

OCT 28 1999

By Lina L. Submate
Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL
WATER DISTRICT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF CHINO, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. RCV 51010

Final Ruling--Sept. 30, 1999 Hrng.

Background

On February 19, 1998, this Court set aside its previous order appointing the California Department of Water Resources ("DWR") as Interim Watermaster and instead appointed a nine-member board consisting of representatives from the Overlying (Agricultural Pool), the Overlying (Non-Agricultural Pool), the Appropriative Pool, and three municipal water districts to serve as **Interim Watermaster** for a twenty-six month period commencing March 1, 1998, and ending June 30, 2000. To ensure that DWR is in a position to assume the duties of Watermaster at the end of the interim appointment should the nine-member board fail to operate independently and effectively, the Court directed the parties to engage in negotiations with DWR related to its takeover of Watermaster operations. The Court further directed the Interim Watermaster to notice a hearing no later than September 30, 1999, to report on the status of the negotiations. The parties were further reminded that the Court's order prohibits the Interim Watermaster from entering into any agreement that DWR will be obligated to assume (i.e., contracts wherein payment and/or performance of any kind whatsoever will be required after June 30, 2000). Current Interim Watermaster employees were reminded that if DWR were appointed as Watermaster at

1 the end of the interim appointment, Watermaster employee positions would terminate on
2 June 30, 2000, without further order of the Court. Further, DWR will not be required to
3 hire current Interim Watermaster employees upon its appointment; rather, Watermaster
4 employees may be rehired at the discretion of DWR and on such terms as DWR deems
5 appropriate.

6 On February 19, 1998, the Court also ordered the Interim Watermaster to notice a
7 hearing on or before October 28, 1999, to consider all parties' input as to the
8 continuance of the nine-member board as **Watermaster** after June 30, 2000. The
9 Court noted that the timely filing of all reports with the Court and the development of an
10 optimum basin management program are of significant interest to the Court in the
11 continuation of the nine-member board as **Watermaster**. The Court directed the Interim
12 Watermaster to develop an optimum basin management program and to submit the
13 optimum basin management program first to the Advisory Committee for review and/or
14 action, then to the Court no later than September 30, 1999, or show cause why it
15 could not do so. The Court also set a hearing on October 28, 1999, at 1:30 p.m., to
16 consider whether to approve and order full implementation of the program or consider
17 why the program has not been completed.

18 In partial compliance with the Court's order requiring the Interim Watermaster to
19 develop and to submit an optimum basin management program first to the Advisory
20 Committee for review and/or action, then to the Court no later than September 30,
21 1999, the Interim Watermaster has filed with the Court: (1) Optimum Basin
22 Management Program Phase I Report, dated August 19, 1999, and (2) Appendix A
23 Public Comments to the Report. The Interim Watermaster requests these documents
24 be filed and accepted by the Court **only as reports** and that the Interim Watermaster
25 be given an additional six months to prepare an implementation plan-- Phase II of the
26 Optimum Basin Management Program Report. The Interim Watermaster further
27 requests that the Court adopt a revised schedule for approval of the final Optimum
28 Basin Management Program (presently set for approval on October 28, 1999) and for
input and consideration as to the continuance of the nine-member board as

1 Watermaster after June 30, 2000 (also set for October 28, 1999). The City of Pomona,
2 Monte Vista Water District, and the State of California have filed responses to the
3 motion by the Interim Watermaster.

4 Order Re Status of Negotiations with DWR

5 The Interim Watermaster reports that on November 18, 1998, the Chief of
6 Watermaster Services wrote to DWR regarding an implementation plan for the
7 transfer of Watermaster operations. DWR responded to the letter on January 15,
8 1999, stating that DWR is ready to resume negotiations but in view of the fact that the
9 Interim Watermaster has been working adequately DWR believes it is in the parties'
10 best interests that negotiations should not be resumed until directed by the Court.
11 The Court notes that the Interim Watermaster and several other parties have
12 expressed concern regarding significant funding issues to be resolved in connection
13 with the development of an implementation plan for the OBMP. It might be the case
14 that DWR is in a superior position to obtain available federal and state funding for the
15 implementation plan; hence, it is crucial that the Interim Watermaster and DWR have
16 in place a plan for the orderly transfer of operations from the nine-member board to
17 the DWR at the end of the interim appointment SHOULD Watermaster or relevant
18 responsible organizations, among other things, experience obstacles to obtaining
19 necessary financing concerning implementation of the OBMP. Accordingly, the Court
20 hereby orders the Interim Watermaster to prepare and submit to DWR and file with
21 the Court no later than March 2, 2000, a proposed plan for the orderly transfer of
22 operations at the end of the interim appointment. DWR is invited to prepare a
23 response to the Interim Watermaster's proposal by no later than April 27, 2000, which,
24 if prepared, shall also be filed with the Court. Assuming DWR is still interested in
25 becoming Watermaster, the Interim Watermaster and DWR shall thereafter meet to
26 develop a joint proposal for the orderly transfer of operations, which shall be
27 submitted to the Court no later than July 13, 2000. This is not an appointment;
28 instead, it is a contingency plan, with no commitment regarding appointment of DWR
or any agency and/or person as Watermaster.

1 On July 13, 2000, at 1:30 p.m., the Court will conduct a hearing on the
2 continuance of the nine-member board. Interested parties are requested to file briefs
3 no later than June 29, 2000. The interim appointment of the nine-member board may
4 be extended to December 31, 2000. Thus, if the court determines not to continue the
5 nine-member board the new appointment will take effect on January 1, 2000. An
6 expressed reason for adjusting the timeline, among others, was the Court's concern
7 regarding adequate notice to Watermaster employees; however, the Court is
8 concerned that the timeline adjustment might be misinterpreted as an invitation to
9 procrastinate, which would be a miscalculation of the Court's concern regarding
10 timeliness of Watermaster responsibilities. On the contrary, the Court is concerned
11 whether or not the Watermaster is adequately staffed to perform its administrative
12 functions, evidenced by the tardiness of draft minutes posted on the Watermaster
13 Web Site, among other things. (As one might recall, there has been problems in the
14 past filing annual reports in a timely fashion—true, with the prior Watermaster
15 composition.) It seems as though an additional employee or the addition of a part-
16 time employee might be beneficial, assuming that it is contemplated that the posting
17 of minutes will continue to be untimely or priorities will have to be adjusted to get
18 current on matters not yet current. On balance, though, the Court finds that the
19 Watermaster is making positive progress toward achieving its stated goals, and it is
20 not the Court's function to order the number of employees of Watermaster, although it
21 is the Court's function to measure the effectiveness of the Interim Watermaster.

22 Order Re Status of Optimum Basin Management Plan

23 The Court commends the parties on the achievements accomplished to date on
24 the preparation of the OBMP and their commitment to complete a draft implementation
25 program report by February 15, 2000. The Optimum Basin Management Program
26 Phase I Report describes the issues that need to be addressed. The Phase I Report
27 describes the goals for management of the Basin, impediments to those goals, and
28 possible solutions to achieve the goals described. The focus of the Interim
Watermaster and the interested parties must now turn to choosing the solutions that

1 will best achieve the goals described and to determining how the solutions will be
2 implemented. The Court recognizes this requires further significant work by all of the
3 parties and encourages the parties to stay focused on solving the outstanding
4 implementation issues.

5 Comments received by the Court regarding the Optimum Basin Management
6 Program Phase I Report indicate parties may want to edit the Report some time in the
7 future and/or have continuing objections to some of the facts and conclusions in the
8 report. The Court, therefore, accepts the Phase I Report, including the Appendix A, as
9 a provisional report in conformance with the Court's Order to show cause why the
10 OBMP has not been submitted. Any discrepancies in the Report have been noted by
11 the comments to the Report submitted simultaneously to the Court as Appendix A and
12 any further comments submitted by Pomona, Monte Vista Water District and State of
13 California. A Supplement to Appendix A consisting of all written comments submitted
14 as part of the September 15, 1999 hearing will be filed with the Court and served on
15 all parties by the Watermaster. Any further issues that may arise in the course of
16 Phase II implementation discussions can be addressed in Phase II. The Court
17 recognizes that the parties reserve their rights to comment on and/or object to the
18 Phase I Report during the development of Phase II of the OBMP, and at the time the
19 final OBMP is considered. Receipt of the Phase I Report as provisional is not meant
20 to encourage further delay in Phase II implementation.


21 The Court hereby schedules a hearing at 1:30 p.m. on March 16, 2000, to
22 review the status of the OBMP and related CEQA matters. Interested parties are
23 requested to file briefs on or before March 2, 2000. The Court also hereby adopts
24 and incorporates herein by this reference the timeline attached as "Exhibit A". The
25 timeline includes a June 30, 2000, completion date for the Final OBMP. The Court
26 recognizes the efforts of the Chino Basin Watermaster over the past two years and
27 the demanding schedule that the process has required. The timeline for completion of
28 the Final OBMP requires a continued, focused effort. The Court would like to point
out, however, that the filing on February 15 includes a draft Phase II Implementation

1 Plan and draft MOAs. The parties then have an additional four months to prepare and
2 submit the Final Phase II Implementation Plan and Final MOAs as the Phase II Report
3 on the OBMP. Thus, the drafts submitted in February may be substantially modified
4 before being resubmitted in June. The Court has slightly modified the timeline to
5 provide for agency, board, and city council approvals of the final MOAs, subject to
6 Court approval, a comment period, and approval of the final OBMP.

7 Environmental Concerns

8 An issue has been raised as to the applicability of the California Environmental
9 Quality Act ("CEQA") and the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") to the
10 adoption of the OBMP. For purposes of CEQA, a state court is not a public agency
11 and, thus, is exempt from its requirements. However, an argument may be made that,
12 although state courts are exempt from CEQA, state courts do not have the authority to
13 issue orders that would excuse a public agency from complying with CEQA. The Court
14 is mindful of the underlying purpose of CEQA, to ensure the maintenance of a quality
15 environment for the citizens of California. The Court is also mindful of the
16 Legislature's expressed policy that the state take all action necessary to provide its
17 citizens with, among other things, clean air and water, and to ensure that the long-
18 term protection of the environment is the guiding criterion in public decisions. To
19 facilitate resolution of the issue of the application of CEQA and NEPA to the adoption
20 of the OBMP, the Court hereby orders the Interim Watermaster and all other
21 interested parties to appear on November 18, 1999, to show cause why the Court
22 should not order the preparation of an environmental document in compliance with
23 CEQA and NEPA and direct Inland Empire Utilities Agency to proceed as the lead
24 agency to ensure timely preparation of an environmental document, to avoid delay in
25 adoption and implementation of the OBMP.

26
27
28 **DATED: October 28, 1999**



J. MICHAEL GUNN, Judge

Exhibit A

TIMELINE*

DUE DATE	DESCRIPTION
July, 1999 to May, 2000	Throughout the process, subcommittees on Artificial Recharge and Basin Yield Maintenance are meeting on a regular basis regarding recharge, basin yield maintenance, and conjunctive use.
Oct. 28, 1999, 1:30 P.M.	CEQA/NEPA Brief Submitted to Court.
Nov. 18, 1999, 1:30 P.M.	Hearing on CEQA/NEPA & Proposed Timeline.
Feb. 15, 2000	Submit Draft Implementation Plan (comment period begins). Complete initial draft of MOA's re: Recharge, Yield Maintenance (including treatment), and Conjunctive Use.
Feb. 29, 2000	Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) completed (public comment period begins).
Mar. 02, 2000	Interim Watermaster submits its contingency plan for transfer of operations to DWR.
Mar. 03, 2000 to May 17, 2000	Joint Pool & Advisory Committees meetings to discuss MOA's, DEIR, and Draft Implementation Plan.
Mar. 16, 2000, 1:30 P.M.	Status Hearing OBMP and related CEQA matters.
Apr. 27, 2000	DWR submits response to Interim Watermaster contingency plan for transfer of operations.
May 11, 2000	Joint Pool & Advisory Committees final discussions to complete MOA's, and Implementation Plan.
May 17, 2000	IEUA Hearing to certify Final EIR.
May 25, 2000	Watermaster Board hearing to consider final EIR, MOA's, and Implementation Plan for submission to Court.
June 15, 2000	Final OBMP (Final Phase One, Final Phase Two comprised of Final Implementation Plan and Final MOA's) and Resolution by agencies indicating readiness to participate in OBMP, subject to Court approval, filed with the Court.
June 23, 2000	Comments to Final OBMP filed with the Court (Final Phase One, Final Phase Two (Final Implementation Plan and Final MOAs).
June 29, 2000, 1:30 P.M.	Hearing on OBMP & Final EIR.
July 13, 2000, 1:30 P.M.	Hearing on continuance of nine-member Board.

*Notes:

1. This timeline does not reflect deadlines for comments, hearings, etc. planned in compliance with the CEQA process.

FILED - West District
San Bernardino County Clerk

NOV 01 1999

By *Lina L. Gubman*
Deputy

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

**CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL
WATER DISTRICT,**)
)
) Plaintiff,)
)
) vs.)
)
) **CITY OF CHINO, et al.,**)
)
) Defendants.)

CASE NO. RCV 51010

SANTA ANA RIVER RULING

The Motion by Defendant Monte Vista Water District for an "Order Scheduling Periodic Status Conferences and Directing Inland Empire Utilities Agency to Provide Periodic Reports to the Court, at the Status Conference Concerning the Proceeding Before the State Water Resources Control Board Involving the Santa Ana River" came on for hearing at the time and place above noted, the Hon. J. Michael Gunn, presiding. Appearances of the parties by counsel were Arthur G. Kidman for Monte Vista Water District; Jean Cihigoyenatche for Inland Empire Utilities Agency (formerly Chino Basin Municipal Water District); Tari Cody for Chino Basin Watermaster; James E. Erickson for City of Chino; Gene Tanaka and Jim Morris for Cucamonga Water District and Western Municipal Water District of Riverside County; Thomas Bunn, III for City of Pomona; Marilyn Levin for the State of California (appearance expressly

1 limited to exclude representation of the State Water Resources Control Board);
2 Steven Kennedy for Three Valleys Municipal Water District; Dan McKinney for Chino
3 Basin Watermaster, Overlying Agriculture Pool Committee. Upon review of the papers
4 submitted by the parties and upon hearing arguments from counsel, the Court, being
5 fully apprised of the matters before it, rules as follows:
6

7 1. The "recommendation" by Chino Basin Watermaster that the Court
8 issue an Order to Show Cause to the State Water Resources Control Board
9 concerning whether said Board should be enjoined from granting certain applications
10 to appropriate water in a manner which could adversely affect the management of
11 Chino Basin is refused. Aside from questions about whether the Court's
12 jurisdiction over the State of California in this case extends to and includes jurisdiction
13 over the water right administration functions of the State Water Resources Control
14 Board, the Court questions whether the matters currently pending before the State
15 Water Resources Control Board are ripe for judicial review or intervention and
16 whether a case can be made, showing irreparable harm, sufficient to even consider
17 injunctive relief.
18
19

20 2. The Court agrees with the concerns raised by Monte Vista Water District
21 and the Chino Basin Watermaster that proceedings currently pending before the State
22 Water Resources Control Board concerning appropriations from the Santa Ana River
23 create the appearance that possible determinations by that Board could affect water
24 resources in the Chino Basin. The Court believes that it and the parties before it
25 should be kept fully apprised of the matters pending before the State Water
26 Resources Control Board concerning appropriations from the Santa Ana River. The
27 Court, therefore, grants the motion for Status Conferences and Status Reports. The
28

1 Court reserves for further order the questions of how frequently and over what period
2 of time the Status Conferences and Status Reports will be required.

3 WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

4 In addition to other matters in this case that have been scheduled before the
5 Court on that date, this Court shall hold a Status Conference on November 18, 1999,
6 at 1:30 p.m. in Department R-8. The purpose of the Status Conference shall be to
7 apprise the court concerning the status of proceedings pending before the State
8 Water Resources Control Board involving water appropriations from the Santa Ana
9 River. Not later than five Court days before said Status Conference, the Inland Empire
10 Utilities Agency and the Western Municipal Water District of Riverside County each
11 shall file with the Court and the Chino Basin Watermaster, and serve upon
12 counsel of record in this case, a written Report concerning the status of proceedings
13 pending before the State Water Resources Control Board involving water
14 appropriations from the Santa Ana River.
15
16
17
18

19 DATED: November 1, 1999


J. MICHAEL GUNN, Judge

FILED - West District
San Bernardino County Clerk

NOV 18 1999

By *Lena L. Subrata*
Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL
WATER DISTRICT,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF CHINO, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. RCV 51010

CEQA RULING

Background

On November 18, 1999, the Chino Basin Watermaster and other parties appeared before the Court regarding the application of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") to the Chino Basin Optimum Basin Management Program ("OBMP") process. The Watermaster represented that the parties substantially agree that it is necessary to prepare an Environmental Impact Report ("EIR"), and provided a timeline for completion of the report. Monte Vista Water District is the only party appearing that contends CEQA may not apply because of the possible nature of the OBMP and the potential delay that may be caused by the preparation of the EIR.

1 Discussion

2 CEQA applies when an agency approves a "project". A project is an activity
3 that may cause direct or indirect physical environmental change and is an activity
4 undertaken by a public agency, supported by a public agency, or involving the
5 issuance of some form of entitlement or permit. (Pub. Resources Code § 21065; Cal.
6 Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15378). The OBMP is likely to involve numerous public
7 agencies undertaking activities that may cause direct as well as indirect physical
8 environmental harm.

9 The Chino Basin Watermaster is developing and approving the OBMP, which
10 sets forth a long-term program for Basin management. Because certain programs
11 within the OBMP will necessitate further project-specific CEQA evaluation, such as
12 the desalter program, a Program Environmental Impact Report ("PEIR") has been
13 suggested by the Watermaster. A PEIR is prepared when an activity is composed of
14 a series of actions that are related geographically, a logical part in a chain of
15 contemplated actions, connected as part of a continuing program, carried out under
16 the same authorizing statute or regulatory authority, and have similar environmental
17 impacts that can be mitigated in similar ways. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, § 15168).

18 Ruling

19 The Court approves the Watermaster's decision to prepare a PEIR and the Inland
20 Empire Utilities Agency's agreement to serve as the CEQA lead agency. The draft
21 PEIR is scheduled to be completed by February 28, 2000, and the final PEIR is
22 scheduled to be completed by May 17, 2000. Thus, it does not appear that the
23 preparation of the PEIR will cause any delay in the OBMP process.

24
25 DATED: November 18, 1999

26 
J. MICHAEL GUNN, Judge

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

I am employed in the County of San Bernardino, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Chino Basin Watermaster, 8632 Archibald Avenue, Suite 109, Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730; telephone (909) 484-3888.

On November 22, 1999, I served the attached:

FINAL RULING—SEPTEMBER 30, 1999 HEARING

- 1. Status of Negotiations with DWR, Status of the Optimum Basin Management Program and Environmental Concerns***
- 2. Santa Ana River Ruling***
- 3. CEQA Ruling***

in said cause, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed with postage thereon fully prepaid, for overnight delivery by United States Postal Service mail at Rancho Cucamonga, California, address as follows:

See attached service lists:

Mailing List A
Attorney Service List

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed at Rancho Cucamonga, California, on November 22, 1999.


Mary Staula

List updated 10/20/99 by request

ATTORNEY SERVICE LIST

DAVID B. ANDERSON
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
1416 NINTH ST
P.O. BOX 94236
SACRAMENTO CA 94236-0001

THOMAS S. BUNN III
**LAGERLOF SENEAL BRADLEY
GOSNEY & KRUSE**
301 N LAKE AVE 10TH FL
PASADENA CA 91101-4108

FREDERIC FUDACZ
NOSSAMAN GUTHNER KNOX & ELLIOTT LLP
445 S FIGUEROA ST 31ST FL
LOS ANGELES CA 90071-1672

WAYNE K LEMIEUX
LEMIEUX & O'NEILL
200 N WESTLAKE BLVD STE 100
WESTLAKE VILLAGE CA 91362-3755

JARLATH OLAY
DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL MWD
700 N ALAMEDA ST
LOS ANGELES CA 90012

THOMAS H MC PETERS
MC PETERS MC ALEARNEY SHIMFF & HATT
P O BOX 2084
REDLANDS CA 92373

ANNE J SCHNEIDER
ELLISON & SCHNEIDER
2015 H ST
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-3109

GENE TANAKA
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
P O BOX 1028
RIVERSIDE CA 92502-1028

RICHARD ADAMS II
DEPUTY COUNSEL - POMONA
ALVAREZ-GLASMAN & CLOVEN
505 S GAREY AVE
POMONA CA 91766

JEAN CIHIGOYENETCHE
GENERAL COUNSEL-IEUA
CIHIGOYENETCHE GROSSBERG & CLOUSE
3602 INLAND EMPIRE BLVD STE C315
ONTARIO CA 91764

JIMMY GUTIERREZ
ATTORNEY-CITY OF CHINO
EL CENTRAL REAL PLAZA
12616 CENTRAL AVE
CHINO CA 91710

STEVEN KENNEDY
GENERAL COUNSEL-TVMWD
BRUNICK ALVAREZ & BATTERSBY
P O BOX 6425
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92412

MARILYN LEVIN
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
300 S SPRING ST 11TH FL N TOWER
LOS ANGELES CA 90013-1232

DAN MC KINNEY
SPECIAL COUNSEL-AG POOL
REID & HELLYER
P O BOX 1300
RIVERSIDE CA 92502-1300

JESS SENEAL
**LAGERLOF SENEAL BRADLEY
GOSNEY & KRUSE**
301 N LAKE AVE 10TH FL
PASADENA CA 91101-4108

ANNE T THOMAS
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
P O BOX 1028
RIVERSIDE CA 92502-1028

WILLIAM J. BRUNICK ESQ.
BRUNICK ALVAREZ & BATTERSBY
P O BOX 6425
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92412

ROBERT DOUGHERTY
GENERAL COUNSEL-ONTARIO
COVINGTON & CROWE
P O BOX 1515
ONTARIO CA 91762

MARK HENSLEY
ATTORNEY-CITY OF CHINO HILLS
BURKE WILLIAMS & SORENSON
611 W 6TH ST STE 2500
LOS ANGELES CA 90071-1469

ARTHUR KIDMAN
ATTORNEY-MVWD
MC CORMICK KIDMAN & BEHRENS
695 TOWN CENTER DR STE 1400
COSTA MESA CA 92626-1924

JAMES L MARKMAN
RICHARDS WATSON & GERSHON
C/O CITY OF UPLAND
P O BOX 460
UPLAND CA 91785

JOHN SCHATZ
COUNSEL-JCSD
P O BOX 2279
MISSION VIEJO CA 92690-2279

TIMOTHY J RYAN
SAN GABRIEL VALLEY WATER COMPANY
P O BOX 6010
EL MONTE CA 91734

SUSAN TRAGER
LAW OFFICES OF SUSAN M TRAGER
2100 SE MAIN ST STE 104
IRVINE CA 92614-6238

AAA AA
MAILING LIST 1
UPDATED 08/30/99

CURTIS AARON
CITY OF FONTANA
8353 SIERRA AVE
FONTANA CA 92335-3598

CHET ANDERSON
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER CO
401 S SAN DIMAS CANYON RD
SAN DIMAS CA 91773

RICHARD ANDERSON
1365 W FOOTHILL BLVD STE 1
UPLAND CA 91786

A W ARAIZA
WEST SAN BERNARDINO C W D
P.O. BOX 920
RIALTO CA 92376-0920

STEVE ARBELBIDE
CBWM BOARD
417 PONDEROSA TR
CALIMESA CA 92320

SCOTT ATHERTON
CALIFORNIA SPEEDWAY
P.O. BOX 9300
FONTANA CA 92334-9300

RICH ATWATER
IEUA
P.O. BOX 697
RCHO CUCA CA 91729-0697

RODNEY BAKER
P.O. BOX 438
COULTERVILLE CA 95311-0438

VICTOR BARRION
RELIANT ENERGY ETIWANDA
8996 ETIWANDA AVE
ETIWANDA CA 91739

BOB BEST
NAT'L RESOURCES CONS SVS
25864 BUSINESS CENTER DR K
REDLANDS CA 92374

GERALD BLACK
FONTANA UNION WATER CO
P.O. BOX 309
FONTANA CA 92334

KATHIE BLYSKAL
SUNKIST GROWERS INC
760 E SUNKIST ST
ONTARIO CA 91761

PATTI BONAWITZ
IEUA
P.O. BOX 697
RCHO CUCA CA 91729-0697

BEVERLY BRADEN
WEST END CONS WATER CO
P.O. BOX 460
UPLAND CA 91785

KATHRYN H K BRANMAN
MOBILE COMMUNITY MGMT CO
1801 E EDINGER AVE #230
SANTA ANA CA 92705-4754

FRANK BROMMENSCHENKEL
134 DAVIS ST
SANTA PAULA CA 93060

RICK BUFFINGTON
STATE OF CA CIM
P.O. BOX 1031
CHINO CA 91710

TERRY CATLIN
CBWM BOARD
2344 IVY CT
UPLAND CA 91784

NEIL CLIFTON
IEUA
P.O. BOX 697
RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91729-0697

TERRY COOK
KAISER VENTURES INC
3633 E INLD EMP BLVD STE 850
ONTARIO CA 91764

GEORGE COSBY
CALMAT PROPERTIES CO
3200 N SAN FERNANDO RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90065

DAVID B COSGROVE
RUTAN & TUCKER
611 ANTON BLVD STE 1400
COSTA MESA CA 92626

DAVE CROSLY
CITY OF CHINO
5050 SCHAEFER AVE
CHINO CA 91710-5549

ROBERT DEBERARD
CHAIRMAN-AG POOL
P.O. BOX 1223
UPLAND CA 91785-1223

ROBERT DELOACH
CUCAMONGA COUNTY WATER DIST
P.O. BOX 638
RANCHO CUCA CA 91729-0638

BILL DENDY
BILL DENDY & ASSOCIATES
429 F ST SUITE 2
DAVIS CA 95616-4111

GREG DEVEREAUX
CITY OF ONTARIO
303 E "B" ST
ONTARIO CA 91764

TED W. DUTTON
UNITED WATER MANAGEMENT CO INC
1905 BUSINESS CENTER DR STE 100
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92408

DICK DYKSTRA
10129 SCHAEFER
ONTARIO CA 91761-7973

BOB FEENSTRA
MILK PRODUCERS COUNCIL
13545 S EUCLID AVE
ONTARIO CA 91762-6656

RALPH FRANK
755 LAKEFIELD RD #3
WESTLAKE VILLAGE CA 91361

SAM FULLER
SAN BERNARDINO VALLEY MWD
P.O. BOX 5906
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92412-5906

MARK GAGE P E
GEOMATRIX CONSULTANTS INC
2101 WEBSTER ST #1200
OAKLAND CA 94612

JIM GALLAGHER
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER CO
2143 CONVENTION CTR WAY STE 110
ONTARIO CA 91764

ALLAN E GLUCK
N AMERICAN COMM REAL EST
123 S. FIGUEROA ST STE 190 B
LOS ANGELES CA 90012-5517

HOLLEY GRAHAM
CBWM BOARD
P.O. BOX 71
MONTCLAIR CA 91763-0071

JOE GRINDSTAFF
SAWPA
11615 STERLING AVE
RIVERSIDE CA 92503

JACK HAGERMAN
STATE OF CALIFORNIA CIM
4158 CENTER ST
NORCO CA 91760

RICK HANSEN
THREE VALLEYS M W D
P.O. BOX 1300
CLAREMONT CA 91711

DONALD HARRIGER
CBWM BOARD
P.O. BOX 5286
RIVERSIDE CA 92517-5286

CARL HAUGE
DEPT OF WATER RESOURCES
1020 9TH ST 3RD FL
SACRAMENTO CA 95814

SCOTT HENDRIX
ARROWHEAD WATER COMP
5772 JURUPA RD
ONTARIO CA 91761-3672

ATTORNEY AT LAW
HIGGS FLETCHER & MACK
401 W A STREET
SAN DIEGO CA 92101-7908

PAUL HOFER
CBWM BOARD
11248 S TURNER AVE
ONTARIO CA 91761

NINA JAZMADARIAN
METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT
P.O. BOX 54153
LOS ANGELES CA 90054-0153

KEN JESKE
CITY OF ONTARIO
1425 S BON VIEW AVE
ONTARIO CA 91761-4406

JOSEPHINE JOHNSON
CBWM BOARD
3635 RIVERSIDE DR
CHINO CA 91710

BARRETT KEHL
CBWCD
P.O. BOX 2400
MONTCLAIR CA 91763-0900

PATRICK J. KING
CBWM BOARD
303 E "B" ST
ONTARIO CA 91764-4196

MARK KINSEY
MONTE VISTA WATER DISTRICT
P.O. BOX 71
MONTCLAIR CA 91763-0071

MARK KINSEY
MONTE VISTA IRRIGATION CO
10575 CENTRAL AVE
MONTCLAIR CA 91763

VERN KNOOP
DEPT OF WATER RESOURCES
770 FAIRMONT AVE
GLENDALE CA 91203-1035

GENE KOOPMAN
13898 ARCHIBALD AVE
ONTARIO CA 91761-7979

J KOPALD & L HAIT
KOPALD & MARK
8888 OLYMPIC BLVD
BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211

MANAGER
KREIGER & STEWART ENGINEERING
FIRM
3602 UNIVERSITY AVE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501

MANAGER
KRONICK MOSKOVITZ TIEDEMANN &
GIRARD
400 CAPITOL MALL 27TH FL
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-4417

A. A. KRUEGER
CBWM BOARD
3736 TOWNE PARK CR
POMONA CA 91767

KENNETH KULES
METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT
P.O. BOX 54153
LOS ANGELES CA 90054-0153

FRANK LOGUIDICE
SANGABRIEL VALLEY WC
P.O. BOX 6010
EL MONTE CA 91734

CARLOS LOZANO
STATE OF CA YTS
P.O. BOX 800
ONTARIO CA 91761-0800

MIKE MAESTAS
CITY OF CHINO HILLS
2001 GRAND AVE
CHINO HILLS CA 91709-4869

ALAN MARKS
CTY OF SAN BERN CTY CNSL
157 W 5TH ST
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92415

MIKE MCGRAW
FONTANA WATER COMPANY
P.O. BOX 987
FONTANA CA 92334-0987

CAROLE MCGREEVY
JURUPA COMM SVCS DIST
8621 JURUPA RD
RIVERSIDE CA 92509-3229

BILL MILLS
ORANGE COUNTY WATER DIST
P.O. BOX 8300
FTN VALLEY CA 92728-8300

BRYAN MOLLOY
STATE OF CALIFORNIA - CIM
P.O. BOX 128
CHINO CA 91710-0128

RUBEN MONTES
SAN BERNARDINO CTY FLD CONT DIST
825 E THIRD ST
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92415

JIM MOODY
CITY OF UPLAND
P.O. BOX 460
UPLAND CA 91785-0460

CHRIS NAGLER
DEPT OF WATER RESOURCES
770 FAIRMONT AVE SUITE 102
GLENDALE CA 91203-1035

JUAN NESSI
STATE OF CA, CIM
18952 BETLEY ST
ROWLAND HEIGHTS CA 91748

ROBERT NEUFELD
CHAIRMAN CBWM BOARD
14111 SAN GABRIEL CT
RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91739

JOE ODETTE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA - CIM
P.O. BOX 128
CHINO CA 91710-0128

DANA OLDENKAMP
MILK PRODUCERS COUNCIL
3214 CENTURION PL
ONTARIO CA 91761

ROBERT OLISLAGERS
CNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
7000 MERRILL AVE BOX 1
CHINO CA 91710-9027

SANDY OLSON
WALNUT VALLEY WATER DISTRICT
271 S BREA CANYON RD
WALNUT CA 91789

HENRY PEPPER
CITY OF POMONA
505 S GAREY AVE
POMONA CA 91766

JEFFREY PIERSON
UNITEX/CORONA FARMS
3090 PULLMAN ST STE 209
COSTA MESA CA 92626

ROBB QUINCEY
INLAND PACIFIC WATER COMPANY
8300 UTICA AVE 3RD FLOOR
RANCHO CUCAMONGA CA 91730

LEE R REDMOND III
KAISER VENTURES INC
3633 E INLD EMP BLVD STE 850
ONTARIO CA 91764

BILL RICE
RWQCB - SANTA ANA REGION
3737 MAIN ST STE 500
RIVERSIDE CA 92501-3339

DAVID RINGEL
MONTGOMERY WATSON
P.O. BOX 7009
PASADENA CA 91109-7009

ARNOLD RODRIGUEZ
SANTA ANA RIVER WATER CO
10530 54TH ST
MIRA LOMA CA 91752-2331

GLEN ROJAS
CITY OF CHINO
P.O. BOX 667
CHINO CA 91708-0667

WAYNE SALMI
PRAXAIR
5735 AIRPORT DR
ONTARIO CA 91761

PATRICK SAMPSON
P.O. BOX 660
POMONA CA 91769

JOSEPH C SCALMANINI
500 FIRST ST
WOODLAND CA 95695

JOHN SCHATZ
FOR JURUPA COMM SVS DIST
P.O. BOX 7775
LAGUNA NIGUEL CA 92607-7775

JOE SCHENK
CITY OF NORCO
P.O. BOX 428
NORCO CA 91760-0428

DONALD SCHROEDER
CBWM BOARD
3700 MINTERN
RIVERSIDE CA 92509

DAVID SCRIVEN
KRIEGER & STEWART
3602 UNIVERSITY AVE
RIVERSIDE CA 92501

JESS SENEAL
ATTORNEY CITY OF POMONA
301 N LAKE AVE 10TH FL
PASADENA CA 91101-4108

MARILYN SMITH
SECY ONTARIO CITY COUNCIL
303 E "B" STREET
ONTARIO CA 91764

MICHAEL SMITH
NICHOLS STEAD BOILEAU & KOSTOFF
223 W FOOTHILL BLVD #200
CLAREMONT CA 91711-2708

MS. PHIL SMITH
STATE OF CA
P.O. BOX 942883
SACRAMENTO CA 94283-0001

BILL STAFFORD
MARYGOLD MUTUAL WATER CO
9715 ALDER ST
BLOOMINGTON CA 92316-1637

DAVID STARNES
MOBILE COMMUNITY MGMT CO
1801 E EDINGER AVE STE 230
SANTA ANA CA 92705

SWRCB
DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS
P.O. BOX 2000
SACRAMENTO CA 95809-2000

GENE TANAKA
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
P.O. BOX 1028
RIVERSIDE CA 92502

LENNA TANNER
CITY CLERK - CITY OF CHINO
P.O. BOX 667
CHINO CA 91708-0667

JERRY THIBEALT
RWQCB - SANTA ANA REGION
3737 MAIN ST STE 500
RIVERSIDE CA 92501-3339

MICHAEL THIES
SPACE CENTER MIRA LOMA INC
3401 S ETIWANDA AVE BLDG 503
MIRA LOMA CA 91752-1126

DAVID THOMPSON
GE-MGR ENV REMEDIATION PROGRAMS
640 FREEDOM BUSINESS CTR.
KING OF PRUSSIA PA 19406

JOHN THORNTON
PSOMAS AND ASSOCIATES
3187 RED HILL AVE, SUITE 250
COSTA MESA CA 92626

HAROLD TREDWAY
10841 PARAMOUNT BLVD
DOWNEY CA 90241

ARLAN VAN LEEUWEN
FAIRVIEW FARMS
6875 PINE AVE
CHINO CA 91710-9165

GEOFFREY VANDEN HEUVEL
CBWM BOARD
7551 KIMBALL AVE
CHINO CA 92710-9269

ERICK VAUGHN
ANGELICA RENTAL SERVICE
1575 N CASE ST
ORANGE CA 92867-3635

JAMES WARD
THOMPSON & COLGATE
P.O. BOX 1299
RIVERSIDE CA 92502

MARK WARD
AMERON INTERNATIONAL
13032 SLOVER AVE
FONTANA CA 92335-6990

RAY WELLINGTON
SAN ANTONIO WATER COMPANY
139 N EUCLID AVE
UPLAND CA 91786-6036

CHARLES R. WHITE
DEPT WATER RESOURCES-SO DIST
770 FAIRMONT AVE
GLENDALE CA 91203-1035

MICHAEL WHITEHEAD
SAN GABRIEL VALLEY WATER CO
P.O. BOX 6010
EL MONTE CA 91734

MARK WILDERMUTH
WILDERMUTH ENVIRONMENTAL INC
415 N EL CAMINO REAL STE A
SAN CLEMENTE CA 92672

JEROME WILSON
CBWM BOARD
6035 FALLING TREE LN
ALTA LOMA CA 91737