lewis
Bill Thompson
Bob Bowcock
Bob Feenstra
Bob Kuhn
Bob Lawhn
Bonnie Tazza
Brad Herrema
Brenda Fowler

Brenda Fowler Brian Geye Brian Hess Carol Bennett

Carol Boyd Charles Field Charles Moorrees

Cheyanne Resek - Francis

Chris Berch
Chuck Hays
Cindy Cisneros
Cindy LaCamera
Craig Stewart
Curtis Aaron
Curtis Paxton
Curtis Stubbings
Dan Arrighi
Dan Hostetler

Darron Poulsen
Daryl Grigsby
Dave Argo
Dave Crosley
David D DeJesus
David Penrice
David Ringel

Danielle Soto

David Starnes
Debbie Espe
Denise Watkins
Dennis Mejia
Dennis Poulsen

Dennis Williams Diana Sturgeon

Don Cutler
Don Galleano
Earl Elrod
Ed Diggs

Eric Fordham Eric Garner Eunice Ulloa

Evelyn Estrada

Frank Brommenschenkel

Frank LoGuidice Gene Koopman lopezsixto@netzero.net afshioza@gswater.com acampbell@ieua.org

amalone@wildermuthenvironmental.com

agonzales@ci.ontario.ca.us arobitaille@bhfs.com awoodruff@ieua.org jarodriguez@sarwc.com citycouncil@chinohills.org

citycouncil@cninonilis.org
ash@akdconsulting.com
benjamin.lewis@gswater.com
bthompson@ci.norco.ca.us
bbowcock@irmwater.com
bobfeenstra@gmail.com

bgkuhn@aol.com
rlawhn@rrienergy.com
bonniet@cvwdwater.com
bherrema@bhfs.com
balee@fontanawater.com
bgeye@autoclubspeedway.com
bhess@niagarawater.com
cbennett@tkeengineering.com
Carol.Boyd@doj.ca.gov

cdfield@att.net

cmoorrees@sawaterco.com cheyanne.resek.francis@ieua.org

CBerch@ieua.org
chays@fontana.org
cindyc@cvwdwater.com
clacamera@mwdh2o.com
Craig.Stewart@amec.com
curtis\_aaron@ci.pomona.ca.us
cpaxton@chinodesalter.org
Curtis\_Stubbings@praxair.com
darrighi@sqvwater.com

dghostetler@csupomona.edu danielle\_soto@Cl.POMONA.CA.US darron.poulsen@yahoo.com

daryl\_gribsby@ci.pomona.ca.us

argodg@bv.com

DCrosley@cityofchino.org tvmwddiv2rep@gmail.com dpenrice@acmwater.com

david.j.ringel@us.mwhglobal.com david.starnes@mcmcnet.net

despe@sdcwa.org dwatkins@ieua.org dmejia@ci.ontario.ca.us

dpoulsen@californiasteel.com
dwilliams@geoscience-water.com

dsturgeon@chinohills.org

dcutler@jcsd.us

donald@galleanowinery.com earl.elrod@verizon.net edd@cvwdwater.com

eric\_fordham@geopentech.com

eric.garner@bbklaw.com

eulloa@cbwcd.org

evelyn\_estrada@ci.pomona.ca.us frank.brommen@verizon.net faloguidice@sgvwater.com GTKoopman@aol.com Geoffrey Kamansky Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel

Gerald Yahr
Gloria Rivera
Grace Cabrera
Greg Woodside
Helen Arens
Ida Martinez
Ines Contreras
Jack Safely
James Curatalo
James Jenkins
James McKenzie
Jane Anderson
Jean Perry
Jeff Pierson
Jeffrey L. Pierson

Jill Willis Jim Taylor

Jo Lynne Russo-Pereyra

Jo Lynne RussoJoe Graziano
Joe P LeClaire
John Bosler
John Dickson
John Huitsing
John Kennedy
John V. Rossi
Jon Lambeck
Jorge Rosa Jr.
Julie Cavender
Julie Saba
Justin Brokaw

Justin Brokaw
Justin Scott Coe
Karen Johnson
Kathy Kunysz
Kathy Tiegs
Ken Jeske
Ken Waring
Kevin Austin
Kevin Blakeslee
Kevin Sage
Kim Morris
Kurt Berchtold
Kyle Snay

Lawrence Dimock Linda Jadeski Linda Minky Linda Sturges Lindsay Gomez Lisa Hamilton

Marguerite P Battersby

Maribel Sosa Marsha Westropp Martin Zvirbulis

Mastercalendar@CBWM.ORG

Mathew C. Ballantyne Michelle Lauffer Mindy Sanchez Neil Miller

W. C. "Bill" Kruger

gkamansky@niagarawater.com

GeoffreyVH@juno.com

yahri@koll.com

gloriar@cvwdwater.com

grace\_cabrera@ci.pomona.ca.us

gwoodside@ocwd.com
Helen.Arens@doj.ca.gov
idam@cvwdwater.com
IContreras@wmwd.com
jsafely@wmwd.com
jamesc@cvwdwater.com
cnomgr@airports.sbcounty.gov
jmckenzie@dpw.sbcounty.gov

janderson@jcsd.us JPerry@wmwd.com jpierson@unitexcorp.com jpierson@intexcorp.com jnwillis@bbklaw.com

jim\_taylor@ci.pomona.ca.us jolynner@cvwdwater.com

igraz4077@aol.com

jleclaire@wildermuthenvironmental.com

JohnBo@cvwdwater.com john.dickson@cdcr.ca.gov johnhuitsing@gmail.com jkennedy@ocwd.com jrossi@wmwd.com jlambeck@mwdh2o.com Jorge.Rosa@sce.com julie.cavender@cdcr.ca.gov

jsaba@jcsd.us jbrokaw@hughes.net jscottcoe@mvwd.org kejwater@aol.com kkunysz@mwdh2o.com Kathyt@cvwdwater.com kjcwater@hotmail.com kwaring@jcsd.us

kaustin@californiasteel.com kblakeslee@dpw.sbcounty.gov

Ksage@IRMwater.com kmorris@fontana.org

kberchtold@waterboards.ca.gov

kylesnay@gswater.com lawrence.dimock@cdcr.ca.gov

ljadeski@wvwd.org LMinky@BHFS.com Isturges@ci.norco.ca.us

Igomez@wildermuthenvironmental.com Lisa.Hamilton@corporate.ge.com pbattersby@sheppardmullin.com Maribel\_Sosa@ci.pomona.ca.us

MWestropp@ocwd.com martinz@cvwdwater.com

/O=CBWM/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE

GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=CALENDAR516B09734B74C06B3367F6A005

1F3D6004E8BE

mballantyne@cityofchino.org

mlauffer@jcsd.us msanchez@ieua.org

neil\_miller@ci.pomona.ca.us citycouncil@chinohills.org

#### Members:

Gailyn Watson James Jenkins Maria Mendoza Maribel Sosa Marilyn Levin Mario Garcia Mark Kinsev Mark Ward Mark Wildermuth Marla Doyle Martha Davis Martin Rauch Melanie Otero Melissa L. Walker Michael Camacho Michael Cruikshank Michael P. Thornton

Michael T Fife Mike Sigsbee Mindy Sanchez Moore, Toby Nadeem Maiai Nathan deBoom Nicole Escalante Pam Sharp Pam Wilson Patty Jett Paul Deutsch Paul Hofer Paula Lantz Peggy Asche Pete Hall Peter Hettinga Peter Kavounas Peter Rogers Phil Krause

Rachel Pitchford Randy Lee Raul Garibay rcraig@jcsd.us Rick Hansen Rick Rees Rita Pro

Rachel Avila

Rob Vanden Heuvel Robert "Bob" Craig Robert C. Hawkins Robert Cavce

Robert DeLoach Robert Neufeld Robert Nobles Robert Tock Robert Wagner

Robert Young Rogelio Matta Roger Han Ron Craig

Rosemary Hoerning

Ryan Shaw Sam Fuller Sandra S. Rose Sandy Lopez gwatson@airports.sbcounty.gov jjenkins@airports.sbcounty.gov

mmendoza@wildermuthenvironmental.com

Maribel\_Sosa@ci.pomona.ca.us marilyn.levin@doj.ca.gov mgarcia@tvmwd.com

mkinsey@mvwd.org mark.ward@nov.com

mwildermuth@wildermuthenvironmental.com

marla\_doyle@ci.pomona.ca.us

mdavis@ieua.org martin@rauchcc.com

melanie\_otero@ci.pomona.ca.us mwalker@dpw.sbcounty.gov MCamacho@pacificaservices.com

mcruikshank@WildermuthEnvironmental.com

mthornton@tkeengineering.com

MFife@bhfs.com

msigsbee@ci.ontario.ca.us msanchez@ieua.org
TobyMoore@gswater.com
nmajaj@chinohills.org
n8deboorn@gmail.com
NEscalante@ci.ontario.ca.us
PSharp@chinohills.org
pwilson@bhfs.com

pwiison@pnrs.com
pjett@spacecenterinc.com
paul.deutsch@amec.com
farmwatchtoo@aol.com

paula\_lantz@ci.pomona.ca.us

peggy@wvwd.org
rpetehall@gmail.com
peterhettinga@yahoo.com
pkavounas@cbwm.org
citycouncil@chinohills.org
pkrause@parks.sbcounty.gov
R.Avila@MPGLAW.com

rpitchford@autoclubspeedway.com

rlee@ieua.org

raul\_garibay@ci.pomona.ca.us

rcraig@jcsd.us rhansen@tvmwd.com Richard.Rees@amec.com rpro@cityofchino.org rob@milkproducers.org rcraig@icsd.us

RHawkins@earthlink.net rcayce@airports.sbcounty.gov robertadeloach1@gmail.com

robneu1@yahoo.com Robert.Nobles@cdcr.ca.gov

rtock@jcsd.us

rwagner@wbecorp.com rkyoung@fontanawater.com

rmatta@fontana.org roger\_han@praxair.com

RonC@rbf.com

rhoerning@ci.upland.ca.us

rshaw@ieua.org samf@sbvmwd.com directorrose@mvwd.org slopez@ci.ontario.ca.us Sarah Kerr Sarah Schneider Scott Burton Scott Slater Seth Zielke Shaun Stone Sheri Rojo Sherrie Schnelle Sondra Elrod Sonya Barber Sonya Bloodworth

Steve Nix Steve Riboli Steven J. Elie Steven J. Elie Susie Keen Tara Rolfe, PG Teri Lavton Terry Catlin Tim Mim Mack Todd Corbin Tom Crowlev Tom Cruikshank Tom Harder Tom Haughey Tom O'Neill Toni Medel Tracy Tracy

Watermaster Admin Staff

William P. Curley

Van Jew

Vicki Hahn

skerr@ci.ontario.ca.us sarah.schneider@amec.com sburton@ci.ontario.ca.us sslater@bhfs.com

sjzielke@fontanawater.com

sstone@jcsd.us smrojo@aol.com

Sschnelle@chinohills.org

selrod@ieua.org

sbarber@ci.upland.ca.us sbloodworth@wmwd.com snix@chinohills.org

steve.riboli@sanantoniowinery.com

selie@ieua.org s.elie@mpglaw.com SKeen@chinohills.org

trolfe@WildermuthEnvironmental.com

tlayton@sawaterco.com tlcatlin@wfajpa.org

tmimmack@ci.ontario.ca.us

tcorbin@jcsd.us tcrowley@wvwd.org

tcruikshank@spacecenterinc.com tharder@thomashardercompany.com

tom@haugheyinsurance.com toneill@ci.ontario.ca.us

mmedel@rbf.com ttracy@mvwd.org vjew@mvwd.org vhahn@tvmwd.com

wcurley@rwglaw.com