EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES NOSSAMAN LLP 1 FREDERIC A. FUDACZ, State Bar No. 050546 ALFRED E. SMITH, State Bar No. 186257 **GOVERNMENT CODE § 6103** 2 445 South Figueroa Street Thirty-First Floor 3 Los Ángeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 612-7800 4 Facsimile: (213) 612-7801 5 Attorneys for City of Ontario 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 10 11 Case No. RCV 51010 12 CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT. Assigned for all purposes to the 13 Plaintiff. Honorable BARRY PLOTKIN 14 CITY OF ONTARIO'S LIMITED JOINDER TO CUCAMONGA 15 VALLEY WATER DISTRICT'S CITY OF CHINO, et al. MOTION TO DISCONTINUE THE 16 APPOINTMENT OF THE SPECIAL Defendants. REFEREE 17 18 Hearing 19 September 26, 2008 DATE: 10:00 a.m. TIME: 20 Dept. R9 PLACE: 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 36239€ 1.D€C CITY OF ONTARIO'S LIMITED JOINDER TO CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT'S MOTION TO

DISCONTINUE THE APPOINTMENT OF THE SPECIAL REFEREE

•

The City of Ontario ("Ontario") submits the following position statement relative to the hearing scheduled before this Court on September 26, 2008, concerning the Special Referee appointment. By this statement, Ontario does not reflect on the quality of service provided by the Special Referee. Nor does Ontario seek to comment on the conflict of interest issue, or other specific point-by-point arguments and counter-arguments set forth with respect to Cucamonga Valley Water District's motion to discontinue the Special Referee, and the various joinders and oppositions thereto.

Indeed, Ontario does not object to the Court's seeking of legal or technical assistance on a case-by-case basis where appropriate under the Judgment – i.e., in the event of contested Watermaster actions or decisions, where the complexity and significance of the issue warrants such expenditure.

However, Ontario is concerned with what appears to be a permanent or semi-permanent appointment of a Special Referee when, pursuant to the Judgment herein, the Court has already appointed a Watermaster to serve as the Court's agent to assist the Court in the continuing implementation and administration of the Judgment. (Judgment, ¶ 16) The Special Referee was appointed for a temporary and limited purpose more than ten years ago, and there is no plan or timeline for completing the scope of services for which the Special Referee was appointed.

Having both a Court-appointed Watermaster and a Court-appointed Referee simultaneously serve in a groundwater rights adjudication on a permanent or semi-permanent basis is unprecedented. There are numerous adjudicated groundwater basins throughout the State, but none of these basins have two separate agents appointed by the Court and paid by the parties on a long-term basis.

The Judgment in this action specifically calls for the appointment of a Watermaster to serve as an arm of the Court. The Judgment calls for the Watermaster to provide specialized advice and assistance to the Court as it retains continuing jurisdiction to implement the Judgment. The Watermaster is not a litigant to advocate on behalf of any individual party. To the contrary, the Watermaster is appointed by the Court to provide direct

1.

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

input to the Court. Case law provides that the role of Watermaster is akin to that of a receiver or a special master. (U.S. v. Clifford Matley Family Trust (9th Cir. 2004) 354 F.3d 1154, 1161). However, the appointment of a permanent or semi-permanent Special Referee permits the suggestion that Watermaster's role has been altered or diminished, contrary to the Judgment. and that it is the Special Referee that is effectively functioning as the Court's agent.

Ontario is concerned with the liability implications created by interposing a special referee with the status typically enjoyed by Court-appointed Watermasters, who are entitled to immunity from suit. (Stump v. Sparkman, 435 U.S. 349, 355-363 (1978) ("Judicial immunity extends as well to those who carry out the orders of judges"); Atkinson-Baker & Assocs., Inc. v. Kolts (9th Cir. 1993) 7 F.3d 1452, 1454-55; Gabbert v. Conn. (9th Cir. 1997) 131 F.3d 793, 803, 806, rev'd on other grounds, (1999) 526 U.S. 285 ("Oppenheim enjoys absolute immunity for all activities performed pursuant to his role as special master"); Kermit Const. v. Banco Credito Y Ahorro Ponceno (1st Cir. 1976) 547 F.2d 1, 3 (immunity applied to court-appointed receivers); Mullis v. United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada (9th Cir. 1987) 828 F.2d 1385, 1390 (immunity applied to court-appointed trustees).

This issue is of particular relevance as the Watermaster in the Main San Gabriel Groundwater Basin has been sued for its recharge efforts. The Watermaster in that action has responded to such claims by asserting that it is immune from suit under applicable law. Industrial parties have recently taken issue with the Chino Basin Watermaster's recharge efforts in this action. Specifically, General Electric Corporation filed objections to Watermaster's recharge program and pending water rights application before the State Water Resources Control Board.

By interposing a Special Referee on what appears to be a permanent or semipermanent basis, it is unclear if Watermaster retains immunity, or whether that immunity is transferred to the Special Referee. As the parties to the Judgment fund both Watermaster and

 $^{1\}over 2$ This matter has been briefed by the parties in San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority v. Aerojet-General Corporation, et al., United States District Court, Central District, Case No. CV-02-4565. A hearing on this matter has not taken place of yet, as the case is currently stayed for settlement purposes until September 26, 2008. 362390 1.DOC

2•

the Special Referee, this structure may not be in the best interests of the parties and the Basin. It may also be inconsistent with the intent of the Judgment, because having two separately appointed agents to serve the Court conceivably undermines Watermaster's core service and direct input to the Court contemplated under the Judgment.

The Judgment contains mechanisms to ensure that all parties have meaningful representation and a meaningful opportunity to participate in the administration of the Judgment. There are various pools, advisory and other committees, representation through the Watermaster Board, and other participatory vehicles set forth in the Judgment designed to be representative of the diverse interests in the Basin. For example, there is an agricultural (overlying) rights pool; an overlying (non-agricultural) rights pool; an appropriative pool; an Advisory Committee comprised of multiple voting representatives from each pool; and a Watermaster Board comprised of 9 members of various parties who serve on a rotating basis.

[Judgment, §§ 16-32]. The foregoing procedures set forth in the Judgment provide a number of checks and balances that ensure that the interests of any party or group of parties do not unfairly predominate.

In addition, the Judgment provides a procedure whereby any party, irrespective of the nature or quantity of its water rights, may challenge any Watermaster decision before this Court. (Judgment, § 31). Watermaster decisions are also subject to review by this Court on its own motion, or by the Advisory Committee or any Pool Committee. (Judgment, § 31).

The Special Referee was appointed 10 years ago for a limited and temporary assignment. (Ruling and Order of Special Reference (April 29, 1997), See Request for Judicial

If this Court finds that having two separately appointed agents serve the Court on a long-term basis is appropriate, Ontario submits that this Court should issue a finding that the costs incurred therewith are for a regulatory purpose and therefore exempt from the requirements of Proposition 218. It is ultimately the taxpayers and ratepayers in the region who fund the additional costs of the Special Referee.

 $[\]frac{3}{2}$ The opposition filed by Monte Vista Water District and the City of Chino Hills suggests that Watermaster is subject to the self-interested tyranny of the majority. (Opposition, p. 14). This is demonstrably not the case. The Judgment has been structured to avoid such a result. For example, the City of Ontario owns approximately 20% of the water rights in the basin, but based on the rotation schedule to date, has had less than a 4% representation on the Watermaster Board.

Notice, Exhibit 2, submitted by Monte Vista Water District and the City of Chino Hills). In light of the numerous procedural protections, opportunities for comment, participation and judicial review set forth in the Judgment, Ontario questions the unprecedented continuing appointment of both a court-appointed Special Referee and a court-appointed Watermaster funded by the parties, without a plan or timeline to complete the scope of services contemplated by the Special Referee over 10 years ago. The continuation of this circumstance may subject Watermaster to liability not contemplated by the Judgment. Moreover, the landmark progress made in the Basin suggests that Watermaster is performing effectively. Particularly noteworthy has been Watermaster's role in leading a cooperative effort resulting in stakeholder and Court approval of the comprehensive Peace II program, a plan for hydraulic control and basin reoperation, subsidence management in MZ-1, and more.

In summary, Ontario does not object to the Court's seeking legal or technical assistance, on a case-by-case basis, where Watermaster actions or decisions are challenged pursuant to the Judgment. However, the need for such assistance does not warrant the continuation of the position of Special Referee on a permanent or semi-permanent basis. The continuation of this appointment compromises the function of Watermaster as contemplated by the Judgment and exposes it unnecessarily to liability.

DATED: August 27, 2008

NOSSAMAN LLP Frederic A. Fudacz Alfred E. Smith

Frederic A. Fudacz

Attorneys for City of Ontario

28

362390 1.D●C

CHINO BASIN WATERMASTER Case No. RCV 51010 Chino Basin Municipal Water District v. The City of Chino

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

correct.

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Chino Basin Watermaster, 9641 San Bernardino Road, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730; telephone (909) 484-3888.

1) CITY OF ONTARIO'S LIMITED JOINDER TO CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT'S

On August 28, 2008 I served the following:

·	MOTION TO DISCONTINUE THE APPOINTMENT OF THE SPECIAL REFEREE
/_x_/	BY MAIL: in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed with postage thereon fully prepaid, for delivery by United States Postal Service mail at Rancho Cucamonga, California, addresses as follows: See attached service list: Mailing List 1
/	BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the addressee.
/ <u></u> /	BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted said document by fax transmission from (909) 484-3890 to the fax number(s) indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting fax machine.
/_x_/	BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I transmitted notice of availability of electronic documents by electronic transmission to the email address indicated. The transmission was reported as complete on the transmission report, which was properly issued by the transmitting electronic mail device.
I declare under penalty of periury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and	

Executed on August 28, 2008 in Rancho Cucamonga, California.

Alex Perez Chino Basin Watermaster TERRY CATLIN 2344 IVY CT UPLAND, CA 91784

JIM W. BOWMAN CITY OF ONTARIO 303 EAST "B" STREET ONTARIO, CA 91764

BOB KUHN 669 HUNTERS TRAIL GLENDORA, CA 91740

GLEN DURRINGTON 5512 FRANCIS ST CHINO, CA 91710 KEN WILLIS LEAGUE OF CA HOMEOWNERS 99 "C" STREET, SUITE 209 UPLAND, CA 91786

GEOFFREY VANDEN HEUVEL CBWM BOARD MEMBER 8315 MERRILL AVENUE CHINO, CA 91710

CHARLES FIELD 4415 FIFTH STREET RIVERSIDE, CA 92501 ROBERT BOWCOCK INTEGRATED RESOURCES MGMNT 405 N. INDIAN HILL BLVD CLAREMONT, CA 91711-4724

PAUL HOFER 11248 S TURNER AVE ONTARIO, CA 91761

JAMES CURATALO CVWD PO BOX 638 RANCHO CUCAMONGA ,CA 91729-0638

Alfred E. Smith Amy Steinfeld Art Kidman Barbara Swanson

Bill Dendy Carol

Jill Willis

Jim Markman

Carol Davis Chris Swanberg Dan McKinney Diane Sanchez Eric Garner Fred Fudacz James P. Morris Jean Cihigoyenetche jeeinc@aol.com Jennifer Novak Jess Senecal

Jim@city-attorney.com jimmy@city-attorney.com

John Cotti John Schatz Joseph S. Aklufi Kuperberg, Joel Mark Hensley Michelle Staples smt@tragerlaw.com Steve Kennedy Steven K. Beckett Steven Lee Steven R. Orr

Tram Tran William J. Brunick William P. Curley

Tom Bunn Tom McPeters asmith@nossaman.com asteinfeld@bhfs.com akidman@mkblawyers.com Barbara Swanson@yahoo.com

bdendy@aol.com marie@tragerlaw.com cdavis@lagerlof.com

chris.swanberg@corr.ca.gov dmckinney@rhlaw.com dianes@water.ca.gov elgarner@bbklaw.com ffudacz@nossaman.com jpmorris@bbklaw.com Jean_CGC@hotmail.com

jeeinc@aol.com

jennifer.novak@doj.ca.gov JessSenecal@lagerlof.com

inwillis@bbklaw.com imarkman@rwglaw.com Jim@city-attorney.com jimmy@city-attorney.com jcotti@localgovlaw.com ischatz13@cox.net AandWLaw@aol.com jkuperberg@rutan.com mhensley@localgovlaw.com mstaples@jdplaw.com smt@tragerlaw.com skennedy@bbmblaw.com skbeckett@bbmblaw.com

slee@rhlaw.com sorr@rwglaw.com TomBunn@Lagerlof.com THMcP@aol.com ttran@mkblawyers.com bbrunick@bbmblaw.com

wcurley@rwglaw.com

Anne Schneider Joe Scalmanini Judy Schurr ajs@eslawfirm.com jscal@lsce.com jschurr@courts.sbcounty.gov

Al Lopez Andy Malone Anthony La

April Woodruff Arnold Rodriguez Ashok K. Dhingra

Bill Kruger Bill Rice Bill Thompson Bob Feenstra

Bob Kuhn Bonnie Tazza Brenda Fowler Brian Hess Butch Araiza Carl Hauge

Charles Field
Charles Moorrees
Cindy LaCamera
Craig Stewart
Curtis Aaron
Cyndi Windell
Dan Arrighi
Dan Hostetler
Dan McKinney

Dave Argo
Dave Crosley
David B. Anderson
David D DeJesus

David D DeJesus David Ringel

Dennis Dooley Don Galleano Duffy Blau

Eldon Horst
Eunice Ulloa
Erank Bromm

Frank Brommenschenkel

Fred Lantz Gene Koopman

Geoffrey Vanden Heuvel

Gerard Thibeault Gerry Foote

Grace Cabrera

Greg Woodside Henry Pepper

James Curatalo James Jenkins

Jannes Jerkins
Janine Wilson
Jarlath Oley
Jeff Pierson
Jerry King
Jim Hill
Jim Taylor

Joe P LeClaire John Anderson

John Ayers
John Bosler
John Huitsing
John Thornton
John V. Rossi
John Vega
Jose Galindo

lopezsixto@netzero.net

amalone@wildermuthenvironmental.com

ala@ci.upland.ca.us awoodruff@ieua.org jarodriguez@sarwc.com

ashok.dhingra@m-e.aecom.com citycouncil@chinohills.org WRice@waterboards.ca.gov bthompson@ci.norco.ca.us feenstra@agconceptsinc.com

bgkuhn@aol.com

bonniet@cvwdwater.com balee@fontanawater.com bhess@niagarawater.com butcharaiza@mindspring.com

chauge@water.ca.gov

cdfield@att.net

cmoorrees@sawaterco.com clacamera@mwdh2o.com cstewart@geomatrix.com caaron@fontana.org cynthia.windell@sce.com darrighi@sgvwater.com dghostetler@csupomona.edu dmckinney@rhlaw.com

argodg@bv.com

DCrosley@cityofchino.org danders@water.ca.gov

TVMWDDIV2REP@gmail.com

davidcicgm@aol.com

david.j.ringel@us.mwhglobal.com

ddooley@angelica.com donald@galleanowinery.com

Duffy954@aol.com ehorst@jcsd.us eulloa@cbwcd.org

frank.brommen@verizon.net flantz@ci.burbank.ca.us GTKoopman@aol.com GeoffreyVH@juno.com gthibeault@rb8.swrcb.ca.gov

gfoote@cbwcd.org

grace cabrera@ci.pomona.ca.us

gwoodside@ocwd.com

henry pepper@ci.pomona.ca.us

jamesc@cvwdwater.com cnomgr@airports.sbcounty.gov

jwilson@cbwm.org joley@mwdh2o.com jpierson@unitexcorp.com jking@psomas.com jhill@cityofchino.org

jim_taylor@ci.pomona.ca.us

ileclaire@wildermuthenvironmental.com

janderson@ieua.org

jayers@sunkistgrowers.com JohnBo@cvwdwater.com johnhuitsing@gmail.com jthornton@psomas.com jrossi@wmwd.com johnv@cvwdwater.com jose_a_galindo@praxair.com Justin Brokaw
Kathy Kunysz
Kathy Tiegs
Ken Jeske
Ken Kules
Kenneth Willis
Kevin Sage
Kyle Snay
Lisa Hamilton
Mark Hensley
Martin Zvirbulis
Mike Thies
Robert Bowcock

jbrokaw@hughes.net kkunysz@mwdh2o.com ktiegs@ieua.org kjeske@ci.ontario.ca.us kkules@mwdh2o.com kwillis@homeowners.org Ksage@IRMwater.com kylesnay@gswater.com Lisa.Hamilton@corporate.ge.com mhensley@localgovlaw.com martinz@cvwdwater.com bbowcock@irmwater.com

Manuel Carrillo Mark Kinsey

Mark Ward

Mark Wildermuth

Martha Davis

Martin Rauch Martin Zvirbulis Maynard Lenhert Michael T Fife

Mike Del Santo Mike Maestas Mike McGraw

Mike Thies

Mohamed El-Amamy Nathan deBoom Pam Wilson

Paul Deutsch Paul Hofer Paul Lacroix Pete Hall Peter Hettinga

Phil Krause Phil Rosenberg Rachel R Robledo

Raul Garibay

Richard Atwater Rick Hansen Rick Rees Rita Kurth

Robert Bowcock **Robert Cayce** Robert DeLoach Robert Rauch

Robert Tock

Robert W. Nicholson Robert Young

Roger Florio Ron Craig Sam Fuller Sandra S. Rose Sandy Lopez Scott Burton

Steve Arbelbide Tej Pahwa **Terry Catlin**

Timothy Ryan Tom Love **Tony Banages**

Tracy Tracy Vanessa Hampton WM Admin Staff

Manuel.Carrillo@SEN.CA.GOV

mkinsey@mvwd.org

mark ward@ameron-intl.com

mwildermuth@wildermuthenvironmental.com

mdavis@ieua.org martin@rauchcc.com martinz@cvwdwater.com directorlenhert@mvwd.org

MFife@bhfs.com

mdelsanto@prologis.com mmaestas@chinohills.org mjmcgraw@FontanaWater.com mthies@spacecenterinc.com melamamy@ci.ontario.ca.us.

n8deboom@gmail.com pwilson@bhfs.com pdeutch@geomatrix.com farmwatchtoo@aol.com placroix@reliant.com r.pete.hall@cdcr.ca.gov peterhettinga@yahoo.com pkrause@parks.sbcounty.gov prosenberg@hargis.com rrobledo@bhfs.com

raul garibay@ci.pomona.ca.us

Atwater@ieua.org rhansen@tvmwd.com rrees@geomatrix.com ritak@cvwdwater.com bbowcock@irmwater.com rcayce@airports.sbcounty.gov robertd@cvwdwater.com robert.rauchcc@verizon.net

rtock@jcsd.us

rwnicholson@sgvwater.com rkyoung@fontanawater.com roger.florio@ge.com

RonC@rbf.com samf@sbvmwd.com directorrose@mvwd.org slopez@ci.ontario.ca.us sburton@ci.ontario.ca.us sarbelbide@californiasteel.com

tpahwa@dtsc.ca.gov tlcatlin@verizon.net tjryan@sgvwater.com TLove@ieua.org

tbanegas@sunkistgrowers.com

ttracy@mvwd.org vhampton@jcsd.us