CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT'S SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY IN SUPPORT OF CVWD'S MOTION TO DISCONTINUE THE APPOINTMENT OF THE SPECIAL REFEREE

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 3750 UNIVERSITY AVENUE P.O. BOX 1028 RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92502

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to an agreement with Monte Vista Water District, Cucamonga Valley Water District ("CVWD") submits the following Supplemental Reply to the Opposition of Monte Vista Water District, the City of Chino, and Chino Hills ("Opposing Parties") to CVWD's Motion to Discontinue the Appointment of the Special Referee. Opposing Parties acknowledged this agreement in their "Joint Sur-Reply" filed on October 30, 2008. (See Joint Sur-Reply at 3:16-17).

As an initial matter, CVWD notes that the procedural posture of this case has changed dramatically since the time CVWD filed its Motion. At the time the Motion was filed, Judge Gunn presided over this matter. As described in CVWD's Motion, Judge Gunn originally appointed the Special Referee in 1997, citing Code of Civil Procedure section 639(d) as authority for the special reference. Now that the matter has been permanently reassigned for all purposes to this Court, it is unclear whether the special reference continues or whether it has terminated absent an order from this Court re-appointing the Special Referee. Counsel has found no case law in California specifically addressing this point. If the Court determines that the special reference has automatically terminated with the reassignment of this matter, CVWD acknowledges that its Motion is moot.

If, however, the Court determines that the special reference survives the reassignment of the case to this Court, CVWD requests that the Court terminate the special reference at this time. In addition to the arguments raised in CVWD's Motion and in its Reply to the Special Referee's Response, there are several procedural issues that have now become relevant and that CVWD respectfully requests the Court to consider in ruling on CVWD's Motion. Because these procedural issues did not become relevant until the case was permanently reassigned, CVWD believes good cause exists to raise them for the first time in this Supplemental Reply.

As detailed below, the special reference, both when it was initially made in 1997 and

¹ This Supplemental Reply is not intended to respond to Opposing Parties' Sur-Reply, which consists primarily of spurious procedural and evidentiary objections that have no bearing on the substance of CVWD's Motion and do not impact the ability of the Court to grant the relief sought by CVWD. Further, sur-replies are generally not authorized absent good cause shown or leave of court, neither of which has been shown or obtained by Opposing Parties.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

24

25

26

27

28

when it was expanded in 1998, was not authorized under the Code of Civil Procedure. Thus, there is no legal basis for the continued involvement of the Special Referee in this matter. Indeed, CVWD believes that this Court is well-equipped to preside over this action without the ongoing, permanent assistance of a legal advisor such as the Special Referee.

II. ARGUMENT

A. The Scope of a Special Reference Is Specifically Limited by Statute

The California Constitution, Article VI, section 22, "prohibits the delegation of judicial power except for the performance of 'subordinate judicial duties.'" (Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Superior Court (1986) 182 Cal.App.3d 431, 435-436.) The Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") recognizes two types of reference: a general reference (CCP § 638) and a special reference (CCP § 639). "The statutes carefully preserve the distinction of special and general reference to comply with the constitutional mandate; a general reference has binding effect, but must be consensual, whereas a special reference may be ordered without consent but is merely advisory, not binding on the superior court." (Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Superior Court (1986) 182 Cal. App. 3d 431, 436.)

A general reference is conducted pursuant to CCP section 638, which provides for a reference by agreement of the parties: 1. "[t]o hear and determine any or all of the issues in an action or proceeding, whether of fact or of law, and to report a statement of decision;" and 2. "[t]o ascertain a fact necessary to enable the court to determine an action or proceeding." (CCP § 638.) "In order to comport with the constitutional prohibition against delegation of judicial power, a general reference requires consent of the parties." (Ruisi v. Thieriot (1997) 53 Cal. App. 4th 1197, 1208; Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Superior Court (1986) 182 Cal. App. 3d 431, 436.) The referee hears and determines the *entire* controversy, and the referee's determination is binding on the parties.

A special reference, on the other hand, is conducted pursuant to CCP section 639. Because a special reference does not require consent by the parties, "the authority of the trial court to direct a special reference is limited to particular issues." (Ruisi v. Thieriot (1997) 53 Cal. App. 4th 1197, 1209.) "The trial court has no power to refer issues other than those explicitly specified by statute." (Ruisi v. Thieriot (1997) 53 Cal.App.4th 1197, 1209; Williams v. Benton

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(1864) 24 Cal. 424, 425-426.) The referee's determinations do not become binding unless adopted by the trial court. (CCP § 644(b); Yeboah v. Progeny Ventures, Inc. (2005) 128 Cal.App.4th 443, 450; Ruisi v. Thieriot (1997) 53 Cal.App.4th 1197, 1208.) "In fact, in the case of a special reference, the referee's report is only the first step. The court must [then] determine 'the facts and the law by rendering its decision containing its findings of fact and conclusions of law which serves as the basis for the judgment which shall be entered." (Yeboah v. Progeny Ventures, Inc. (2005) 128 Cal. App. 4th 443, 450.)

The appointment of Anne Schneider was by special reference.³ A special reference is authorized under CCP section 639 only in the following circumstances:

- (a) "[w]hen the trial of an issue of fact requires the examination of a long account on either side; in which case the referees may be directed to hear and decide the whole issue, or report upon any specific question of fact involved therein";
- (b) "[w]hen the taking of an account is necessary for the information of the court before judgment, or for carrying a judgment or order into effect";
- (c) "[w]hen a question of fact, other than upon the pleadings, arises upon motion or otherwise, in any stage of the action";
- (d) [w]hen it is necessary for the information of the court in a special proceeding";

Compare to a general reference where upon agreement by the parties the court may order a reference to try "any or all of the issues in an action or proceeding, whether of fact or of law." (See CCP § 638; Ruisi v. Thieriot (1997) 53 Cal. App. 4th 1197, 1208 fn. 13.)

See 1997 Order of Special Reference at pp. 10:5-21; see also 1998 Ruling at p. 2:5-16 ("[T]he court issued an Order of Special Reference to receive a report and recommendation on" two specific motions from Anne J. Schneider.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(e) [w]hen the court in any pending action determines that it is necessary for the court to appoint a referee to hear and determine any and all discovery motions and disputes relevant in the action and to report findings and make a recommendation thereon."

CCP § 639(a); Jovine v. FHP, Inc., et al. (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1506, 1522; Ruisi v. Thieriot (1997) 53 Cal.App.4th 1197, 1209.

Absent express statutory authorization to make a reference, such a reference constitutes judicial error that is either void or voidable. (Ruisi v. Thieriot (1997) 53 Cal.App.4th 1197, 1208 ["An invalid reference constitutes jurisdictional error which cannot be waived."]; accord Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Superior Court (1986) 182 Cal. App. 3d 431, 436; but see Jovine v. FHP, Inc., et al. (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1506, 1527 fn. 26 [An act that is beyond the power of the court is voidable.].) Courts have held that an order appointing a "special referee" to hear and determine "law and motion proceedings in an ordinary civil action" is invalid, noting further that [t]he superior court has no power to assign matters to a referee for decision without explicit statutory authorization." (Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Superior Court (1986) 182 Cal. App. 3d 431, 435.)

Neither the Initial Appointment in 1997 nor the Expanded 1. Appointment in 1998 Fall within the Parameters of Section 639

As noted above, the trial court must have *explicit* statutory authorization to assign matters to a referee. (Jovine v. FHP, Inc., et al. (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1506, 1523; Ruisi v. Thieriot (1997) 53 Cal.App.4th 1197, 1208.) In his 1997 Order, Judge Gunn cited to CCP § 639(d) as authority for the special reference.⁴ The Special Referee was appointed for the limited purpose of providing recommendations for issues relative to two motions.⁵ In his 1998 Ruling, Judge Gunn expanded the Referee's involvement, this time to "report and make recommendations to the court concerning the contents, implementation, effectiveness, and shortcomings of the optimum

See 1997 Order of Special Reference at pp. 10:5-11.

The Special Referee was appointed to consider (1) the Motion for Order that Audit Commissioned by Watermaster is not a Watermaster Expense and (2) the Motion to Appoint a Nine-Member Watermaster Board. (See 1997 Order at pp. 10:5-21)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

As a civil action, and not a special proceeding, the only potentially applicable subsection would have been section 639(c), which permits a referee to decide "a question of fact, other than the pleadings, [which] arises upon motion or otherwise." (CCP § 639(c).) Under section 639(c), however, the Referee would have been limited to deciding questions of fact. Section 639(c) does not authorize the trial court to refer questions of law. (Ruisi v. Thieriot (1997) 53 Cal.App.4th 1197, 1210; Bird v. Superior Court (1980) 112 Cal. App. 3d 595, 600.) It appears, however, that the task of overseeing the implementation of the Optimum Basin Management Plan ("OBMP") the task assigned to the Referee in the 1998 Ruling - involves questions of fact and law. By way of example, the Referee has had occasion to interpret the California Environmental Quality Act, as evidenced by analyses submitted in key portions of her Final Report and Recommendations. (See, e.g., Special Referee's Final Report and Recommendations on Motion for Approval of Peace II Documents at pp. 29-30.) Moreover, CCP § 639(c) authorizes a reference for an existing question only, i.e., "one which actually 'arises upon motion or otherwise' and is presently before the court." (Ruisi v. Thieriot (1997) 53 Cal.App.4th 1197, 1210 – 1211.) Section 639(c) provides no authority to make a reference for unknown future disputes. (Id.) The 1998 Ruling referring Ms. Schneider to oversee the implementation of the OBMP is so overly broad that it resultantly encompasses many issues not before the court at the time of its Ruling. In sum, CCP § 639(c) is "limited [in scope] to factual questions on existing controversies" and is therefore inapplicable here. (Id. at 1211.)

As detailed in CVWD's Motion and Reply to the Special Referee's response, the 1998 Ruling has proven to be overly broad. Indeed, the Special Referee has used the 1998 Ruling to -5-

assert authority over almost every issue arising in this case. Although labeled a special reference, the extent of the Referee's involvement has transcended the statutory limitations imposed on special references to make recommendations regarding specific issues of fact. The 1998 Ruling, though cloaked as a special reference, in practice functions more closely to a general reference. A general reference is unconstitutional absent express consent by all of the parties for a general reference. (Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Superior Court (1986) 182 Cal.App.3d 431, 435-436.) Express consent for a general reference was not sought here, and even if it was, CVWD's motion is evidence that consent is no longer present.⁶

2. The 1997 Order of Reference and the 1998 Ruling Are Either Void or Voidable

Courts are split regarding the effect of an invalid reference. Some courts hold that an invalid reference constitutes jurisdictional error which is void. (*Ruisi v. Thieriot* (1997) 53

Cal.App.4th 1197, 1208; *International Jet Ski Boating Assn., Inc. v. Superior Court* (1991) 232

Cal.App.3d 112, 116; *Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Superior Court* (1986) 182 Cal.App.3d 431, 436-437.) Other courts hold that reference to a special referee of any matters other than those explicitly authorized under Section 639 exceeds the court's jurisdiction and is a voidable act. (*Jovine v. FHP, Inc., et al.* (1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 1506, 1527 fn. 26,1531-1532.)

Regardless of whether the 1997 Order and the 1998 Ruling are void or voidable, CVWD recognizes that the parties, including CVWD, have permitted the ongoing involvement of the Special Referee for more than 10 years without objection. Thus, CVWD's intent in raising these procedural issues now is not to ask the Court to determine the initial Order of Special Reference or the 1998 Ruling void or voidable, but rather to highlight the fact that there is no legal authority

[&]quot;[T]he Court has no power to make an unconsented-to general reference, which conclusively decides all or part of a matter, because not only is such a general reference not authorized except by explicit agreement of the parties (CCP § 638), but also, the California Constitution prevents delegation of judicial power except for the performance of 'subordinate judicial duties.' ... Deciding a major legal issue in a case is not a subordinate judicial duty." (Aetna Life Ins. Co. v. Superior Court (1986) 182 Cal.App.3d 431, 435-436.) The statutory scheme is clear, consent to a general reference must be "in writing and filed with the clerk or, if oral, the consent must be entered on the record." (Jovine v. FHP, Inc., et al. (1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 1506, 1529.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to continue the reference going forward.

B. The Concerns Raised by Monte Vista Water District, Chino Hills and the City of Chino Are Being Addressed through the Watermaster Process

The Joint Opposition filed by the Opposing Parties focuses almost exclusively on issues relating to Watermaster Governance and Watermaster performance. CVWD believes those issues are more appropriately addressed through the Watermaster Process. To that end, discussions regarding Watermaster Governance have been initiated and are ongoing.

III. CONCLUSION

The parties that have filed pleadings in response to CVWD's motion have raised a variety of issues relating to the ongoing role of the Special Referee. The City of Ontario has filed a limited joinder advancing several arguments as to why the Special Referee should not be a permanent fixture but should instead be appointed on a limited, ad hoc basis. The Agricultural Pool has submitted a pleading suggesting that it may be appropriate to prepare a new Order of Reference that more clearly articulates and defines the scope of the Special Referee's duties. In light of these pleadings and CVWD's Motion, CVWD believes that the status quo must be altered and that it is inappropriate, both legally and under the facts of this case, to permit the Special Referee to continue in her current role, which contains very little in the way of restrictions, rules, or boundaries, and is also inconsistent with the Code of Civil Procedure. CVWD agrees with the Agricultural Pool that, should the Court choose to continue the role of Special Referee, further briefing and a new Order of Reference would be appropriate. Insofar as ongoing technical oversight may be appropriate, CVWD is open to discussing how best this can be accomplished. The Agricultural Pool, as well as the Chino Basin Water Conservation District, employ their own technical experts, and CVWD believes that this could serve as a starting point for determining how best to maintain any necessary continued technical oversight.

For the foregoing reasons, CVWD respectfully requests that the Court grant its Motion to Discontinue the Role of the Special Referee.

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Case No. RCV 51010 Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. The City of Chino

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730; telephone (909) 484-3888.

On November 5, 2008 I served the following:

1) CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT'S SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY IN SUPPORT OF CVWD'S MOTION TO DISCONTINUE THE APPOINTMENT OF THE SPECIAL REFEREE

/_x_/	BY MAIL: in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed with postage thereon fully prepaid, for delivery by United States Postal Service mail at Rancho Cucamonga, California, addresses as follows: See attached service list: Mailing List 1
/	BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee.
I <u></u> I	BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted said document by fax transmission from (909) 484-3890 to the fax number(s) indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting fax machine.
/_x_/	BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I transmitted notice of availability of electronic documents by electronic transmission to the email address indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting electronic mail device.
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.	
Executed on November 5, 2008 in Rancho Cucamonga, California.	

Janine Wilson

Chino Başın Watermaster

ne Weben

TERRY CATLIN 2344 IVY CT UPLAND, CA 91784

JIM W. BOWMAN CITY OF ONTARIO 303 EAST "B" STREET ONTARIO, CA 91764

BOB KUHN 669 HUNTERS TRAIL GLENDORA, CA 91740

GLEN DURRINGTON 5512 FRANCIS ST CHINO, CA 91710 KEN WILLIS LEAGUE OF CA HOMEOWNERS 99 "C" STREET, SUITE 209 UPLAND, CA 91786

GEOFFREY VANDEN HEUVEL CBWM BOARD MEMBER 8315 MERRILL AVENUE CHINO, CA 91710

CHARLES FIELD 4415 FIFTH STREET RIVERSIDE, CA 92501 ROBERT BOWCOCK INTEGRATED RESOURCES MGMNT 405 N. INDIAN HILL BLVD CLAREMONT, CA 91711-4724

PAUL HOFER 11248 S TURNER AVE ONTARIO, CA 91761

JAMES CURATALO CVWD PO BOX 638 RANCHO CUCAMONGA ,CA 91729-0638

Alfred E. Smith Amy Steinfeld Art Kidman Barbara Swanson

Bill Dendy
Carol
Carol Davis
Chris Swanberg
Dan McKinney
Diane Sanchez
Eric Garner
Fred Fudacz
James P. Morris
Jean Cihigoyenetche
jeeinc@aol.com
Jennifer Novak
Jess Senecal
Jill Willis
Jim Markman

Jim@city-attorney.com jimmy@city-attorney.com

John Cotti
John Schatz
Joseph S. Aklufi
Kuperberg, Joel
Mark Hensley
Michelle Staples
Rodney Baker
smt@tragerlaw.com
Steve Kennedy
Steven K. Beckett
Steven Lee
Steven R. Orr
Tom Bunn

William J. Brunick William P. Curley

Tom McPeters

Tram Tran

asmith@nossaman.com asteinfeld@bhfs.com akidman@mkblawyers.com Barbara_Swanson@yahoo.com

bdendy@aol.com marie@tragerlaw.com cdavis@lagerlof.com chris.swanberg@corr.c

chris.swanberg@corr.ca.gov dmckinney@rhlaw.com dianes@water.ca.gov elgarner@bbklaw.com ffudacz@nossaman.com jpmorris@bbklaw.com Jean_CGC@hotmail.com

jeeinc@aol.com

jennifer.novak@doj.ca.gov JessSenecal@lagerlof.com inwillis@bbklaw.com jmarkman@rwglaw.com Jim@city-attornev.com jimmy@city-attorney.com icotti@localgovlaw.com jschatz13@cox.net AandWLaw@aol.com ikuperberg@rutan.com mhenslev@localgovlaw.com mstaples@jdplaw.com rodbaker03@yahoo.com smt@tragerlaw.com skennedy@bbmblaw.com skbeckett@bbmblaw.com slee@rhlaw.com

sorr@rwglaw.com
TomBunn@Lagerlof.com
THMcP@aol.com
ttran@mkblawyers.com
bbrunick@bbmblaw.com
wcurley@rwglaw.com

Al Lopez lopezsixto@netzero.net

amalone@wildermuthenvironmental.com Andy Malone

Anthony La ala@ci.upland.ca.us April Woodruff awoodruff@ieua.org Arnold Rodriguez jarodriguez@sarwc.com

Ashok K. Dhingra ashok.dhingra@m-e.aecom.com Bill Kruger citycouncil@chinohills.org Bill Rice WRice@waterboards.ca.gov Bill Thompson bthompson@ci.norco.ca.us Bob Feenstra feenstra@agconceptsinc.com

Bob Kuhn bgkuhn@aol.com

Bonnie Tazza bonniet@cvwdwater.com Brenda Fowler balee@fontanawater.com **Brian Hess** bhess@niagarawater.com butcharaiza@mindspring.com **Butch Araiza** chauge@water.ca.gov Carl Hauge

Charles Field cdfield@att.net

Charles Moorrees cmoorrees@sawaterco.com clacamera@mwdh2o.com Cindy LaCamera **Craig Stewart** cstewart@geomatrix.com Cruz Diaz cruzdiaz1965@hotmail.com **Curtis Aaron** caaron@fontana.org Cyndi Windell cynthia.windell@sce.com Dan Arrighi darrighi@sgvwater.com Dan Hostetler dghostetler@csupomona.edu dmckinney@rhlaw.com

Dan McKinney Dave Argo argodg@bv.com

Dave Crosley DCrosley@cityofchino.org David B. Anderson danders@water.ca.gov David D DeJesus TVMWDDIV2REP@gmail.com

David D DeJesus davidcicgm@aol.com

david.j.ringel@us.mwhglobal.com **David Ringel**

ddooley@angelica.com **Dennis Dooley** donald@galleanowinery.com Don Galleano

Duffy Blau Duffy954@aol.com Eldon Horst ehorst@jcsd.us Eunice Ulloa eulloa@cbwcd.org

Frank Brommenschenkel frank.brommen@verizon.net flantz@ci.burbank.ca.us Fred Lantz GTKoopman@aol.com Gene Koopman Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel GeoffreyVH@juno.com Gerard Thibeault gthibeault@rb8.swrcb.ca.gov

Gerry Foote gfoote@cbwcd.org

Grace Cabrera grace cabrera@ci.pomona.ca.us

gwoodside@ocwd.com **Greg Woodside**

henry pepper@ci.pomona.ca.us Henry Pepper

James Curatalo jamesc@cvwdwater.com cnomgr@airports.sbcounty.gov James Jenkins

Janine Wilson jwilson@cbwm.org joley@mwdh2o.com Jarlath Oley jpierson@unitexcorp.com Jeff Pierson Jerry King jking@psomas.com Jim Hill ihill@cityofchino.org

Jim Taylor jim taylor@ci.pomona.ca.us Joe P LeClaire

jleclaire@wildermuthenvironmental.com

janderson@ieua.org John Anderson

John Ayers jayers@sunkistgrowers.com John Bosler JohnBo@cvwdwater.com John Huitsing johnhuitsing@gmail.com John Thornton ithornton@psomas.com John V. Rossi jrossi@wmwd.com John Vega johnv@cvwdwater.com

Jose Galindo
Justin Brokaw
Kathy Kunysz
Kathy Tiegs
Ken Jeske
Ken Kules
Kenneth Willis
Kevin Sage
Kyle Snay
Lisa Hamilton
Mark Hensley
Martin Zvirbulis
Mike Thies
Robert Bowcock

jose_a_galindo@praxair.com jbrokaw@hughes.net kkunysz@mwdh2o.com ktiegs@ieua.org kjeske@ci.ontario.ca.us kkules@mwdh2o.com kwillis@homeowners.org Ksage@IRMwater.com kylesnay@gswater.com Lisa.Hamilton@corporate.ge.com mhensley@localgovlaw.com

martinz@cvwdwater.com

mthies@spacecenterinc.com bbowcock@irmwater.com

Anne Schneider Joe Scalmanini Judy Schurr ajs@eslawfirm.com jscal@lsce.com jschurr@courts.sbcounty.gov

Manuel Carrillo Mark Kinsey Mark Ward Mark Wildermuth Martha Davis Martin Rauch Martin Zvirbulis Maynard Lenhert Michael T Fife Mike Del Santo Mike Maestas Mike McGraw Mike Thies

Mohamed El-Amamy Nathan deBoom Pam Wilson Paul Deutsch Paul Hofer Paul Lacroix Pete Hall Peter Hettinga Phil Krause Phil Rosenberg Rachel R Robledo Raul Garibay Richard Atwater Rick Hansen Rick Rees

Rita Kurth Robert Bowcock Robert Cayce Robert DeLoach Robert Rauch Robert Tock

Robert W. Nicholson

Robert Young Roger Florio Ron Craig Ryan Shaw Sam Fuller Sandra S. Rose Sandy Lopez **Scott Burton** Steve Arbelbide Tej Pahwa **Terry Catlin** Timothy Ryan Tom Love **Tony Banages** Tracy Tracy Vanessa Hampton WM Admin Staff

Manuel.Carrillo@SEN.CA.GOV

mkinsey@mvwd.org

mark ward@ameron-intl.com

mwildermuth@wildermuthenvironmental.com

mdavis@ieua.org martin@rauchcc.com martinz@cvwdwater.com directorlenhert@mvwd.org

MFife@bhfs.com

mdelsanto@prologis.com mmaestas@chinohills.org mimcgraw@FontanaWater.com mthies@spacecenterinc.com melamamy@ci.ontario.ca.us. n8deboom@gmail.com pwilson@bhfs.com pdeutch@geomatrix.com farmwatchtoo@aol.com placroix@reliant.com r.pete.hall@cdcr.ca.gov

peterhettinga@yahoo.com pkrause@parks.sbcounty.gov prosenberg@hargis.com

rrobledo@bhfs.com

raul garibay@ci.pomona.ca.us

Atwater@ieua.org rhansen@tvmwd.com rrees@geomatrix.com ritak@cvwdwater.com bbowcock@irmwater.com rcayce@airports.sbcounty.gov robertd@cvwdwater.com robert.rauchcc@verizon.net

rtock@jcsd.us

rwnicholson@sqvwater.com rkyoung@fontanawater.com

roger.florio@ge.com RonC@rbf.com rshaw@ieua.org samf@sbvmwd.com directorrose@mvwd.org slopez@ci.ontario.ca.us sburton@ci.ontario.ca.us sarbelbide@californiasteel.com

tpahwa@dtsc.ca.gov tlcatlin@verizon.net tjryan@sgvwater.com TLove@ieua.org

tbanegas@sunkistgrowers.com

ttracy@mvwd.org vhampton@jcsd.us