RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON A Professional Corporation 2 MITCHELL E. ABBOTT (State Bar No. 64990) DANIEL L. PINES (State Bar No. 172419) 3 333 South Hope Street, 38th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-1469 (213) 626-8484 4 5 Attorneys for No One in This Case 6 7 8 9 10 11

FILED - West District San Bernardino County Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT,

Case No. RCV 51010

13

12

14

15

16

Plaintiff,

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER DELETING RICHARDS, WATSON, DREYFUSS & GERSHON FROM SERVICE LIST; DECLARATION OF MITCHELL E.

ABBOTT IN SUPPORT THEREOF

CITY OF CHINO, et al.,

v.

Defendants.

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

RICHARDS. WATSON & GERSHON

For more than 19 years, the law firm of Richards, Watson & Gershon (formerly Richards, Watson, Dreyfuss & Gershon) has been incorrectly listed on the master service list in the aboveentitled litigation, notwithstanding that its client, United Dairymen's Association, long ago ceased to exist. The law firm has made numerous informal efforts to have its name dropped from the service list, without success.

WHEREFORE, Richards, Watson & Gershon, a Professional Corporation, successor in interest to Richards, Watson, Dreyfuss & Gershon, hereby respectfully requests that the court order that

the firm's name be deleted from the service list in this case. A proposed form of order is submitted concurrently herewith. DATED: March 5, 1997 RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON A Professional Corporation MITCHELL E. ABBOTT DANIEL L. PINES Attorneys for No One in This Case 

RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON I, Mitchell E. Abbott, hereby declare:

- 1. I am an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice before all of the courts of the State of California and I am a member of Richards, Watson & Gershon, a Professional Corporation, with offices in Los Angeles, California. I have personal knowledge of the matters and facts set forth herein and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. I have been continuously employed by Richards,
  Watson & Gershon and its predecessor, Richards, Watson, Dreyfuss &
  Gershon, since August 1975. Between 1975 and 1978 I handled a
  number of litigation and other matters for a longtime client of
  the firm, United Dairymen's Association, a dairy cooperative
  organized under California law with its headquarters in Ontario,
  California.
- Association filed a petition under Chapter XI of the Bankruptcy
  Code in United States District Court for the Central District of
  California. A true and correct conformed copy of the Notice of
  Filing of Petition is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and
  incorporated herein by this reference. From and after the date of
  filing of the bankruptcy petition, my firm had no further
  responsibility for representing United Dairymen's Association,
  aside from some minor assistance to the client's bankruptcy
  counsel. I am informed and believe that United Dairymen's
  Association was liquidated in or about 1978 and has not done
  business since that time. I do not know whether the water rights

ORNEYS AT LAW

appurtenant to the United Dairymen's Association property were among the assets liquidated.

4. Notwithstanding that United Dairymen's Association no longer exists, and that my law firm no longer represents it, my law firm has remained on the master service list in the above-entitled litigation for the past 19 years and has continued to receive substantial and regular amounts of useless paperwork as a result. This flow of paperwork is a nuisance and a burden to my law firm and a totally unnecessary expense for the parties to the litigation. I have personally asked several of the lawyers in the case to drop my firm from the service list, but without success.

5. I respectfully request that the court order the removal of my law firm from the master service list, inasmuch as we represent no interested party and otherwise are not involved in this case.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 5, 1997.

Mitchell E. Abbott

RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON ATTORNEYS AT LAW Richards, Watson, Dreyfuss & Gershon and (SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)
Don Rothman and Howard Kollitz of

IRVING BULMEYER, ARNOLD L. KUPETZ, RICHARD BAUMANN & DON ROTHMAN

MEMBERS OF

## SULMEYER, KUPETZ, BAUMANN & ROTHMAN

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
BIXTH FLOOR TISHMAN BUILDING
615 BOUTH FLOWER STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
(213) 526-2311

FILED

Attorneys for Debtor

MAY 2 2 1978

Clerk, U.S. District Court
Central District of California
By,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 In re

Chapter XI Case No.

UNITED DAIRYMEN'S ASSOCIATION,) a California corporation,

NOTICE OF FILING OF PETITION; NOTICE OF STAY

Debtor.

14

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

TO THE CREDITORS OF THE ABOVE-NAMED DEBTOR AND ALL OTHER INTERESTED

17 | PARTIES:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the above-named Debtor has filed an original petition under Chapter XI of the Bankruptcy Act on the 19th day of May, 1978 and that said proceeding is now pending.

YOU AND EACH OF YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 11-44(a) the filing of the aforesaid petition operates as a stay of the commencement or continuation of any act, or proceeding against the Debtor, or the enforcement of, or any judgment against United Dairymen's Association; or of any act or the commencement or continuation of any Court proceeding to

28

enforce any lien against property of United Dairymen's Association. This 19th day of May, 1978. DATED: SULMEYER, KUPETZ, BAUMANN & ROTHMAN, a professional corporation .5 DON ROTHMAN, Attorneys for Debtor 

0

-2-

28

attached to a single Stipulation for Judgment. Thereafter, any additional party executing this Stipulation for Judgment may either file an executed counterpart directly with the Court or deliver the same to attorneys for Plaintiff for filing with the Court.

- Notices. Each party executing this Stipulation for Judg-5. ment shall, below the signature line, designate the person to whom and the address at which all future notices, determinations, requests, demands, objections, reports and other papers and processes may be served or delivered. Such designation may be changed by filing a new written designation with the Court and prior to the entry of Judgment herein, with proof of service upon attorneys for Plaintiff District, and after entry of Judgment herein, with proof of service upon the Watermaster appointed by the Court.
- 6. Stipulation Binding on Successors. This Stipulation for Judgment shall be binding upon the heirs, executors, administrators, successors, assigns, lessees and licensees of any signatory.

WHEREFORE, this counterpart of the Stipulation for Judgment has been executed as of the dates indicated below.

 $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z} \neq \mathcal{I}$  , 1977. DATED:

Attorney of Record, if any

Signature

DESIGNATION FOR NOTICE

SSO SLOVER ANG.
Address